

# Open Door Website Survey

## Q6 Do you have any other comments?

Answered: 822 Skipped: 308

#	Responses	Date
1	<b>general opposition</b> KICC are not being open about their plans. This has led to their reassurances lacking credibility.	10/15/2014 5:42 AM
2	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> If a cinema was set up I would frequent regularly to watch films. I would also hire screening rooms for my TV show premiers (advance screenings)	10/15/2014 5:40 AM
3	<b>general support</b> <b>Public transport</b> I love the location because of the easy transport access and neatness.	10/15/2014 5:39 AM
4	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> This facility is a joy to behold, you feel at home and relaxed, it's so beautiful.	10/15/2014 5:37 AM
5	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> Parking would be a nightmare for locals. As you are a church with no local support, there would be no local benefit for the economy that I can see. How many of your attendees live in SE19? Also your parent company has a very dubious record. It's a no from me	10/15/2014 5:34 AM
6	<b>Positive cinema</b> This should be a cinema/theatre	10/15/2014 5:32 AM
7	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> These premises should be in use as a cinema. They should not be used by a pyramid selling operation masquerading as a church.	10/15/2014 5:30 AM
8	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I think the church services plus other events is a great way to bring the community together and share our interests which will hopefully create a vibrant experiences for the general public.	10/13/2014 8:58 AM
9	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We do not support the venue being used for religious activities including church services as it should be a resource for the local Crystal Palace community for entertainment	10/13/2014 8:56 AM
10	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> As Crystal Palace's only D2 leisure building I would like to see it used for that purpose i.e a cinema and not a church. I do not support the purposed change of use to D1.	10/13/2014 8:54 AM
11	<b>Positive cinema</b> The size of the venue (1100 seats) does not allow for much flexibility. Only really suitable for music concerts or a cinema like fairfield in Croydon. The community needs in Crystal Palace are a cinema. a focal point for all to use, both young and old. The use of a drop down screen to show films upstairs would mean the main auditorium could not be used at the same time. The proposed plans do not make sense. I note that KICC are already using 25 Church Street as their Church office without having obtained Bromley's planning permission for D1 planning use. The doors to 25 Church Road have remained closed to the community for over 2 years since the KICC's last application. While KICC continue to pursue their plans riding roughshod over local community needs.	10/13/2014 8:52 AM
12	<b>Other Use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> CP needs an art centre- cinema and theatre would be so popular and welcome	10/13/2014 8:42 AM
13	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want the building restored to its original use as a cinema ONLY.	10/13/2014 8:37 AM
14	<b>general opposition</b> Some of the listed events already take place in several locations within the area.	10/13/2014 8:35 AM
15	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not think its a suitable location for a massive church. I do not want religious agendas in community centres.	10/13/2014 8:34 AM
16	<b>Negative community</b> As there seems to be no clear provision for licensed community activities and no clarity on how much mixed use is in reality for community.	10/13/2014 8:32 AM
17	<b>Negative church on site</b> Having bothered to finally ask a member of the community their opinion it may be no surprise to you that I do not agree with you buying real estate for religious use in the hope that you later get planning permission.	10/13/2014 4:37 AM
18	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see the venue restored as a heritage site as a secular entertainment centre.	10/13/2014 4:35 AM
19	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not support the change of use to allow church services. There are already enough local churches for the local population. I only support having a full time cinema run as a full time cinema	10/13/2014 4:32 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

20	<b>Positive church on site</b> I feel that as the church is growing we put God first and foremost.	10/13/2014 4:31 AM
21	<b>general opposition</b> The building is not suitable for many of the uses in No.3 so it is farcial to include them on the list. Unfortunately it is clear you do not wish to hear from local residents as of the 17,000 purported to have been delivered not one went to anyone on the Dulwich Estate in Faeuhor Road, the nearest large concentration of local residents to your church. (We have a cascade email system). I have looked at the website you have set up for planning purposes, but surely running a building on a commercial basis in this way contravenes the Charities Act. You made me feel very uncomfortable in the building, clearly as non-congregational I was not welcome.	10/13/2014 4:29 AM
22	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This is an entertainment venue. A church should not be operating from this venue. Please sell to Picturehouse	10/13/2014 4:22 AM
23	<b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> Please have the good grace to return the building to the true community it should serve and allow us to realise our dream of a community cinema free from bronze age superstition. Thank you.	10/13/2014 4:20 AM
24	<b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> Good to have a church in the community	10/13/2014 2:45 AM
25	<b>Negative church on site</b> I would object to Bromley Council regarding any application to use this space for religious worship. It should be returned to the community as an independent cinema	10/13/2014 2:42 AM
26	<b>general opposition</b> Yes you did purchase the building on 2009 and it is not 2014 ans this is the first time you have ever made contact with a very local resident. I hope you understand why I might be suspicious of motives, just before putting in a planning application.	10/13/2014 2:39 AM
27	<b>Positive cinema</b> There are already multi-use community venues in Crystal Palace triangle and therefore there is no need for further venues. What is needed is the reinstatement of a cinema- the proper usage for the facility.	10/13/2014 2:34 AM
28	<b>Other Use</b> Some facilities for young people. Rehouse Crystal Palace museum Playgroup facilities.	10/13/2014 2:29 AM
29	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not believe this facility is required, a cinema would be a better use of the space and ass to the vibrancy of Crystal Palace. This will detract from it.	10/13/2014 2:26 AM
30	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not believe there is a need or desire for 25 Church Road to become a place of worship. A cinema would have huge support.	10/13/2014 2:24 AM
31	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> None of these apart from cinema. This area needs a cinema not another church. The Phoenix Centre provides most needs already.	10/13/2014 2:23 AM
32	<b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> To whom it may concern, I am writing in relation to the survey that I have received in advance of the Open Door application to Bromley Council for a change of use from just D2 to D1 . I actually have to say I am impressed with the marketing of this, which some local residents may see as the answer to getting the cinema in Crystal Palace that would really benefit the local community when in actual fact the cinema club referred to on the questionnaire is only going to show family friendly, U certificate films. There has, in my opinion, never been any intention for 25 Church Road to be of benefit to the local community but because D1 use has been refused this appears to be the only option that you are left with . Had there been intention from the start then surely the local community would have been consulted on the supposed £2million renovation and upgrade. I fail to see how the community will benefit given that the cost to hire the venue is £500 per hour. Fitness courses, which are also mentioned on the questionnaire, will be ruled out, as unless there are a very large number of attendees it would be a struggle to pay the hire fees, never mind make a profit! I also understand that the floor is carpeted which does not work for fitness classes. I also understand that there is no alcohol licence meaning that a large part of the community will not wish to hire the venue for corporate events or weddings. I also struggle to see, assuming you are granted D1 use, what will stop Open Door having more than two church services per week. From the feedback I have seen there is not going to be much, if any, of the rest of the community using the venue and so it will be available to Open Door 100% of the time. This whole issue of use has been going on for far too long and I really hope that Bromley Council does the ight thing and refuses the change of use application- surely what is best for the community as a whole (regardless of whether we get a cinema or not) has to be the correct decision. I have also sent a copy of this letter Cllrs Richard Williams and Angela Wilkins for their information. Yours faithfully, Hazel Yeoman	10/13/2014 2:21 AM
33	<b>Negative church on site</b> No requirement for another place of worship in crystal palace	10/12/2014 3:31 AM
34	<b>general opposition</b> This survey is high biased in favour of the church's proposals and does not allow to state any opposition to overturning the existing planning consent. This is an advocacy document not a survey.	10/10/2014 9:11 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

35	<b>Negative church on site</b> This is a very loaded questionnaire designed to skew respondent's answers solely in your favour. There are no options other than what you seek for your religious purposes. The printed copy doesn't even have a closing date for applications and all submissions go straight back to you with no proof that any responses will be passed to Bromley Council or any independent third party. Please be advised that I have made a copy of this response and sent it to the council so that they will be able to check if this response is presented to them. The King's Ministries Trust, the parent charity behind the KICC, has been investigated TWICE by the UK Charities Committee and found guilty of serious misconducts, corruption and mismanagement. Your application for change of use of 25 Church Road from Entertainment to Religious Worship has been rejected once. There is overwhelming LOCAL opposition to your application. You have already installed a baptismal font under the stage. That, plus that fact that your refurbishment of the Hall looks exactly the same as your Walthamstow Church are all the proof I need to convince me that this questionnaire is all part of your plan to turn the Hall into a place of worship. We don't need another place of worship in SE19 besides none of congregation would be from the immediate area anyway.	10/10/2014 3:58 PM
36	<b>Miscellaneous</b> Would we be able to serve alcohol if it was for an event?	10/10/2014 3:47 PM
37	<b>general support</b> Good Venue which is easy assessable. The seating capacity is great.	10/10/2014 2:54 PM
38	<b>general support</b> This will be a great venue to support the local Community and reach out to people who need help in life and it will show love to everyone who identifies with this great cause.	10/10/2014 2:32 PM
39	<b>Positive community</b> This is a great opportunity for crystal palace to enjoy a new lease of vibrancy within the community	10/10/2014 2:21 PM
40	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> Because of the 'Yes/No' options on some questions I am unable to answer some of them. Also, I have not seen what work has been done. Crystal Palace has many facilities for the community to use, but we do not have a cinema. Church services will not benefit locals unless they are members of this denomination, and means there will be even more cars brought in by church-goers on Sundays to use the very little parking space that exists around this corner of CP. It depends on what films are run, what the purpose of the coffee mornings are, etc., whether I would use any of the events listed above.	10/10/2014 2:21 PM
41	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I support the venue being used for the purpose used above. This will be a benefit to the community.	10/10/2014 1:44 PM
42	<b>general opposition</b> This is a biased survey and the questions posed do not reflect the enormous antipathy felt by local residents about your organisation.	10/10/2014 1:25 PM
43	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This survey has no open questions about the building /site and what we would like to see built there. It assumes that we want 'The Open Door', but I understood that it was a church organisation. Is a church trying to gain positive public support by disguising itself as a simple venue for hire? The community has long been wanting a cinema on the site, and we still do. No thanks to 'The Open Door'.	10/10/2014 1:04 PM
44	<b>Positive community</b> KICC Open door will make a positive impact on the community and integrate different ethnic groups together.	10/10/2014 12:58 PM
45	<b>Parking</b> Parking will be big problem as it is one way street. also there are not enough parking bays	10/10/2014 12:41 PM
46	<b>general support</b> Open door should be given access as soon as possible	10/10/2014 12:32 PM
47	<b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Other Use</b> A building of this size would be better utilised if it was divided into a series of spaces. The current main room has been revamped for quite a narrow range of uses and has not been undertaken with local community support. I'm unsure whether the intention for worship would extend quickly into varying any conditions of use to 2, 4, 7 days a week if the space cannot be filled. It could be easily divided into 2 cinema screens or concert areas plus full licensed café or other business. If you want D1, it would be better to apply for full D1 and everyone could assess it properly. The option of a censored cinema club on the very small screen that has been installed to the main large auditorium illustrates this is an afterthought. If the community agreed a community use was appropriate as the main use for this building, then it should be run by a community led company to serve the community in leisure, arts and/or music events that can include the vast majority of local people who do live here. This means that the site continues to be part of the local area, travel to it and to its main use is easy, local and by foot or bus, and the use supports and integrates with the rest of the local town centre. I am not convinced the current proposal is viable or meets those tests I've outlined above which constitute good local sustainable development. Alternatively sell the site for housing, at least this can provide some new homes and provide more residents for the local businesses.	10/10/2014 12:22 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

48	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I strongly feel that you are not offering a genuinely open door, community hub. It will not be open to gay people (practising christians or not) and non-christians, that does NOT make it a community resource in any sense. I am a regular patron of many establishments in the Crystal Palace area and NOWHERE did I see a leaflet of ANY kind outlining your proposals of change of use, therefore you HAVE NOT adequately consulted the people of this area, had you actually done so, I would have seen it. The sum of money being asked to hire a space is ludicrously expensive, and I can only suspect that it is an amount deliberately calculated to deter most local people from actually using the facilities.	10/10/2014 12:11 PM
49	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Is this genuinely for the whole community? Does that include non Christians? Gay people? Gay Christians? Where in Crystal Palace did you distribute the leaflets about your plans which were apparently central to your consultation of people in the area? Nobody I know saw one! If it costs several hundred pounds per hour to hire a room in your building is this consistent with serving the community?	10/10/2014 12:00 PM
50	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This should be a space that serves the public community , providing multi cultural ENTERTAINMENT such as a wonderful cinema.	10/10/2014 11:58 AM
51	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> As your closest neighbour on Church Rd please know that you are not welcome or necessary in this community. Your religious zealots knocking my door, your littering, lying to councillors and residents, noise pollution, contribution to the parking issues, spamming my home with junk mail is offensive and only adds to local residents complaints about this ridiculous procession. Your complete refusal to listen to the needs and wants of people who live and work in Crystal Palace is laughable. We do not need another church, however you dress it up, nor do we even need another community centre. Give up, go away.	10/10/2014 11:54 AM
52	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> As your closest neighbour on Church Rd please know that you are not welcome or necessary in this community. Your religious zealots knocking my door, your littering, lying to councillors and residents, noise pollution, contribution to the parking issues, spamming my home with junk mail is offensive and only adds to local residents complaints about this ridiculous procession. Your complete refusal to listen to the needs and wants of people who live and work in Crystal Palace is laughable. We do not need another church, however you dress it up, nor do we even need another community centre. Give up, go away.	10/10/2014 11:47 AM
53	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> As your closest neighbour on Church Rd please know that you are not welcome or necessary in this community. Your religious zealots knocking my door, your littering, lying to councillors and residents, noise pollution, contribution to the parking issues, spamming my home with junk mail is offensive and only adds to local residents complaints about this ridiculous procession. Your complete refusal to listen to the needs and wants of people who live and work in Crystal Palace is laughable. We do not need another church, however you dress it up, nor do we even need another community centre. Give up, go away.	10/10/2014 11:46 AM
54	<b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Negative community</b> I am very concerned that your website, and this survey, do not provide any details about your organisation or your plans for this venue beyond private hire. You do not make clear who owns the venue, and what type of organisation it is. I see nothing on offer here that is not already available in the locality; I understand that at your Open Day you made clear that the cinema club would be for U rated films only, and it was indicated that non-Christian, and lesbian and gay events, would not be allowed. I am strongly opposed to this beloved building being restricted in such a manner, and I find it offensive that you try to suggest on your website that a facility subject to such restrictions could be regarded as a 'community' asset. Frankly I am appalled that a building of such beauty, that could provide a genuinely valuable public space to the whole community, could have been allowed to fall into the hands of any organisation with such discriminatory views.	10/10/2014 11:41 AM
55	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Other Use</b> I am opposed to the change of use you are trying to obtain through bromley council. An alternative use for the building should be encouraged. The way the organisation has gone about its business appears to be dishonest and disingenuous in trying to get around planning law.	10/10/2014 11:40 AM
56	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> Why didn't KICC consult with the community before they undertook the refurbishment? The design does not allow much flexibility - it is mainly one very large auditorium which will only suit very large events. The carpeted floor restricts scope to use the space for community events or activities like Keep Fit which was mentioned by the planning consultants How suitable is the space for conferences as there are no break-out spaces or significant communal areas? Where is the evidence of demand for a conference space? Where is the demand for educational events? The hire costs seem very high for a 'community hub' - £450 per hour for the main auditorium and gallery. Parking would be a major issue for so many event attendees	10/10/2014 11:32 AM
57	<b>Positive cinema</b> Unfortunately the survey form is rather limited in the questions it asks. For example, many local people would have preferred the greater use as a cinema of this purpose built venue. Furthermore, its use as a community venue will be seriously impaired by the high hiring costs.	10/10/2014 11:24 AM
58	<b>Positive community</b> I believe open door will be of benefit to the entire community as a whole, this can only happen if the potentials are utilised fully.	10/10/2014 11:23 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

59	<b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> Please can this venue be properly opened up to the public and used as a cinema	10/10/2014 10:44 AM
60	<b>general opposition</b> The way you have approached this has been dishonest from the start	10/10/2014 10:29 AM
61	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> The community are interested in using this venue as an entertainment venue, NOT as a place of worship. I am concerned that a religious venue would not serve local interests and their would be a big impact on traffic with little benefit to local businesses	10/10/2014 10:17 AM
62	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> As a local resident I very strongly object to the actions KICC is taking to establish an additional church site for its congregation, which is not centred in Crystal Palace, in the last remaining historic public entertainment venue in our community. I have followed the process closely since 2009, going to all the available events they offer to the public (there have been very few). Their front organisation is misleadingly named 'The Open Door' whereas KICC have pursued a path of exclusion of parts of the community, a lack of transparency, no genuine engagement with the community and misrepresentation of their actions. There are many examples of this, from exclusion of the (legally protected) LGBT community, to holding events with a clear religious focus in their building when it transgresses their planning status (I have attended these events), to the current ridiculous situation of KICC having built baptismal fonts under the stage and keeping the information secret from the public and even their own consultants (though apparently some parts of Bromley Council were aware that a strictly religious structure had been built in a building that did not allow religious activity, which suggests incompetence or collusion on the part of the Council). The actions of KICC in Crystal Palace are concordant with this prosperity gospel church's behaviour on a wider global scale, which has resulted in conflicts with the communities in which they aggressively 'take territories', scrutiny by the Charity Commission for misuse of charitable status and legal charges on ethical and financial breaches the leaders of the organisation (the 'pastors'). The reasons above have lead to a situation of wide negative perception of this church, of its presence in Crystal Palace, and of Bromley's actions. From a more practical perspective, the impact of a megachurch running regular services will be very negative on the businesses and residents of Crystal Palace. Traffic gridlock is already crippling in the area at rush hour, and parking is difficult to get for residents. As a physically disabled resident who regularly uses busses and cars to maintain mobility, I can verify that this is not a trivial issue. If services of 1000-2000+ people from outside the area are happening on a regular basis, the Crystal Palace Triangle will become essentially in lock-down. Business deliveries will suffer, customer visits to shops will be discouraged and parking restrictions will be required. KICC has suggested that they can use Crystal Palace Park for cars and buses for their services. This would be a gross abuse of a shared green space resource. In addition, the church does not pay business rates (maintaining that it is a charity, although it is not able to meet its charitable objectives with this building, so it really qualifies as a property speculation organisation). Thus traffic from KICC would not only drag down the existing business, residential and green environment, but makes none of the usual financial contribution expected from other users of the community infrastructure. In case my views remain unclear, I will simply state that a change of use from D2 to D1 for 25 Church Road so that KICC can run religious services would be a decision by the Bromley Council that will damage the area. Finally, it should be noted that this 'survey' is not valid as it asks leading questions that ensure only a positive outcome for the organisation that has a vested interest in the result. It can not be used as evidence for KICCs application for change of use.	10/10/2014 10:03 AM
63	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I strongly believe this building should be used as a quality cinema. It's the one thing the aarea lacks and it would employ local people and sell locally sourced goods. I don't believe it should be used for religious purposes because this excludes a large section of the community from what is a spectacular building	10/10/2014 9:53 AM
64	<b>Parking</b> I live in a neighbouring road, Cintra park. There is simply not enough parking space as it is. I am also very sceptical about the recent overtures to the community by this organisation which seem a thinly veiled attempt to get the planning use changed. I am strongly opposed to any chance in the planing usage. I particularly dislike the 'bribery' element to their proposals - offering community usage if and only if they get to turn it in to a church. And I fully belief it is not even honest bribery as the community uses will be so limited, and in available to the full community (would they be happy to host gay weddings, yoga, the Sunday assembly?)	10/10/2014 9:49 AM
65	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The area does not need another church. It needs a dedicated community facility. A cinema would be far, far more preferable. Every single local person I've spoken to agrees that the church is not a welcome addition, and that a cinema would benefit the area far more.	10/10/2014 9:36 AM
66	<b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I have serious concerns about the influx of traffic and impact of parking to the area. I do not support the KICC use of this building. It also has a huge impact on the lane behind my house which they use to drop and bus people (not from the local area in) I have also had my property damaged by their building works and they denied all responsibility.	10/10/2014 9:33 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

67	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not support a change of use to D1 for church sevicees. There are already sufficient church facilities for local people. I only support the facility being run as a full time cinema. The restoration is not suitable for this use.	10/10/2014 9:28 AM
68	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Yes your actions are inappropriate. You are not local and we want a local cinema!	10/10/2014 9:25 AM
69	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like a cinema on the site.	10/10/2014 9:21 AM
70	<b>Negative community</b> As a local resident I find the current and proposed use of this premises as non beneficial to the community	10/10/2014 9:19 AM
71	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> This is not a suitable proposal as the hire of space is going to be expensive and there is no parking. The lack of parking is impacting on the neighbouring residential streets- i.e. Patterson Road; Milestone Road; Cintra park. I am a resident on Patterson road and it is almost impossible for residents who live there to park on their own street. I strongly oppose this development. Yours Sincerely Caroline Keith- Jopp, 21 Patterson Road	10/10/2014 9:06 AM
72	<b>general opposition</b> I disagree completely with your current use of the site and fully oppose any further changes you wish to make in the future.	10/10/2014 8:52 AM
73	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> If the price is right (and it seems very excessive at the moment) then yes, we would like to hire it. However, I am concerned about whether we are allowed to hire it for the purposes which we would like to i.e. a pantomime for all the community to raise funds for our library. If conditions of use are put in place (such as the Salvation Army has) then you might not allow us to use it. I am also worried about the car parking problems. With so many people potentially coming to an event such as a church conference, what measures will you put in place to restrict the number of people who attend by car? Parking is VERY limited in the area.	10/10/2014 8:31 AM
74	<b>Positive community</b> Best ever for the community	10/10/2014 8:31 AM
75	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> The organisation has tried many times to get its proposals accepted and each time they have been rejected. This is another way of trying to get around the planning laws by presenting a form of local-community asset. The objections raised before still stand, so I am opposed yet again. The proposed facilities will not benefit the local community, except at inflated prices, but will cause many problems with traffic congestion, parking, excessive noise, unreasonable-hour disturbance. The Open Door application must be turned down again.	10/10/2014 8:28 AM
76	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I couldn't answer the what would you use questions as after attending the open morning I have sincere questions still unanswered about your use of the Hall for religious services. Your information to local residents has been limited to fewer people than you own to, and has left out community and Church organisations which provide the services you propose to initiate. From the look of your refurbishment and PR your intention is to bring great numbers of Church members to the area for your services and activities under the pretense of initiating COMMUNITY services and worship already existing more than adequately. I may be wrong, but this is the impression I received both from the information you posted and from visiting the venue last Saturday.	10/10/2014 8:16 AM
77	<b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I have been a member of KICC for 17 years and have benefited from its community initiatives and projects; they have enabled me to link to different professional and social networks that have contributed to my personal and professional development. It has been a rewarding journey for me and I believe KICC Crystal Palace will provide the same and greater benefits to residents, in addition to the regeneration its presence will bring in Bromley.	10/10/2014 8:10 AM
78	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> This building should be a cultural asset for the whole community, regardless of their background or belief. As such I believe that this building should be restored to its original use as a cinema.	10/10/2014 6:53 AM
79	<b>general opposition</b> This survey, and your obvious contempt for the building in question and the wider community of Crystal Palace is abundantly clear. I find this PR Spin and the self serving nature of whole process nothing short of disgraceful. The service you say you wish to provide to the community are available elsewhere, and I have no doubt knowing the sort of operation you are that you will make them finically prohibitive and keep the building to yourself. You have kept the doors to the building shut for too long for anyone to truly trust or see past this contemptible application.	10/10/2014 6:27 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

80	<b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> This survey doesn't even begin to cover the issues a venue of this size creates within an area such as the Triangle. What about the traffic impact? What are the opening hours? What are the hire rates? I've heard they might be excessive. I'm personally of the view that KICC would be better having a new church in a site in South Norwood, where I live, where there's a large West African community, which is in desperate need of investment and opportunity. I'm assuming it's cheaper land here too, and that it's nearer their old site of Wimbledon. Then Crystal Palace can get a cinema that would be a far better fit with other leisure facilities in the town - a cinema that could also be used for community events.	10/10/2014 6:11 AM
81	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like this venue to be turned into a cinema - not a church. This will help the whole community use this beautiful space, not a small subsection.	10/10/2014 5:19 AM
82	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> I run a local business. This would affect the parking in the local area having a 1000 people arriving and offer no additional benefit for the local community. This is the only Cinema/Concert building and should not be turned into a Church!!	10/10/2014 5:10 AM
83	<b>general opposition</b> I refuse to fill in the questions in the survey above as they are so clearly slanted to favour KICC's interests. It's a cynical attempt to say you have 'engaged with the public' when you have done nothing of the sort. Incidentally I had to be told about this survey. I live on Woodland Road and neither I nor my neighbours received a copy of this survey, despite living a stone's throw away from 25 Church Road.	10/10/2014 4:55 AM
84	<b>Negative community</b> I would be more interested in a true community use venue, affordable priced and tailored exactly to the needs of the community. What is being proposed on this website is a church that has a few community used 'bolted on' rather than a true community oriented proposal.	10/10/2014 4:34 AM
85	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The building was built as a cinema and should be returned to that use. It could be used for other events, such as concerts, theatre performances, meetings etc and could also hold private hire events to increase funding, if required, but it's core use should be as a cinema to serve the whole community of Crystal Palace not as a church, for which it is not suitable, to largely serve a community from outside of the area. It is highly unlikely that the people of Crystal Palace will make use of the building if KICC's proposal is passed by Bromley Council which is a great shame and a waste of a perfectly good building which would have been brought up to state of the art standards had it been sold to the Picture House chain of cinemas for which is size and location was also perfect.	10/10/2014 4:28 AM
86	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> There are already halls and sports venues that are very accessible to cp residents, I'm unsure of the need for another multi-use venue.	10/10/2014 4:18 AM
87	<b>football</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I am unconvinced by the arguments I've read concerning parking issues. The traffic on church road and the rest of the triangle is dire and this is clearly a project aimed at those outside the local area. The majority of local residents have made it clear that they do not agree with or want the type of venue you are proposing and so foot traffic is likely to be minimal and therefore of little benefit to other local traders.	10/10/2014 4:10 AM
88	<b>Positive community</b> I didn't make it to the open day last Saturday, but went to the last one and asked if you would allow LGBT groups to hold events there. The answer was yes - as long as the content of any meeting was in line with your views and did not 'promote homosexuality'. I therefore have significant concerns that whilst KICC is proposing to run a community facility for the benefit of the local community, this will be in effect only the local community as defined/approved by KICC. The building should be used for the use of the whole community, regardless of faith, age, sexual orientation etc.	10/10/2014 3:56 AM
89	<b>general opposition</b> Never received a copy of this survey and even if I had answers would have been the same. This area is far too small to deal with the amount of people expected to come and that has no doubt been dumbed down. There is no need for such an organisation in this area everything is catered for locally	10/10/2014 3:30 AM
90	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I don't agree that this is the right venue to be used as a church. It should stay with the community as an entertainment venue, preferably a cinema.	10/10/2014 2:04 AM
91	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Public transport</b> I am a local resident and didn't receive a leaflet asking for my feedback. I am concerned about the impact on transport infrastructure; I am concerned that KICC proposals are unclear and seem to use buzz words in order to meet a prescribed check list to gain planning consent; I am unclear how the proposed facility will actually translate as a "new community hub and multi-use facility" - will events be open to all (gay and lesbian included?), who will determine the types of events, how will the building be able to meet the criteria? What new will be offered? We have cafes, churches, meeting places in CP already. I feel these questions don't allow for "other" options. Re. Qu 1: How can someone not agree with "Regeneration" per se? The qu is how is it regenerated and to what ends? Qu 3: I would like a cinema; I don't want a prescribed "Cinema Club".	10/10/2014 1:53 AM
92	<b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema would enable a different form of entertainment to the usual pubs and restaurants - something more catered to families - and would help the local economy. Currently there isn't a good cinema in close proximity to Crystal Palace - we are missing out.	10/10/2014 1:39 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

93	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Other Use</b> <b>traffic</b> Where are the 'none of the above' options on the questions above? The work that you have undertaken to the building, and the conditions you would set make this a shallow promise of a community venue. Crystal Palace already has many community venues that allow all types of groups to use their venue, what it doesn't have is an entertainment venue for the local community. How much rent would you charge? How would you decide whether to allow a group to use the venue or not? how would you ensure that corporate events didn't create a traffic problem in the area?</p>	10/10/2014 1:37 AM
94	<p><b>Negative mixed use</b> I'm not convinced that what you're proposing really is a multi-use facility – really only seems suitable for use as a church.</p>	10/10/2014 1:28 AM
95	<p><b>Positive mixed use</b> It'd be interested to know about what services the venue would offer - i.e. corporate events, weddings, community concerts etc</p>	10/10/2014 1:01 AM
96	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I truly think a cinema or arts house theatre would give this community the boost it needs and an even better place to go out. At the minute we have every thing else we need including churches. This would naturally bring more money to local business and be an even better place to live.</p>	10/10/2014 12:46 AM
97	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would prefer the building were redeveloped in to a permanant year round cinema/arts centre with no affiliation to any religious group.</p>	10/10/2014 12:41 AM
98	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema would be such a better use of this space...</p>	10/10/2014 12:23 AM
99	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Don't agree with venue being converted into a church by stealth especially when the community has so strongly expressed the desire to have an independent cinema on that site.</p>	10/10/2014 12:13 AM
100	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I support the Crystal Palace cinema campaign and believe this to be the most appropriate use for the community.</p>	10/9/2014 11:52 PM
101	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> As an atheist I do not like the thought of a community centre being associated with a religious group. Even non-religious activities held at such a venue would form part of an overarching religious agenda. For example, would a cinema club show films depicting the lgbtq community, or films wih themes which may contradict the views of the church? I find this difficult to believe. Therefore the proposal to be a multi-use community centre is actually only targeting one section of our community.</p>	10/9/2014 11:51 PM
102	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see a cinema &amp; arts facility such as the Ritzy in Brixton which shows films without religious bias for the whole community across the age range &amp; all genres. The Crown hotel &amp; Phoenix Centres are already used for multi use community venues, we do not need another on the triangle. But we are desperately lacking a local cinema which has wide support locally. As a resident that lives 5 mins walk from the proposed venue I have already been severely impacted by increased traffic &amp; inappropriate parking when KICC has held the occasional meeting In the past for their church parishioners who live outside this community . I am strongly against the change of use of this venue That KICC has requested to use as a church. And feel if KICC were sincere in this being kept as a multi purpose venue they would have opened 25 Church Road to the local community &amp; held surveys such as this years ago. During the time they have had This venue I have Only known them to have open their doors to the Crystal Palace community once.</p>	10/9/2014 11:49 PM
103	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> The premises should be returned to their proper use as a cinema, for which there is overwhelming local support.</p>	10/9/2014 11:35 PM
104	<p><b>general opposition</b> I do not believe that you will honour what you say- this is borne out by the recent reports of the discovery of a baptismal font in the building.</p>	10/9/2014 10:52 PM
105	<p><b>Negative mixed use</b> Not everyone is religious so why not give everyone the opportunity of using this building</p>	10/9/2014 10:39 PM
106	<p><b>general opposition</b> I want the venue to be retained as a leisure venue and oppose change of use to a place of worship.</p>	10/9/2014 10:15 PM
107	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> I would like Sunday service to commence ASAP .</p>	10/9/2014 4:57 PM
108	<p><b>general opposition</b> This survey is badly worded and does not give the opportunity to pass fair comment.</p>	10/9/2014 4:32 PM
109	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> The venue should be returned to its original use and would be of much more service to a multi denominational local community as a cinema meeting a common cultural need which would also contribute to the local economy.</p>	10/9/2014 4:25 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

110	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> Please pass on the following comments to Bromley Planning. I will be making a copy for the record, which will be copied to my political representatives and Bromley council. I do not support your proposals for change of use to a church. Your leaflet and survey are misleading, to say the least and have been presented in such a way as to create a picture of positive support for your proposals for which there is not, locally. They fail to cover the key issues which relate to your proposals. I do not support YOUR 'regeneration' work at the building. You fail to understand the meaning of regeneration. It is not regeneration, it is a refurbishment as a replica of your church in Hoe Street including the FONT, which reveals your true intentions in that this will be a church/church hall first and foremost and not a multi-use community venue which is open and inclusive to all; unlike other churches in the area, ironically. There are already many 'community venues' in SE19 which are far better, cheaper to hire or free. I have not answered questions 3 and 4 as there is no option to put NONE which would be my response. You have had 2 years to open up the building to the community within the D2 use but have chosen not to do so, instead holding religious events D1 for your members with ensuing traffic and parking problems which are on record. This is a damning indictment. You have failed to engage with the local community for 5 years. You have expressly said on a number of occasions that any film club will only be able to watch family friendly U cert films chosen and approved by KICC. Clearly you have not taken on board the views of a very significant number of locals that would like to see a cinema at 25 Church Road. Your proposals for hire are EXCLUSIVE! I do not trust you with my personal details. I live and work in SE19 within 10 mins walking distance from 25 Church Road.</p>	10/9/2014 4:19 PM
111	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I would very much like to see this building revert to being a cinema again.</p>	10/9/2014 4:00 PM
112	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Parking</b> Being a local resident for over twenty eight years now I have found there is no need for a multi purpose venue, there are many existing amenities for the locals and the area has a wide selection of venues for visitors to the area. What I do believe is that the establishment of yet another high profile and might I add rather large venue attracting even more people to the area will create an even greater burden on the area. There is already a finite amount of parking, which will have pushed on it an even greater weight of vehicles, and which quite honestly cannot be accomadated. We as residents are already pushed to our limits and I for one do not want to see your proposal reach it's fruition. I also believe your survey is written and presented in such a way as to give the impression that in the first place there is agreement a facility like yours is required.</p>	10/9/2014 3:50 PM
113	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Parking</b> Being a local resident for over twenty eight years now I have found there is no need for a multi purpose venue, there are many existing amenities for the locals and the area has a wide selection of venues for visitors to the area. What I do believe is that the establishment of yet another high profile and might I add rather large venue attracting even more people to the area will create an even greater burden on the area. There is already a finite amount of parking, which will have pushed on it an even greater weight of vehicles, and which quite honestly cannot be accomadated. We as residents are already pushed to our limits and I for one do not want to see your proposal reach it's fruition. I also believe your survey is written and presented in such a way as to give the impression that in the first place there is agreement a facility like yours is required.</p>	10/9/2014 3:49 PM
114	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> I do not believe that KICC is serious about hiring out the facility, and I believe that the space is too large and too expensive to be used for community events. Also, the church will not have films in a cinema club unless it is for children, which makes a mockery of the idea of a cinema club, as it would be censored by the church. There is no alcohol licence, which precludes many events, including many weddings. I also do not believe the church when they say they are going to limit the congregation to 400 - why invest in such a large venue and have seating for over 1000. This building is a valuable asset to the Crystal Palace community, and the church has not liaised with the town until now. It wreaks of window dressing and trying to appease the community until planning permission is granted and then the doors will be closed forever. There was a legitimate bid for a cinema, KICC outbid them and new that it did not have planning permission. But still they are trying to ride rough shod of planning laws. Not only this, but the influx of hundreds of members of KICC travelling twice a week to the church will have a detrimental affect on the parking for local commerce and residents.</p>	10/9/2014 3:46 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

115	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> My main concerns are: - since KICC's purchase of 25 Church Road in 2009 the church has made little or no effort to offer the premises as 'a community hub' to the local community, or to consult us about the same until now (where the questions on this form are closed and slanted); - I understand that non-Christian religious events (such as non-Christian wedding ceremonies) would not be allowed because KICC considers the building to be their place of worship. KICC would exclude lesbian, gays, and transgender people - part of OUR local community. In addition, there is a serious risk that other events would be vetted to ensure they were acceptable to KICC's beliefs. For example, no alcohol would be allowed. The proposed cinema club would only occur occasionally on Saturday mornings, be limited to family-friendly U certificate films aimed at children, selected by the KICC, and shown on a makeshift screen in the upper circle of the auditorium. The plan to use a drop-down screen to show films in the upstairs circle is not practical when the main auditorium is in use. Furthermore, I question the demand for educational events the KICC are suggesting. I suspect the venue would be used for the church's Breaking Educational Barriers programme or other non-accredited KICC educational programmes; - The building's design does not allow much flexibility - it is mainly one very large auditorium which (beyond KICC's long intended aim of holding church/religious services) will only suit very large events, perhaps conferences given its capacity of 1,100 (seated) and 2,000 – 3,000 people (standing). The carpeted floor restricts scope to use the space for community events or activities like Keep Fit, which I understand was mentioned by the planning consultants at the Open Door on 4 October. The IT drop-in centre in the lobby consists of 6/8 screens against the wall with high chairs. It is a space for email or Facebook other than study or work. What happens when large events are being held? The upstairs meeting room is small. I understand the basement meeting room is unappealing, unsuitable and damp and only suitable as storage space; -How suitable is the space for the conferences KICC wants to hold as there are no break-out spaces or significant communal areas? I do not think the building could comfortably provide lunching or dining space for say 50% capacity in a building with no significant meeting area. I believe that because of the building's design conventional non-religious conferences are unsuitable, and is not a viable income stream. -The numbers attending the proposed church service on a Sunday was estimated at 400 people – but it was unclear how this figure had been calculated and differing answers were given to Open Door attendees about whether it was a local or regional congregation. According to its website, the KICC is very proud of its fast and large growth since 1992, and very keen to grow further. Like its premises in Walthamstow, any church services would very likely attract a large number of attendees coming by car, which would put severe pressure on the free flow of traffic in already stressed roads in the immediate area. In addition, many of those cars would exacerbate the parking problems nearby, usually worse at the weekends. This would have a detrimental effect on footfall needed for the business in and around I have no confidence in KICC's travel consultants who believed that trip attractions for cars would be about 60 car trips and car parking need could be met by using local streets within 400m and Crystal Palace Park. However, I understand when challenged, it was clear that this assumed numbers attending would be limited to 400 people and many would use public transport. Clearly this can't be guaranteed - after all the building holds up to 1100 people seated and 2,000 – 3,000 people standing. To allow the KICC to hold church services, large conferences/music concerts would very probably worsen the traffic and parking problems around the Triangle, and add to the expected traffic problems to be caused by the major development o of part of Crystal Palace Park.</p>	10/9/2014 3:41 PM
116	<p><b>Negative community</b> I would not be able to hire the facilities as the prices are far too high, the proposed change of use for a place of worship will have a negative effect on the open minded community of Crystal Palace</p>	10/9/2014 3:22 PM
117	<p><b>Positive mixed use</b> <b>traffic</b> I do not support the change of use for 25 Church Road due to concerns about the increase in traffic congestion this is likely to cause and because I do not believe that KICC/Open Door will be able to provide the community with leisure/entertainment activities for all residents, regardless of their background or beliefs. I have no opposition to the presence of religious/faith/cultural activities in my neighbourhood which differ from my own but it would be great if all members of the Upper Norwood community had access to entertainment locally. I don't think that KICC will have the commercial ability to provide this. Thankyou for the opportunity to give feedback.</p>	10/9/2014 3:20 PM
118	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I believe the building should be used solely as a cinema</p>	10/9/2014 3:03 PM
119	<p><b>Negative community</b> I think the change of use for religious worship proposed by the KICC will have a detrimental impact on the multicultural, open minded community of the area</p>	10/9/2014 3:00 PM
120	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not agree that this venue should be a religious venue. It should be open to all of the local community. It was a cinema and should be refurbished back to being a cinema.</p>	10/9/2014 2:49 PM
121	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> I don't think this space should be used a religious space. What parking provision are you providing?</p>	10/9/2014 2:48 PM
122	<p><b>general opposition</b> I do not support a change of use for this venue.</p>	10/9/2014 2:44 PM
123	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support your application for planning. I do not want the venue turned into a church</p>	10/9/2014 2:41 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

124	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please give crystal palace our cinema back	10/9/2014 2:39 PM
125	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Public transport</b> <b>traffic</b> I feel the proposed use is incompatible with this building. The location and access is constrained, and the proposed use will result in significant detriment to the local area from transport, vehicular and pedestrian traffic, and local business/economy perspectives.	10/9/2014 2:33 PM
126	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I hear you've distributed 1700 leaflets in the community to hear what residents feel about your plans. We live nearby, as do other friends, and none of us have received a leaflet. I completely agree we need a multi-use community venue but not in this form. The Triangle is a social hub where intimate eateries and small venues nurture meaningful local networks. A cinema would be a far better use for your venue, attracting locals and those from a further afield which would then attract more business to the triangle. We don't need largescale regular events which would cause havoc with the local infrastructure. Would prefer not to give my contact details but intend on contacting Bromley and our local MP to protest.	10/9/2014 2:29 PM
127	<b>Positive church on site</b> IThe building is ideal for a place of worship as there are enough pub and restaurant in the area.	10/9/2014 2:12 PM
128	<b>Miscellaneous</b> I find it strange that you list disabled access as a facility , surely disabled access is a means of enabling people to enjoy a facility. The other fac	10/9/2014 2:10 PM
129	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> Your proposals do not benefit the Crystal Palace community. Your "multi-use" plans are geared around your church and your followers only, they do not take into account the views or needs of the local residents or businesses. As such, they are a scam to get planning permission for your church.	10/9/2014 2:09 PM
130	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> i support the open door, they will benefit the community.	10/9/2014 2:09 PM
131	<b>Positive cinema</b> I am behind the campaign for this location to be a cinema. I feel that this would be the best option not just for the community but for the future prospect of crystal palace.	10/9/2014 2:04 PM
132	<b>Negative community</b> <b>traffic</b> 1. I'm concerned about traffic congestion problems for large-scale events with a congregation from outside the area. The Triangle is already a traffic nightmare. 2. Responses to enquiries from local residents suggest that only certain family-friendly activities will be allowed at the discretion of the KICC. This does not meet the inclusive criteria required of any building supposed to function as a community hub. I am very concerned at suggestions that lesbian and gay events would not be permitted- this would be unacceptable discrimination. To function as a real community hub, the building and its management must be willing to accept activities open to a diverse representative section of the local community.	10/9/2014 1:57 PM
133	<b>Negative cinema</b> All that is needed from this site is a cinema. Not a sham cinema club once a month but an actual cinema.	10/9/2014 1:56 PM
134	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We do not want or need another church in the area. What we do want and need is a cinema. This is supported by the whole community and	10/9/2014 1:56 PM
135	<b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I don't believe you are an inclusive organisation. I strongly believe that the last thing our local community needs is a religious organisation that holds back the truth about what you really are planning to do i.e. why hide a baptismal font? I would definitely prefer to have a cinema and will never be able to support any religious organisation in our local building nor ever use it for any reason. Which is such a shame as I live around the corner from this historical building.	10/9/2014 1:52 PM
136	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I don't believe you are an inclusive organisation. I strongly believe that the last thing our local community needs is a religious organisation that holds back the truth about what you really are planning to do i.e. why hide a baptismal font? I would definitely prefer to have a cinema and will never be able to support any religious organisation in our local building nor ever use it for any reason. Which is such a shame as I live around the corner from this historical building.	10/9/2014 1:52 PM
137	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> It needs to stay an entertainment spot as the area's only venue of this kind. A church when we have many will not benefit the local trade.	10/9/2014 1:49 PM
138	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please change it to a cinema.	10/9/2014 1:47 PM
139	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We do not want a church in crystal palace. Bring back a small independent cinema.	10/9/2014 1:46 PM
140	<b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema will give crystal palace an even more community feel and encourage further regeneration to church street	10/9/2014 1:45 PM
141	<b>general opposition</b> Proposal is not the best use of such an important building for the local community. I feel that KICC has been dishonest in its portrayal of its intentions. Even the phrasing of the survey here is biased	10/9/2014 1:38 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

142	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Parking</b> I had been interested in finding out about hiring the space for a series of debates, but when I asked at the open day about hiring I discovered that it would be incredibly expensive, and would also have to be in keeping with the values of the church. This means it wont really be a multi use community venue, but a multi use religious venue. I am also incredibly concerned about traffic, litter and noise. The church doesn't appear to be bringing anything to the local community that isn't here already and I am not sure who the local congregation (who will be apparently be arriving by minibus according to the transport consultation I spoke to on Sat 4th?) are, as there is already a large church less than 90 second away, on the road parallel. However, I am sure that when the church understand that their proposition is being met with such negative feelings in the local community, that they will take back their proposals.</p>	10/9/2014 1:37 PM
143	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Yes, the option for this facility to include D1 Place of Worship is not a generic requirement for the community, as not all of the community will have a religious background, therefore a generic option that does not segregate those who do not wish to worship would be a more tangible community option, specifically allowing the option of worship is not and will not be a benefit to the whole community only a select few.</p>	10/9/2014 1:33 PM
144	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like the building to be sold to a cinema company so that we can have an independent cinema in Crystal Palace. I appreciate your desire to run this for your own religious events, but I believe there are more suitable venues elsewhere and ones that do not have so much local opposition. Not listening to the community is very disappointing.</p>	10/9/2014 1:30 PM
145	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> this space should be a cinema. there is no need for a church and kicc is in violation of planning permission and they will continue to face opposition as long as they reside there</p>	10/9/2014 1:27 PM
146	<p><b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> .I live locally and I envisage traffic and parking chaos caused by the church services and events proposed .If the place is used for massive weddings that also cause and parking issues on Patterson Road/Milestone Rd on regular basis.e.g The weddings at Queens Hotel on Church Road are often big and people come by cars not public transport ! As a conference venue it would not attract normal kind of conferences....I imagine it would end up being religious events disguised as conferences that would take place...The local community want a "Picturehouse type of cinema and ambience" not dvd's shown on big screen with a cup of tea.</p>	10/9/2014 1:21 PM
147	<p><b>Positive church on site</b> I would like the building to be used as a place of worship.</p>	10/9/2014 1:13 PM
148	<p><b>Miscellaneous</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I would like this building to be a multi-functional space that is attractive and useable for local people and used in the spirit of community. I would like the owners to take responsibility for outside tidiness and maintenance immediately. I would like religious and non-religious social and artistic endeavours to be able to use this space without censure.</p>	10/9/2014 1:04 PM
149	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The community would really like a cinema. I am disappointed to learn that a baptismal pool has been built in the ex cinema. Truly God works in mysterious and "outside the law" ways</p>	10/9/2014 12:49 PM
150	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see this building used as was originally intended, that being a cinema.</p>	10/9/2014 12:25 PM
151	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> The venue must NOT be used for any religious purpose. KCC have acted continued to seek to mislead bromley and local residents as to the intended use of the venue. They MUST abide by the current use license.</p>	10/9/2014 12:14 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

152	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This feedback form is so badly constructed, it is not fit for task - it is clearly skewed to give you a positive response. Where is the 'none of the above' option for a start? Anyway, back to the matter in hand, we want this precious building to be regenerated in a manner that will benefit our community, both local residents and businesses. I am a local shop owner (also located on Church Road) and I can see no benefit to the community from your proposals - your proposed church, sorry 'community venue', is an inconvenient irrelevance in our town. Your congregation are not locals and they do not patronise our local businesses, the only way I suspect locals will be aware of whether you are holding a service will be when they cannot find a parking space. Alternatively they may spot your minibuses arriving and leaving immediately after - what benefit or relevance does this hold for the people of Crystal Palace? Our town has plentiful places of worship to service the needs of the local community - many located directly on the Triangle. This building is part of our cultural heritage, I have lived in Crystal Palace all my life, I was sad when it became a Bingo hall, but nowhere near as sad and concerned as I feel since KICC moved in. Please do not underestimate us - we are not daft - we can see that any talk of a 'community hub' will disappear if you manage to obtain permission for your church and regardless, this community is already well endowed with community meeting points - we have the Phoenix Centre, the Salvation Army halls, even the Crystal Palace Food Market has become a bustling community hub, not to mention the numerous church halls in the local area which are available for hire. We categorically do not need a 1000+ capacity super slick arena with a baptism pool - there is no LOCAL demand to hire this sort of venue and I'm sure you know that as well as us. This response is not driven by anti-religious feeling, it is driven by a love for the town where I have lived for 30 years. I have seen Crystal Palace go through some very rough times, when it was viewed by many as an area to avoid, dangerous, scruffy and suffering from neglect as a result of it's position on the boundary of 5 London boroughs. In those years the cinema was simply not viable - people didn't want to come to Crystal Palace for a day out. But now they do, Crystal Palace is blossoming, and our cinema is once again economically viable, with the potential to become a strong commercial and social heart for our community. It would provide an incredible boost for local businesses - Crystal Palace has so many vibrant independent businesses, all of whom have great potential - but we need an anchor - an attraction to draw the crowds all year long - that is never going to be your church/multi use community hub. Your proposals only threaten to stunt the growth we are seeing here by removing a huge potential asset from the community. I love the diversity of Crystal Palace - the great number of different communities meeting in one town - but we have enough churches, we have enough community centres, we don't need a baptism pool, now is the time for our cinema to regain it's former glory and give our town the social and economic boost it needs. As a community we are politely imploring you to please find a new location more appropriate to both your and our needs.</p>	10/9/2014 12:10 PM
153	<p><b>general opposition</b> I live locally and I did not received the survey at home. I am not interested in your proposal and I strongly believe this survey is biased</p>	10/9/2014 12:06 PM
154	<p><b>Negative community</b> It's not catered for the whole community.</p>	10/9/2014 12:03 PM
155	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> I do not support a church or any religious activity as it is not inclusive of the community</p>	10/9/2014 12:00 PM
156	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> Don't need any format of religious use of what should be an open access public facility</p>	10/9/2014 11:55 AM
157	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Please can you find a church to use as a church and give us our cinema back.</p>	10/9/2014 11:35 AM
158	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The building should be a cinema as the whole community needs this rather than a church.</p>	10/9/2014 11:02 AM
159	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> There is no need for another church in Crystal Palace. I would prefer to see the venue used as a cinema only, unrestricted by religious censorship and unencumbered with worship, evangelists, and antiquated views of equality and community.</p>	10/9/2014 10:48 AM
160	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal palace needs regeneration for everyone. A cinema is what we want as this will be open to the whole community. Please listen to us!</p>	10/9/2014 10:44 AM
161	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> I would not like to see a venue in the triangle which held large scale church services. In any case traffic/parking is a problem and should be discouraged. I would rather the building was properly restored and returned to its original use.</p>	10/9/2014 10:31 AM
162	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I think it would be of intrinsic value not just for the local community but, a cultural magnet to attract people from further afield to Crystal Palace. It can only be of major benefit to have our own local cinema and the attracted commerce it would bring .</p>	10/9/2014 10:16 AM
163	<p><b>Negative cinema</b> The plans will not benefit the local community which needs a cinema, not a 'cinema-club' run by a religious organisation.</p>	10/9/2014 10:12 AM
164	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema would be of real benefit to the kocal residents</p>	10/9/2014 10:03 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

165	<b>Negative community</b> I think that the building is very important locally and should retain its exclusive D2 use, and be operated by an organisation which is open to the local community which KICC is not	10/9/2014 9:55 AM
166	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see a return of a cinema to 25 Church Road, which can freely show films of all (legal) genres, suitable for all members of the wider community. This would include (but not exhaustively) films for children, and adults, be they blockbusters or lesser known art films, local interest films as recently shown at the Antenna Studios, films for all tastes, from all cultures and creeds, whether a minority or not, also lesbian, gay and transgender, and in no way should they be censored on a religious or personal moral basis. The venue is too big to be a local conference hall, and we are already very well off in the local area for sports, fitness, yoga etc, with several venues in the triangle itself, not to mention the National Sports Centre. The triangle is already well off for the most wonderful independent cafés, bars and restaurants, Internet cafes. The building was designed as an entertainment venue, specifically for cinema for the local community and should remain so. A cinema would help bring more footfall to the area, encouraging financial growth, assisting other local businesses to increase turnover. What KICC propose is a church behind a smoke screen of mixed uses, which will in my view benefit the local community in no way whatsoever, with expensive rental charges for rooms, and the proposed films to be shown will be purely of a U certificate 'family friendly' nature (to quote), which in itself will exclude much if the community, and is therefore tantamount to censorship and has no place in our community. This survey unfortunately fails to offer suitable answer options to some questions, so I was unable to answer all the points as I would have liked.	10/9/2014 9:30 AM
167	<b>general support</b> Having a nice freindly place to go with my young family where I can relax whilst they are enjoying themselves is important to me and trust the Open Door Venue will be just the ticket for me.	10/9/2014 9:22 AM
168	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I am a local resident and I also work for a local business in Crystal Palace. I do not support your proposals for change of use of 25 Church Road to a church and conference centre. I have seen the impact on traffic and parking in the Crystal Palace Triangle when KICC held their dedication service and religious business conference on a Saturday afternoon back in 2012. Local businesses rely on parking at the weekend which is their busiest trading period during the week. I am not answering questions 3 and 4 as my answer would be NONE. However there is no option to record NONE. I am forwarding my comments to Bromley Planning and will expect them to appear in your report to the council	10/9/2014 9:18 AM
169	<b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I am a local businesss owner and I do not support your proposals for 25 Church Road. I have seen the impact of some of your events on parking and traffic in Crystal Palace Triangle. I also did not receive your leaflet, yet our business is on Church Road.	10/9/2014 9:11 AM
170	<b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema would be great for Crystal Palace.	10/9/2014 9:07 AM
171	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> In my view, the only community centre that Crystal Palace still needs is its own cinema. We've got plenty of cafes, sports facilities and churches. A cinema would be a great focal and meeting place for the entire community.	10/9/2014 8:59 AM
172	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I completely disagree that there is any need for a mixed-use D1/D2 venue in Crystal Palace, when the Salvation Army already fills this role in our community. Rather, as our only large D2 venue, it is vital that this asset remains D2. The information provided by Open Door has done nothing to show that the building's use as a place of worship will benefit the local community; and the exorbitant cost of hiring the building, in addition to the restrictions placed upon its use (such as only being able to show approved films), will make its use as a 'community hub' limited at best. This building has had permission to be used for public events for the past four years, and in that time, it has only been used a handful of times, and invariably for events of a religious nature. Where, then, is the evidence that Open Door have any interest whatsoever in making this building a community asset, rather than a place of worship that can be hired? I do not feel that this consultation represents a true desire to engage with the local community, but is instead a cynical and disingenuous attempt to obtain a change of use that has already been denied, and that was strongly contested by the community at the time. As a person who grew up as an active participant in the life and worship of my local parish church, I am truly shocked and dismayed by the way that KICC has ignored the wishes and needs of the local community and pursued its own selfish ends. This is not Christian behaviour, and it reflects poorly on KICC, on the church, and on Christians.	10/9/2014 8:54 AM
173	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace needs a proper cinema to serve the whole community, not a religious venue that only serves a small minority. I therefore object to this application.	10/9/2014 8:31 AM
174	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We do not need another place of worship in Crystal Palace. The majority of the local community would prefer a cinema.	10/9/2014 8:11 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

175	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> I don't agree which the way you have used the building to date. There has been nothing community focused. The very few times that something has happened there have all had a religious theme, which seems out of tune with the communities needs	10/9/2014 8:07 AM
176	<b>general opposition</b> There is no option in your survey to select answers to Q3 and Q4 as 'none' or 'none of these options', nor have you provided a way to enter other suggestions as answers. This is a unsatisfactory survey questionnaire and does not provide a relevant or accurate consultation of local people.	10/9/2014 7:59 AM
177	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> This change of use application should not be approved. Crystal Palace needs a cinema, not just a "cinema club"	10/9/2014 7:48 AM
178	<b>Positive community</b> It would be good for the facility to be used for purposes I ticked enough clubs and the behaviours that go along with it	10/9/2014 7:35 AM
179	<b>Miscellaneous</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Apparently there have been leaflets and information distributed to the residents. I live two minutes away from the site and have received no information. Even notice of the Open Day to view the site was unknown to me. It makes it difficult to see what local consultation is taking place. There is a big demand for a cinema and as this building has a historical use as a cinema, why is this option not being offered to the local community? I would like to be kept informed about your proposal by having information distributed to all local residents without having to make a special request.	10/9/2014 7:35 AM
180	<b>Negative church on site</b> We don't want a church. You aren't fooling anyone.	10/9/2014 7:26 AM
181	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> we do not need another miracle temple abusing the weak and vulnerable and extorting money from them SALVATIO IS FREE.Next thing children and mentally ill will be being EXORCISED,BEATEN AND STARVED to get demons out of them.NO THANK YOU. NOT IN MY BACK YARD!!!!	10/9/2014 7:25 AM
182	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I would like to see a multi purpose community venue that is not affiliated to a religious organisation. I am an antenatal teacher and hire rooms in the local area for teaching but ideally look for venues without a religious slant. Although I am not anti anyone practicing their faith I think it is a huge shame that the building is currently used in this manner. In my opinion we have far too many churches and not enough spaces that are real community venues - open to all whatever their faith or sexuality. I would like to see a real multi-purpose community centre, cinema or theatre venue in the heart of Crystal Palace. I think this venue is wholly unsuitable for mass conferences and the suggested fees for room hire extortionate. For your information the charity I work for would usually not pay more than £16 per hour for a venue - I teach classes with 16 person max.	10/9/2014 7:13 AM
183	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do nit support the application for change if use. I do not believe that KICC will work with the local community. It appears that members of the congregation do not live locally and will not benefit the local community . The venue should be used by local people with freedom to choose what it is used for without religious prejudices. I support the campaign for the site to become a cinema . This would be a great benefit to the local community and local trade.	10/9/2014 6:00 AM
184	<b>Positive cinema</b> It is such a great Cinema ma that a great asset to the Community . No change of use.	10/9/2014 5:56 AM
185	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This proposal is not the right type of development for the old cinema site. The site deserves to be given the opportunity to return to its glory days of providing a REAL multi-use facility to the area. One that is not constrained by the ideologies of the tenants. Crystal Palace DOES NOT require more churches. Crystal Palace DOES require a cinema which operates nightly oand shows films which cover the full spectrum of the community's tastes.	10/9/2014 5:55 AM
186	<b>Positive community</b> This will be very good for both young and old people	10/9/2014 5:40 AM
187	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Yes, this building should be returned to the wider community and allowed to flourish as a full-time cinema and live entertainment venue - the purpose for which it was built. Altering its use into a place of worship is a 'by the back door' cynical and morally questionable way of exploiting the planning system. It is ultimately bad for the borough and unfair to local residents.	10/9/2014 5:33 AM
188	<b>Negative community</b> We want a facility that properly represents the interests and culture of our community, which the religious-based facility KICC are setting up does not. We also have no trust in KICC, who lied for instance about the presence of the baptism pool. I oppose your planning application and will write further comments to Bromley	10/9/2014 5:18 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

189	<b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Negative community</b> We already have a multi-use community venue in Crystal Palace, the Phoenix Community Centre. We also have plenty of cafes (with wifi) and meetings rooms (including Living Water Satisfies & the Phoenix centre). The Crystal Palace National Sports Centre offers a plethora of fitness courses, as do several local businesses. It is highly unlikely i would benefit from any of the above mentioned services at Open Door. The residents of Crystal Palace would welcome a local cinema as would I: however the above mentioned cinema club showing only films approved by the KICC governing body would be unlikely to inspire me to attend.	10/9/2014 5:10 AM
190	<b>Negative community</b> I live in SE19 and did not receive this leaflet through the post. The questions in this leaflet are not presented in a neutral way and so the accumulated response cannot be seen as representative of local opinion. Any events at the venue under the current ownership will be dominated by the owner's agenda and philosophy, and so will not be encouraging to genuine community use. The way their debate over its use has not been genuinely open to the whole local community shows that the same will be the case if they are allowed to use this venue as a church - even under the guise of a 'multi-use community venue'.	10/9/2014 5:09 AM
191	<b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Public transport</b> The open door centre is a unique facility right in the heart of crystal palace. At the moment, it seems to be the only civic centre with modern state of the art facilities. I believe the additional use as a church venue will greatly benefit the local people who live in crystal palace and its nearby localities such as Dulwich ( where I live), Norwood and Penge. The use of the facility as a church service venue will greatly enhance the local vibrancy of the area. It will also increase the revenue generating capacity of the area. It is also an area that has good transport connections and thus members will be able to travel to the venue using public transport. From a personal perspective, it will mean that I do not have to travel to Walthamstow which is about a 22 mile journey for weekly services.	10/9/2014 5:09 AM
192	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I am a local Crystal Palace resident and am strongly in support of 25 Church Road being reinstated as a local cinema and am not at all in support of the planning use being changed by KICC from an entertainment building to a place of worship. I have not received any leaflets to inform me or to get my views and I don't feel I have been consulted by KICC on their proposals. I am against the plans for the building to be a church as the area is already well served with many local churches, so I back the local support for the Picture Palace campaign and feel the reinstatement of a local cinema would be of much more benefit to residents and the community.	10/9/2014 5:02 AM
193	<b>Other Use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not agree with this building being changed to a 'place of worship' and changed to a D1. It should remain at D2 for assembly and leisure which includes use as a cinema, dance hall. This would benefit the area better. A cinema club for children on a sunday morning would be great for example. We have plenty of cafes in the area.	10/9/2014 4:54 AM
194	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Yes. I strongly support all of KICC's plan for 25 Curch Road, Crystal Palace. I am extremely excited about this proposal by KICC. I believe if implemented, all being well through the Borough's approval, it would bring so much vibrancy to Crystal Palace. It will further benefit the people living in and around the area in so many ways. For example, On the doorstep venue for so many activities, most important of all, their daily walk with God their Creator to name but a few. This is a wonderful proposal. There is so much benefit to be made through this plan to the public in general, and I would strongly urge the Councillors in Bromley Borough to look on the bright side and the long term and positive things that this project/plan has. Thank you. Dorcas Anai.	10/9/2014 4:47 AM
195	<b>general opposition</b> The British government are still investigating and are soon to release findings on KICC's senior pastor, Matthew Ashimolowo's alleged financial misdeeds. At this point in time adding another venue to KICC for him and his colleagues to potentially further exploit seems fundamentally short-sighted. Until the well documented accusations are answered fully and the finding of the investigation released I believe this expansion of KICC should be halted.	10/9/2014 4:41 AM
196	<b>general opposition</b> I haven't ticked the above boxes as I don't agree the change of use for this premises. It should remain as a D2 use - Assembly and Leisure. I also don't fee this survey gives a clear overview of what exactly the planning proposals are. It is too vague.	10/9/2014 4:34 AM
197	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like this to be a cinema	10/9/2014 4:19 AM
198	<b>Positive cinema</b> Why are there no options for not using the facility? This seems like a one sided questionnaire. I would rather have a ritzy cinema at the site!	10/9/2014 4:14 AM
199	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> The proposed hourly rate is far too high for this venue to be genuinely available to the community. It is also clear that KICC are effectively running this as a religious venue.	10/9/2014 4:04 AM
200	<b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema would be fantastic for Crystal Palace. All the people I know in the area would support this rather than having to travel to other cinemas outside the area. A cinema would be a great community hub.	10/9/2014 3:53 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

201	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> I would like to see the building used as a cinema, not just showing U certificate films approved by the owners for children and families in a cinema club. The cost of hire is much too high to appeal to community groups. Without a licence to serve alcohol I doubt this would appeal to people as a venue to hire for weddings and other social events etc. I understand that the owners would not permit same sex weddings and civil partnerships to be held at the venue so it could therefore not serve all members of the community equally. I can't support this kind of prejudice Why has a baptismal pool been built beneath the stage? Isn't this in contravention of current use? I feel transport congestion would still be a really big issue for local residents given the numbers the venue can hold, and that most of the proposed congregation do not live in the area</p>	10/9/2014 3:42 AM
202	<p><b>Negative mixed use</b> I DO NOT support the KICCs plans to make 25 Church Road in to a multi purpose community centre!!!! Your form is not honest and is very misleading.</p>	10/9/2014 3:39 AM
203	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I would prefer the premises to be used as a cinema, with possible uses for local needs</p>	10/9/2014 3:38 AM
204	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This is a perfect spot for a much-needed cinema to bring jobs and people into the area (the latter to spend their money in local pubs/cafes/restaurants etc). We have many churches serving the area already and no local cinema. A church is exclusive but cinema is for everyone!</p>	10/9/2014 3:36 AM
205	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I disagree entirely with a church taking over a space that should be for public entertainment with no faith connotations. We have library space that should be used in the way you suggest for the community—a place where religion is not brought into the equation. I cannot understand why a church would have bought this community space, specifically to attempt to change its use to meet your own religious needs. I believe the council should reject the proposal, and inject some funding to our already underused community spaces such as the library. The old cinema could be a wonderful asset to our community as a cinema and social/entertainment space without religious undertones.</p>	10/9/2014 3:19 AM
206	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I would rather this was an old-style cinema than a religious conference venue.</p>	10/9/2014 3:13 AM
207	<p><b>Negative community</b> The proposed uses of the "Open Door" facility appear to replicate those already available in Crystal Palace and offer nothing new, vibrant or exciting for local residents. I am very concerned that the "Open Door" marketing campaign is being used by the KICC as a front for their intentions to ultimately open a place of worship. The potential use of the building as a KICC church concerns me as it will exclude many members of the local community who are not members of that particular group. The building historically was of significance to the Crystal Palace community as a hub for local interaction. It will be sad to see such a prominent building closed to certain members of the local community and being used for non-inclusive purposes by people who are not necessarily local residents (not to mention the knock on transport issues this will create for the already congested "triangle"). Crystal Palace has changed significantly over the last 4-5 years and is slowly continuing to be regenerated, most notably along Church Road. I am strongly of the view that the use of this building as a non-inclusive church is not in-keeping with the demand from local residents (actual demand is visible in the opening of shops/restaurants/vibrant businesses on Church Road). I am aware that another proposed use for the building is a cinema which I strongly feel would improve the leisure provision on the triangle, would be well attended, thereby supporting local businesses and providing a real social hub for the local community. I am surprised that the Council are not supportive of a more inclusive leisure use for this highly important and prominent building which if developed correctly and appropriately has the potential to act as a catalyst for the continued regeneration and economic improvement of the whole area. I strongly feel that if the use of this building is approved for worship, the Council will have missed a very significant opportunity to boost the regeneration of Church Road and the Triangle, thereby supporting local businesses and residents who are trying to regenerate the area. The use of the building by KICC as a church, in my opinion, will be viewed exceedingly negatively by residents and will be a very sad lost opportunity for the local community.</p>	10/9/2014 3:10 AM
208	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> Yes I wish this venue to be returned to a cinema for the whole community to use. I do not support it's use as a church or place of worship. I require that KICC make it clear that this will be used as a church / place of worship rather than the 'multi use community venue' as I do not know any other 'multi use community venue' where an adult sized fully immersive baptismal font is necessary.</p>	10/9/2014 2:52 AM
209	<p><b>Public transport</b> I will suggest that church bus could help during any event from the tube station to reduce long walk from the tube station to the church</p>	10/9/2014 2:35 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

210	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I find it highly ironic that this is your only level of consultation with the local community, using a survey which has guided questions presented to make it look like the respondent is supportive KICC's proposals for the building. What I want in fact to point out is that you have been woefully neglectful of the local community in Crystal Palace, who have no desire or need for an additional religious venue, but who have made it totally clear that what they want is a cinema. And you have the power to sell this building, which quite frankly you do not need, but yet you refuse to do so to save face. I am not supportive of your proposals, for three reasons: 1. You have previously been refused change of use, and the reasoned arguments for that decision still stand, e.g. impact on traffic, parking, noise. What has changed in that time? Nothing, all these things would still have a detrimental impacts on the local infrastructure. 2. despite promising numerous facilities for the local community, this building has gone unused for 4 years, except 'illegal' religious services specifically for KICC members. Your promises are futile and you come across as disingenuous. With that in mind, I cannot trust any proposals you put forward to want to service the local community. 3. finally, your level of community consultation is atrocious and I do have very strong misgivings that the ethos of your church wouldn't tally with my needs as an LGBT member of the community. I recall that some of your leaders actively petitioned against fundamental LGBT rights to Parliament. That is hardly inclusive and does not instil confidence that you would welcome me, as an LGBT woman, to access your services or allow me to speak freely about my life.</p>	10/9/2014 2:21 AM
211	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I am a local resident living just ten minutes' walk from 25 Church Road.. I do not feel that The Open Door provides any facilities or makes any contribution to the community at Crystal Palace. 25 Church Road is a purpose built cinema and returning to that use would be the most beneficial outcome for the people of Crystal Palace. The area has many orthodox churches and church organisations which are genuinely local, unlike KICC, and cater fully for the community's spiritual needs. What this area does not have is a cinema of the kind that has regenerated the areas around the Ritz in Brixton and the Clapham Picturehouse.</p>	10/9/2014 2:20 AM
212	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Local people, and I personally, want a cinema back in Crystal Palace. The current owners are deeply unpopular locally, the organisation seems questionable at best, and do not support a change of use. Bromley Council, PLEASE listen to the people who live in the locality and their wishes and do not allow this very wealthy but financially questionable organisation to steamroller local opinion.</p>	10/9/2014 2:17 AM
213	<p><b>Negative mixed use</b> Your building is unwelcome. We do not want, or need a "multi-use venue" in CP. We have many of them already.</p>	10/9/2014 2:11 AM
214	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> My husband and I live on Westow Street and we do not support this application - we would like to see the building as a cinema again, and think this would add much more to the community.</p>	10/9/2014 2:08 AM
215	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like this building to be a dedicated local cinema only.</p>	10/9/2014 2:03 AM
216	<p><b>general opposition</b> You are an invasive force, who are in breach of your lease. You hold religious services in the building, have constructed an illegal baptmissal font in the cinema, and repeatedly lied to residents and the council about your plans and motives. You are nor welcome in se19. We, the community, will never welcome you. Your lies and deception bring shame on the faith you claim to represent.</p>	10/9/2014 1:40 AM
217	<p><b>general opposition</b> You are an invasive force, who are in breach of your lease. You hold religious services in the building, have constructed an illegal baptmissal font in the cinema, and repeatedly lied to residents and the council about your plans and motives. You are nor welcome in se19. We, the community, will never welcome you. Your lies and deception bring shame on the faith you claim to represent.</p>	10/9/2014 1:40 AM
218	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would not like the venue to be used as a church, and do not support the change of use application. I would like it to be reinstated as a cinema to serve the community.</p>	10/9/2014 1:36 AM
219	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> I support the proposal for this venue to be used as a multipurpose centre inclusive of church services as it will be one way of uniting a community. Also I believe that the "Open Door " as the name denotes will provide an avenue of recreation and leisure where your mind, soul and body can be fed with positivity and hope. One envisions that the activities at this venue will sustain a much needed requirement for today's journey of life whereby each person (regardless of race, class or creed) can feel re-energised and empowered as they fellowship and network in the warmth of the Crystal Palace premises.</p>	10/9/2014 1:31 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

220	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I absolutely support the creation of a cinema for the community but not the creation of a church - which is what has been done in the face of planning regulations and opposition from the community. I think that it is unacceptable for the KICC to be holding what are clearly church services under the guise of 'concerts', and to have undertaken changes to the building without having obtained the permissions for change of use. I am not convinced that the 'facilities' will be as accessible to the community as has been implied, or indeed fit for many of the purposes that have been set out in your leaflet (given that the building was designed to be a cinema). Indeed I would not be prepared to use any of the facilities, given that the money would go back to the KICC - and I fully disagree with everything in the way that KICC has bulldozed itself into a space that the community clearly wanted to use for something else. Rebranding this as 'Open Space' is a figleaf to cover the fact that the KICC continues to flout planning regulations, community need and community wishes. Please do send this to the council with the other responses you have received. However I will also be sending a copy of this to my local councillors and MP directly and urging them to continue to oppose any change of use to this site. I am providing my name and address but I do not wish to receive any contact from your organisation.</p>	10/9/2014 1:27 AM
221	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I want this old cinema to remain a D2 entertainment venue</p>	10/9/2014 1:23 AM
222	<p><b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not feel there is a need for a multi use establishment. There are already plenty of local businesses offering a range of the services you could provide. Rather than put further strain in independent local traders why not enhance the area with a new venture. An independent cinema (not a tokenistic cinema club) would be a real bonus to the area. The building is so beautiful that it is a shame to convert it to a religious community which would reduce inclusivity and exclude all members of the local community accessing it. We already have a community centre which offers so many of the things suggested (albeit not on such a grand scale).</p>	10/9/2014 12:35 AM
223	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I feel that this survey is ludicrous. The Doors have been closed since KICC have redeveloped the site. This seems like a pointless exercise in trying to engage the Crystal Palace locals in a project that has ignored us and what we as a local close knit community want and would like in OUR community. Please just give up and sell to PictureHouse where we know that we will have a Community Building that will serve us well all whatever our beliefs and backgrounds.</p>	10/8/2014 11:47 PM
224	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> It should be open to all the community and not reclassified as a place of worship.</p>	10/8/2014 11:46 PM
225	<p><b>Negative community</b> This building should be returned to its permitted, legal use. Immediately. Stop destroying our community resource. Your motives are distinctly uncharitable, and you form no part of the local community.</p>	10/8/2014 11:29 PM
226	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> I think this property should be used as a true community venue - not a church dressed up as one. I think the fact we are at a point when a Church is acting in this manner is a real shame and KICC should be ashamed of themselves - I hope you actually do show these results to the council and don't doctor them, something I cannot, unfortunately, rule out. Please take into account the wishes of local residents. This is not anti-religious (I am a Christian) - this is just about doing what is best for the community at large and your proposals do not fulfill this,</p>	10/8/2014 11:27 PM
227	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I live in se19 and have never been consulted about your plans for this venue, face to face or by leafleting. You are effectively robbing a community of a great asset ( a cinema) for absolutely no reason at all. I have lived here for a year and never seen you use this building once. Please sell, move somewhere where you get what you want, and let us have what we want ( a thriving community cinema, not an empty building)</p>	10/8/2014 11:20 PM
228	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> I believe this survey is as deceiving as KICC's attempts at trying to fool residents and the council into believing anything they say in their proposals for the purpose of this building. I do not trust anything you say. If it remains in the hands of KICC it will always remain a church venue and not a multi use venue as described.</p>	10/8/2014 11:00 PM
229	<p><b>Negative cinema</b> A cinema club should not have any limits placed upon it</p>	10/8/2014 10:51 PM
230	<p><b>football</b> <b>Positive community</b> It is a beautiful venue and so very well restored. I cannot wait to see the effects of its opening and serving the local community, injecting some new life in the area. My friends in Bromley are excited and I look forward to seeing more of the area myself.</p>	10/8/2014 10:34 PM
231	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I think that KICC should do the decent thing and sell 25 Church Road instead of insulting local residents intelligence by sending out very few and very biased questionnaires - I don't want 25 Church Road to be used as a place of worship, that has very little benefit to residents or to the economic health of the area.</p>	10/8/2014 10:09 PM
232	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> I will not be using this facility because I disagree with its use by an evangelical religious group who had no previous connections with the local community.</p>	10/8/2014 5:14 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

233	<b>Negative church on site</b> I oppose the planning submission as expressed. I am interested in a non religious social space which is not envisaged in this application.	10/8/2014 5:03 PM
234	<b>Positive community</b> Can anyone use your facilities?	10/8/2014 4:55 PM
235	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> the will help the young people to have good moral a respect to parents.	10/8/2014 4:41 PM
236	<b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I do. It support any religious services. I live in Patterson road and am seriously concerned with the impact of traffic and parking. I am also concerned with the attitude your consultants are taking particularly as there is a baptising pool inside th hall.	10/8/2014 4:22 PM
237	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I don't see how you have provided for the community to date. It feels like a total waste of a beautiful space which could be enjoyed by all, everyday of the week, if it was restored to its former self - a CINEMA	10/8/2014 4:21 PM
238	<b>Positive cinema</b> The local community would like a cinema instead of its current and proposed use	10/8/2014 3:59 PM
239	<b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I would like to see Church services being held here including activities children, youth and young adult groups.	10/8/2014 3:51 PM
240	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I feel KICC will no positive effect on the local community. What would be a better outcome is for a cinema to be reinstated at this wonderful site. The community has already lost out for years since KICC purchased the property and tried to change the usage. It is an unwanted and unnecessary blight on the area. Please desist from this fruitless purpose and take your church elsewhere where it is welcomed. You are ruining the future development of Crystal Palace and care not for it's local residents. The proposals you submit are a last ditch attempt to save a property purchase error. You will not win this change of usage battle and it is time to stop and bow out gracefully.	10/8/2014 3:42 PM
241	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> I don't for a minute trust Open Doors intentions to open a community centre. All you want to do is create a closed off and private religious building in the only large entertainment venue left in the area. Everyone in the local area is against these plans including myself.	10/8/2014 3:41 PM
242	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> There are many places of worship in Crystal Palace. There is, however, no cinema and it is a cinema that the local population (and surrounding areas in fact) want.	10/8/2014 3:35 PM
243	<b>Positive cinema</b> We need a cinema, not a church, in Crystal Palace.	10/8/2014 3:23 PM
244	<b>Miscellaneous</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This is a D2 only venue. Neither Bromley Council nor KICC are taking into consideration locals' opinions. We actually want our venue for a cinema back. And also, we don't want rubbish next the building that attracts vermin.	10/8/2014 2:34 PM
245	<b>general support</b> This is a great project and I fully endorse it. Keep up the good work!	10/8/2014 2:11 PM
246	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> 1. This is one of the worst surveys I have ever seen. Your questions are leading and clearly designed to give you data you can manipulate to your own advantage rather than any real desire to gather feedback from the local community, 2. Despite being a Bromley resident in your local vicinity (Belvedere Road) I received no leaflet or communication of any kind regarding you wanting my opinion at anytime since 2009 regarding change of use of the building or anything else. I was alerted to this by a friend who had seen your website. How can you propose a community facility if you have no intention of listening to local residents. 3. You have not regenerated the building as a multi -use venue - you have refurbished it as a Church. 4.You will create unsustainable parking pressure with your own events, as the community you service is that of your church (the congregation of which is NOT local). Any events will not reflect needs of the diverse local community if they have to be held under the rules of your church. In light of the above I do not believe your change of use application to be genuine. I firmly believe it is just a way to get permission to use 25 Church Rd predominantly for worship - to the great detriment of the local community. I do not trust you with my personal data so have withheld my name.	10/8/2014 2:08 PM
247	<b>Negative church on site</b> I don't want a church at this venue	10/8/2014 1:57 PM
248	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> It should be opened to the public and not specifically churches	10/8/2014 1:42 PM
249	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This isnt what the community wants, we would much prefer it to be kept and used as a cinema. I don't think the proposed uses are gong to be used, only as yet another church for religious meetings.	10/8/2014 1:10 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

250	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> No one I have spoken to has been sent a leaflet about this change in licence of use. I don't think this has been a far-reaching campaign that involves the local community at all. I am against this venue being turned in to a place of worship whole-heartedly. I do support the cinema campaign as I believe that having the cinema would bring a raft of social benefits and wealth to what is a fairly run down side of crystal palace. Unfortunately, a place of worship would only benefit the religious community. PS Having a 'cinema club' as an option for the venue usage is in no way the same as having a cinema.</p>	10/8/2014 1:09 PM
251	<p><b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> The main object of a D1 use for Open door is as far as I can see, for a church which is not needed as there are many in Crystal Palace. We certainly do not need premises on the scale of Open door. The influx of a potential 3000 people on our small area would be devastating. Traffic would be gridlocked. All resident parking spaces will be taken and KICC have not noted the fact that parking in the park will not be allowed. Neither will local people be able to afford the hire charges which are very expensive. The building has been planned for large numbers of worshippers and multi use will not be viable. FYI I did not receive an invitation on 4th Oct to open day or a leaflet and I know of not one person who did. Strange!</p>	10/8/2014 12:35 PM
252	<p><b>Positive mixed use</b> I strongly support the proposal for the multiple use of the facilities</p>	10/8/2014 12:33 PM
253	<p><b>Positive community</b> I believe this building is a community building and should be kept as such - without prejudice or restriction. We live in a multi-cultural, multi-faith society and this building should be kept as such. This building was built to serve the community as a whole and to provide a service to the whole community and should therefore not be used as a place of worship that only appeals to a small minority of the community. I do not find this questionnaire to be fair or balanced, and I feel that it asks very 'loaded' and ambiguous questions. If this questionnaire was really aimed at getting an idea of the community's feeling for the building's future use, the questions would have been phrased very differently.</p>	10/8/2014 12:30 PM
254	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Other Use</b> the facilities available would be great for youth music events</p>	10/8/2014 12:15 PM
255	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would not like any large gathering place in such a small community. It all sounds to me like a proposal for large gatherings without clear purpose for the building. I have supported the use of the building for a local art cinema and still support it.</p>	10/8/2014 12:08 PM
256	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> OPEN DOOR WILL BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR WORSHIP AND COMMUNITY SERVICES IN THE NAME OF JESUS</p>	10/8/2014 12:02 PM
257	<p><b>general opposition</b> There is no need for a change of use for this building, simply that it be used for its currently designated purpose rather than for religious purposes.</p>	10/8/2014 11:54 AM
258	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> 25 church road is a beautiful Art Deco building that the crystal palace community would benefit from being fully restored back to a culturally vibrant cinema. I do see any benefit to the community from this being converted into a church property. I feel this survey is misleading in the question format and content and I hope the results are not skewed in order to misrepresent the local feeling - that the community would benefit from a cinema, not a church.</p>	10/8/2014 11:50 AM
259	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I want a cinema</p>	10/8/2014 11:48 AM
260	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I am hugely disappointed in the way that KICC has gone about their developments. There have been no consultations with the local community until this survey. I moved here with my family five years ago now, around the time or just after KICC bought the building and this whole time it has been closed doors, not open! The area would really benefit from having the consistent footfall of a cinema, whereas the large events proposed for spiritual use are just not sustainable for the infrastructure of the area. Crystal Palace does not need another church and to loose such a great entertainment venue to other purposes would be a great shame.</p>	10/8/2014 11:28 AM
261	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> As a local I do not see the benefit of your plans. There are already a number of places of worship in the area. I do not agree that your proposal will benefit the area or local businesses. The majority of these are shops, cafés and restaurants. I do not think that your venture will encourage the necessary footfall required to boost their business</p>	10/8/2014 11:26 AM
262	<p><b>general support</b> I strongly the vision presented by Open Door, having significantly benefited from the counselling service readily available to the public.</p>	10/8/2014 11:18 AM
263	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see this space used for a cinema, as that would serve the community best. It is also the more appropriate use for what is essentially an entertainment space.</p>	10/8/2014 11:02 AM
264	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see this space used for a cinema, as that would serve the community best. It is also the more appropriate use for what is essentially an entertainment space.</p>	10/8/2014 11:02 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

265	<b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should be returned to a cinema	10/8/2014 10:59 AM
266	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Simply Crystal Palace have clearly said they want a cinema- not a church, multi use venue or anything else- just a cinema.	10/8/2014 10:55 AM
267	<b>traffic</b> Traffic congestion during events must be addressed	10/8/2014 10:53 AM
268	<b>Positive cinema</b> The campaign for a cinema in Crystal Palace has been going on for quite a while and has widespread support from hundreds of local residents and even celebrities! The very best use for 25 church road is a cinema!	10/8/2014 10:46 AM
269	<b>Negative community</b> As a "community venue" the Open Door is almost useless. It is a vast auditorium clearly designed for church services and it is hard to see how any of the other proposed uses suggested in KICC literature - such as concerts, fitness courses, weddings or educational courses - would either find the Open Door suited to their purpose or, if they did find it a suitable type of venue, how many would attract so many people that such a colossal space would be necessary. In every case Crystal Palace has more suitable venues available for community events. In addition, the proposed hire costs are prohibitive to any potential use. For the last three years the "Open Door" has been locked up and out of bounds to the local community, only opened once or twice a year for a KICC event where people were brought in from elsewhere in London. KICC has shown no interest whatsoever in the Crystal Palace community. Crystal Palace does not need a vast conference venue and auditorium, nor does it need a church that only serves people who are brought in from elsewhere in London.	10/8/2014 10:44 AM
270	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building needs to be returned to its original use as a cinema to benefit the local community. The way you have worded these questions does not allow for the majority of local residents to express their opinion about wishing the cinema to return.	10/8/2014 10:34 AM
271	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I totally oppose KICC's application to change fro D2 to include D1 (worship). This historical building must be returned to a Cinema, which there is overwhelming local support.	10/8/2014 10:31 AM
272	<b>Positive cinema</b> Appaling use of what could be a beautiful cinema for a wonderful community to enjoy. It really is very frustrating and very sad. How can this be allowed to happen .	10/8/2014 10:29 AM
273	<b>general opposition</b> This survey has not addressed any of the concerns of the local residents, or the planning application. I am really disappointed at the bias in the phrasing of the questions - you have asked for confirmation of your existing plans, rather than truly consulting.	10/8/2014 10:14 AM
274	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building needs to return to its' original use...a cinema for the community.	10/8/2014 10:07 AM
275	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building should only be for leisure use e.g cinema	10/8/2014 10:06 AM
276	<b>Positive cinema</b> This should be returned to it's original use as a cinema and will benefit the community much more than a church trying to gain funds for it's community. A cinema would create local jobs in the area and a permanent cinema would create reasons to visit the area and spend money in the surrounding shops and restaurants. Having a multi purpose venue will not create such a reputation.	10/8/2014 10:04 AM
277	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Greate and functional facilities for the local area	10/8/2014 10:02 AM
278	<b>general opposition</b> I was not consulted about the proposed change of use (learnt about it only from neighbours) and do not support it.	10/8/2014 9:49 AM
279	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> None of the above questions apply to the residents objections to your planning application regarding the change of use to a D.1 venue, up to 3,000 worshippers, no parking facilities, traffic congestion, shops/restaurants will lose trade as persons will not be able to park/drive easily in Crystal Palace really does not bring ANY benefits to local area.	10/8/2014 9:22 AM
280	<b>general support</b> its an excellent venue	10/8/2014 9:14 AM
281	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> I am totally against this building being used for a church. A church is not needed in this area and will not in any way help the further regeneration of Crystal Palace. It in fact, stifles the use of the venue by all members of the local community by being a religious venue. All you have to do is look at the history of similar take overs of buildings in South London by these international churches and the church owners let the building fall into disrepair and the 'church go- ers' have little respect for the local community by ignoring parking restrictions and being a nuisance. The organisation members usually remain uncontactable and they too ignore by-laws, planning permission and add nothing at all to the community, they in fact drag it down.	10/8/2014 8:54 AM
282	<b>general opposition</b> There is no appetite or need for what is being proposed.It will limit who will want to go there	10/8/2014 8:49 AM
283	<b>Positive cinema</b> I'd rather this was a privately run cinema- I would never go in if it was a church	10/8/2014 8:44 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

284	<b>Negative community</b> IT would have been great to have had consultation on what sorts of community uses the space could be put to before work took place. It seems unlikely the owners would allow the carpeted auditorium to be used as a music venue, and the seating would not be sufficiently comfortable for use a cinema either. Because of the fairly limited possible uses, it is therefore unlikely to be used by a very large cross-section of the local community. I'm also unsure about how well local consultation has been implemented. I live approx. 500m from the venue and have received no information about it at all.	10/8/2014 8:10 AM
285	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should be a cinema. NOT a place of religious worship, which limits access for the rest of the community.	10/8/2014 7:10 AM
286	<b>Negative community</b> I think the saga behind the use of this building is farcical. There is clearly dissent in the community about its usage, and you are blatantly ignoring everything that is being said. That building is sitting there doing virtually nothing, when it could be used for a community cinema (as you very well know), amongst other ideas. We don't want a religious group having control of this building and its usage. If you had anything about you, you would sell this property and let someone take it over who actually wants to represent the wishes of the local community. You should be ashamed of yourselves. Do you realise how upset you are making people in this area? Do the decent thing and give us back our resource so we can use it as the community desires.	10/8/2014 7:00 AM
287	<b>general opposition</b> This questionnaire is misleading and the key question is not asked. I do not support changing the use of this venue from Assembly and Leisure to Place of Worship.	10/8/2014 6:47 AM
288	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should be a cinema. It was never intended for use as a religious venue, and all claims you make to the contrary about 'multi-use community' activities are just bluff.	10/8/2014 6:45 AM
289	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> There is a number of community centres in the area. I am no sure what this will bring to improve the area. I feel that the intention it to use it just as a church and it will not really be accessible to the local community. The congregation that will be attending is not the local community, As there is already three churchs in walking distance of this venue. I do not believe there is a need or lack of places to worship. This will also cause huge traffic problems. With congestion and parking. Parking in crystal place is limited and this will add an extra strain. This venue should be used for local residents. Not an outside organization that it's not really interest in the local people and there wishes..	10/8/2014 6:44 AM
290	<b>Positive cinema</b> This change of use should be refused. Return this building to its original use and what residents rather than KICC want - A CINEMA! Take KICC elsewhere.	10/8/2014 6:42 AM
291	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We do not want a church we want a cinema.	10/8/2014 6:40 AM
292	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like the building to be used as a cinema or a theater.	10/8/2014 6:39 AM
293	<b>Positive cinema</b> How disappointing you have left it so long before consulting the local community for their views. We already have the Phoenix Centre for multi-use community events, together with the Salvation Army. The site should be used for theatre, cinema and concert events only.	10/8/2014 6:37 AM
294	<b>Positive cinema</b> Showing current films would help those who cannot get to Croydon or Bromley, not just new film makers.	10/8/2014 6:34 AM
295	<b>Positive cinema</b> We would love to go to the cinema again like we did in the 50's early 60's-it would be marvelous.	10/8/2014 6:33 AM
296	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> This type of facility is much needed to the divers CP community. Concerts-classical and otherwise will assist the regeneration of the area and add to it's lively appeal.	10/8/2014 6:31 AM
297	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> This is a great opportunity for the community to benefit from this multi0use beautifully refurbished art deco building and all its facilities	10/8/2014 6:28 AM
298	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The local business would not benefit from the people coming to the venue. There is not enough parking in the area to cater to such a large venue. The space should be used as a local theatre or cinema. Parking problems of a mega church with a lot of people on a small road is a problem.	10/8/2014 6:26 AM
299	<b>general support</b> This is what we have been waiting for, making good use of the building.	10/8/2014 6:20 AM
300	<b>Positive cinema</b> 99% of this community want to see 25 Church Road returned to it's former glory as a cinema. KICC do not represent the needs of this local community. Please sell it to the Picture House Group!	10/8/2014 6:17 AM
301	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I disagree with open door having 25 church road, and support a the campaign to bring a cinema to the venue, which will benefit everyone in the local community.	10/8/2014 6:15 AM
302	<b>Positive cinema</b> This survey is a waste of time as the venue should be returned to a cinema if it is to benefit the local community.	10/8/2014 6:15 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

303	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Fully support open door and the work that they are doing in Crystal Palace.	10/8/2014 6:13 AM
304	<b>Negative church on site</b> We would not like to see any form of Religion or Church services at this site.	10/8/2014 6:10 AM
305	<b>Positive cinema</b> Regeneration is something you bring to areas you have re-decorated the building and done it to a high standard. I just wanted my cinema back.	10/8/2014 6:09 AM
306	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not know about regeneration but yes the refurbishment was needed and any person purchasing would have had to do the same. The cinema was a great loss and needs to come back.	10/8/2014 6:06 AM
307	<b>Positive cinema</b> Just give us a cinema.	10/8/2014 6:04 AM
308	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please can we have a cinema there.	10/8/2014 5:42 AM
309	<b>Negative mixed use</b> I support the regeneration of any building within the Crystal Palace when it is in the interests of the local community. However, I am not sure that this is the case for 25 Church Road. Based on the approach used by KICC so far, it would seem that the regeneration was very siloed - without regard to the current planning consent or involvement of the local community. I should say, I am not opposed to churches services or KICC, but I would have limited faith in any "multi-use" planning submission for this site given KICC's track record for this building. The multi-use consent could easily be negated by excessive pricing, restricting other uses to unsociable hours or applying restrictions to other uses making it untenable as a venue.	10/8/2014 5:39 AM
310	<b>general opposition</b> I do not support this application in any way. I live in the same road but did not receive this survey. I would not use any of the facilities	10/8/2014 5:34 AM
311	<b>Negative church on site</b> There is no need for any further places of worship in this area. Please sell the building for use as a cinema for the area. I live very close to the building and have not received any leaflet about this consultation.	10/8/2014 5:21 AM
312	<b>general support</b> Its all about prayer	10/8/2014 4:56 AM
313	<b>Miscellaneous</b> Members of over 60 years welfare club	10/8/2014 4:51 AM
314	<b>Positive community</b> It will be benefits for the community	10/8/2014 4:18 AM
315	<b>general opposition</b> This is a very selective questionnaire which largley assumes that respondents agree with this development; there is no option to object to the plans per se.	10/8/2014 4:14 AM
316	<b>Positive community</b> A great establishment for the community.	10/8/2014 3:55 AM
317	<b>Miscellaneous</b> I never received any leaflets	10/8/2014 3:44 AM
318	<b>Positive cinema</b> The need for a genuine community facility for the area, accessible by all, is clear. The current planning use of the building protects its existing use and it would be considerably more advantageous for the economy of the area and the spirit of community for the building to be in use as a cinema or similar public entertainment building.	10/8/2014 3:42 AM
319	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should not be used for religious services, and should be converted back to its original use as a cinema for all of the community.	10/8/2014 3:30 AM
320	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We do not need another place of worship in the triangle, as the area is already adequately served by churches of various denominations. A secular cinema, however, would be most welcome.	10/8/2014 3:28 AM
321	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> This community area should not be used for the sole purposes of a religious group effectively deterring use by the wider community.	10/8/2014 3:27 AM
322	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>traffic</b> I do not support church services on the site. I am concerned about the high volumes and nature of the traffic that will result. I am concerned about how this might deter other visits to the area and therefore result in a negative impact on the livelihoods of local traders	10/8/2014 2:54 AM
323	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This should be returned to the community for use as a (non-religious) cinema. It is not a church.	10/8/2014 2:48 AM
324	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> I would like the facilities to be used for sunday services as it is not presently the case	10/8/2014 2:34 AM
325	<b>Parking</b> My main concern is parking. There are very few parking areas in Crystal Palace Triangle apart from Sainsbury's which has a time limit. If you hold large church services how are you going to manage the increased need for parking and potential knock on effect of congestion in the area?	10/8/2014 2:24 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

326	<b>general support</b> The open door team have done a fantastic job to bring the place to light..	10/8/2014 2:20 AM
327	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not believe that this building should be used for religious services/activities at all. It started life as a cinema and not a building for religious purposes and should remain so. There are plenty of local religious centres in the area and I do not think another is needed. I believe the community would benefit not from another church but from a non religious community centre to be utilised by ALL the community.	10/8/2014 2:10 AM
328	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> A church organisation in the community can only be a good thing. To open the building beyond exclusive use as a church shows high interest in the welfare of the community and could be used to support borough initiatives on youth, education, disabled community support etc. your investment in refurbishing the building to maintain its historic significance while updating it to cater for impact of sound on the community shows your commitment and I am sure we can partner with local businesses or the sports centre to cope with increased traffic at peak periods. I fully support KICC in this endeavour.	10/8/2014 1:44 AM
329	<b>general opposition</b> This is not a suitable venue for the purposes described here, and other venues already exist in Crystal Palace for the functions described. 25 Church Road is a place of entertainment and its listing as such should not be changed.	10/8/2014 1:40 AM
330	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support the change of use request, as Crystal Palace needs an assembly and leisure venue far more than a place of worship. The wording on this survey is also very unfairly weighted in favour of your proposals.	10/8/2014 1:39 AM
331	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> It's a church... And should be a cinema	10/8/2014 1:30 AM
332	<b>general support</b> At 70% of the timetable should be available for community use	10/8/2014 1:20 AM
333	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I fully support Open Door and the works that they will be doing for Crystal Palace community.	10/8/2014 1:12 AM
334	<b>general support</b> Yes to open door	10/8/2014 1:10 AM
335	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Open door are doing great works and Crystal Palace will benefit from the open door.	10/8/2014 1:10 AM
336	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> We already have multi-use community spaces in Crystal Palace which are well-used and much more affordable than this building and we do not need any more. We also have many well-attended local places of worship which serve all sections of our community. We do not need another place of worship, especially not for non-local people, many of whom will drive (or be driven) to the venue, exacerbating the existing traffic issues in the area. This survey is skewed towards trying to get people to support your proposal but your supposed 'consultation' is flawed and should have been done 5 years ago when you bought the building before you started work, if you genuinely wished to provide a community facility, to find out what the community wanted and needed. Instead, you have done what you wish to the building whilst keeping it closed to the local community and now seek to foist it on us as a huge capacity church, with the supposed sweetener that we can use too whenever it's not in use as a church (at a mere £500/hour). I have given my name and postcode but decline to give other personal details.	10/8/2014 1:06 AM
337	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I did not receive a leaflet even though I live only 400m from 25 Church Rd. I do not support this, motivated by money, organization. I do support the picture house which I believe would be of benefit to the LOCAL community.	10/8/2014 1:03 AM
338	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I think Open Door will help the community in all good aspects of life. Example spiritual life and also physical life. I believed both make human raise complete and stimulation. I believed we are spiritual beings first before human because I can see I can talk and I can feel. All these three I mention we cannot see them but they exist not only what we can touch but what makes us feel what we are touching. Quality of those I mention makes us best human beings and useful to the community. If those three fail we become no use to the community. I believed spiritual life is the soul core of human raise. So let us use the open door primarily for Church for spiritual growth then secondary anything else. So that we can be useful to the community.	10/8/2014 1:00 AM
339	<b>Positive cinema</b> This space was originally built as a cinema in 1928. As with the rest of the Crystal Palace area, its fortunes have waxed and waned over the intervening years. More recently Upper Norwood has undergone a vibrant, locally inspired entrepreneurial, social, artistic and economic redevelopment. The redevelopment of the Rialto as a local cinema is an obvious planning decision that would bring further huge benefits to the area and its residents. The proposals as laid out by the planning consultants hired by the KICC are a spurious and transparent attempt to gain a change of usage that will only benefit the members and more specifically the owners of this church organisation and will remove forever a vital opportunity for local people to determine the direction and development of their own community.	10/8/2014 12:54 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

340	<b>general support</b> The purpose for this survey will be established in Jesus name.	10/8/2014 12:49 AM
341	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace residents need a cinema. I do not support the use of these facilities for religious purposes.	10/8/2014 12:31 AM
342	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I would like to attend church services there.	10/8/2014 12:29 AM
343	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> It will be a nice church service facility and I will definitely take my children and encourage all the young people who are into gangs that there are other things young people can do in the house of God. With the latest equipment they have young people can be involved in singing and do other activities to destruct them from idleness and doing bad staff	10/7/2014 11:53 PM
344	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> This is nonsense I do NOT SUPPORT. It was confirmed to me that there will be no alcohol served and the films will be vetted/censored. Why do you ignore questions about sam sex marriage services? Why has the council allowed the christening bath?This is a huge con and we do not want this.	10/7/2014 11:47 PM
345	<b>footfall</b> <b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> A great transformation of the area. Absolutely beautiful. It will bring increased business to the economy of Crystal palace and more a source of leisure and tourism.	10/7/2014 11:41 PM
346	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>traffic</b> This is the wrong type of use for this building. The triangle already suffers from too much traffic. Any business/organisation should only attract local custom thus not generating unwanted traffic. I don't know what a multi-use community venue is. It sounds like the kind of thing that should be owned and run by a small, local organisation or the local council.	10/7/2014 10:14 PM
347	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> Great concept. A multipurpose is definitely desirable in this neighbourhood. This will definitely make a big difference to the crystal palace community especially youth and the busy working class.	10/7/2014 8:40 PM
348	<b>Positive cinema</b> There are already multi use community hubs on the triangle.. For example the Salvation Army centre.. I would like to see a cinema at this site, which to my mind seems far more accessible to the entire local community..	10/7/2014 5:45 PM
349	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> This is one of the best things that could happened to Crystal palace as a community	10/7/2014 5:43 PM
350	<b>Positive cinema</b> I think restoring the cinema would make more sense	10/7/2014 5:18 PM
351	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> The time is right now to support the settling down of the church in the community . This community needs vibrancy , such positive energy and wake up call to take kids always from street harms and set them up to a responsible future.	10/7/2014 4:46 PM
352	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> Open Doors will serve the community and bring people close to the Christian faith as it will reach out to people various needs - understanding of God, educational support, advice and counselling sessions, help within the community and love most especially.	10/7/2014 4:46 PM
353	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> It is a beautiful building and there is a need for such a facility	10/7/2014 4:41 PM
354	<b>general support</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> This is a good cause for the community to enhance their lives and provides a much needed service which is needed much more than a cinema place. There's a cinema hall on almost every high street so having another one would deprive the community of other much needed services/facilities.	10/7/2014 4:31 PM
355	<b>Negative church on site</b> Any venue set up by a faith group will exclude many from the local community. This is presented as a community venue but by its very nature it will exclude many from the local community. I have a long association with Crystal Palace (I lived here for several years) and am saddened that a historical building (albeit very neglected) has been developed by a minority faith group rather than the whole community.	10/7/2014 4:24 PM
356	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> This project will be beneficial to the community at large and the good of mankind.	10/7/2014 4:22 PM
357	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I believe that in a free and fair society, the freedom to worship in a church building/facility should not be restricted. Otherwise restrictions amount to a form of discrimination and an act against Article 9 of the ECHR 1998.	10/7/2014 4:19 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

358

**general opposition** **Positive cinema** **Positive mixed use** **traffic** Thank you for dropping the consultation card through my door. Although I'm one of the closest-affected community members, with a back garden only metres away from the back wall of 25 Church Road, I've only ever had one previous contact initiated by KICC (immediately prior to the last planning application). Other than that, I have had an exchange of email correspondence with Deolu Oluko when I enquired about your acoustic works. I didn't get a response when I subsequently complained about noise from a concert soundcheck. I'd like to look around the venue at a future date, as I was away from home on the weekend of 4th October and have never been inside. If you're able to arrange an appointment, please let me know. My responses to the survey were written in the main before I saw the information about the hidden pre-built baptismal pool which has been concealed from KICC's own planning consultants and, whose existence, it would seem, has been denied to enquirers from the local community. In view of this concealment/deception, my opinion is that the community, via its democratic and legal representative Bromley Council, should never allow the planning permission for the site to be changed to include D1 use, as there cannot be any reason to trust that any promises on KICC's side to incorporate a proportion of D2 uses will be kept. 2. I agree that there is a place for a multi-use leisure and entertainment venue in Crystal Palace that can accommodate middle-scale events. There is nothing of this type/scale available in the area and the Film Festival and Overground Festival show there is an appetite for it from the local community. This is in line with the building's current planning use. There is no local requirement for the planning permission to be changed to allow religious activities as one of these uses, however, since there is an abundance of buildings already in the immediate local area with existing planning permission for this. There are no legal safeguards that can ensure that community use would be protected if the planning use were changed; indeed, the Church's trustees have a duty to further its religious and charitable mission so I would expect them to uphold this legally by maximising the religious use of the venue - which would naturally mean that community use would fall away. The logical way to retain this building as part of a mixed town centre for Crystal Palace, that contributes to a vibrant community life, is to retain its existing planning use and this is what I want as a local resident. 3. You have asked whether respondents would personally use the venue for several types of events. I would be unlikely to attend the events that are suggested here at The Open Door. - I don't practice a religion - £500 an hour is too expensive as a corporate events venue in my sector (arts/educational charities). Most conference/seminar/training days I have been to in the last 5 years have required multiple breakout spaces, which this venue cannot provide: and it is too far out from central London. - I don't see how sports activities or fitness classes can be held in a carpeted venue. I would use the local Leisure Centres where there are showers for pilates/gym, exercise in the park or go spinning at the Cycle Cafe for preference. My children take dance classes locally, but you can't dance on carpet. - Coffee mornings/educational courses: What kind of educational courses?? I work fulltime, so wouldn't be likely to come to these. - Private hire: The only private hire I make locally is for parties: locally venues normally charge £15-50 per hour for this, compared to a £450ph local discount rate at The Open Door. I therefore couldn't afford a 3-4h hire. The venue also isn't suitable for a bouncy castle for children's birthday parties, and I would want to be able to serve alcohol at adult parties, so I would not use The Open Door. - I have also given detailed reasons in previous correspondence why I believe the building in its current state of refurbishment is not suitable for hire for theatre or dance activities, which you applied for a PEL for in April. - As I understand it, the cinema club will screen no films certificated above a U or that do not align with the religious viewpoint of the current owners, so its offer would not serve me as an adult cinemagoer. All other things being equal I might attend non religious film screenings for kids on Saturday/Sunday daytimes, but I imagine this is when KICC will want to use the building for services, and we're not at home on weekdays as I work full time. There's already a family cinema club at another Church Hall on the Triangle which I've never been to, so I don't think I'd use this one.. - I would be unlikely to attend concerts socially with no bar facility; and professionally, my organisation doesn't work with religious organisations that don't treat people of all sexual orientations equally (we have a strong social justice mission and a history of work on equality issues) so I wouldn't organise concerts or events here either. 4. Given the above, I believe I would be unlikely to benefit from any of the building's facilities under its current ownership. I could use the cafe, of course, but I tend to go to cafes near or ancillary to the places my family uses for weekend activities: Antenna Studios for ballet class, the Library, Beckenham Spa, Westow Park/Crystal Palace Park, the Horniman Museum. I'd be more likely to go to a locally-owned small business, or a charity whose activities I support, such as Living Water Satisfies' work with women experiencing domestic violence. - Will you join a Council scheme to open the toilets to the public during opening hours? There is a dire need for more clean, safe and well run toilets open to the general public in Crystal Palace. I do have concerns about the use of the building for concerts, in particular, for choral singing which can't be dampened through a sound limiter. I have experienced noise leakage from soundchecks that I could hear from my back bedroom. (I haven't yet been in the house when one of KICC's concerts was in progress, although we used to be able to hear the Bingo callers in the back garden.) I'm also concerned about deliveries and get-in or get-out facilities for concerts, as the back lane seems the only feasible delivery entrance and this is likely to cause noise at unsocial times - the back lane is unfortunately just at the height of the windows to the back bedrooms on Patterson Road, so early or late noise there is a real issue and care has not always been taken - the builders who did the 25 Church Rd refurbishment in 2011 had a series of noisy, early-morning deliveries (on one occasion men threw scaffolding and tools in and out of a truck at 5.40am), and I had to write to Bromley several times with evidence before it stopped.

10/7/2014 3:59 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

359	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This place should be a cinema. We don't need another weirdo happy clappy church to an invisible godfairy in the sky.	10/7/2014 3:50 PM
360	<b>general support</b> I strongly support KICC 's vision	10/7/2014 3:32 PM
361	<b>Positive cinema</b> Needs to be a proper cinema, not just a tax dodge for a criminal organization. Heil Satan ??	10/7/2014 3:30 PM
362	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I don't live in the area, but if I did I would hire it out. It would be great for a wedding.	10/7/2014 3:29 PM
363	<b>Negative church on site</b> This old cinema should never have been sold to become a church	10/7/2014 3:28 PM
364	<b>football</b> <b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> It will not just serve local people but I'm sure it is the kind of facility that will attract outside business and investment to regenerate the area.	10/7/2014 3:19 PM
365	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I don't want the open door. You should find a different venue as you are spoiling the local community's access to a cinema here. Please find another venue for your church.	10/7/2014 2:59 PM
366	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I am not interested in any of your proposals. They are all religious based I am not religious. This building should be a none religious cinema for the local community which is multi faith belief and non belief.	10/7/2014 2:38 PM
367	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> This is a thinly disguised attempt to get this site used as a church. They are pretending that it will be possible for the local community to use the space for meetings etc., but their prices for hiring out the facilities are far too high. No one will be able to afford them,. So this will only be used by this despicable satanic organisation KICC.	10/7/2014 2:38 PM
368	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I oppose the proposals made by KICC. The planning application purports to be for the benefit of the whole community, but the vague information available and lack of consultation with the wider community, suggests that this will be for the sole benefit of a commercial organisation ie KICC	10/7/2014 2:23 PM
369	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would much prefer a cinema to be at this venue as this is what the local community wants and needs. There is no support by residents in the area for another church or regular religious gathering.	10/7/2014 2:22 PM
370	<b>Positive cinema</b> i live near the building and would like it to become a cinema	10/7/2014 2:21 PM
371	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> People need places of worship. Too many pubs and restaurants in the area	10/7/2014 2:09 PM
372	<b>general opposition</b> The following is a single quote it should appear in you SCI document "I do not support this application on the basis that there will be no way to enforce a mixed balanced use of the facility in accordance with the NPPFs presumption in favour of sustainable development. This is an important material consideration in all planning decisions".	10/7/2014 2:04 PM
373	<b>Positive cinema</b> The building has been sat not used or rarely used under the incorrect planning use. The building should return to its proper and current planning use and be used for that without taking it away from that use to another.	10/7/2014 1:59 PM
374	<b>Positive cinema</b> 25 Church Road is visible from my window yet I've not been directly consulted about the future of this fantastic space. My understanding is that this application is sub-standard and does not serve the best interests of the local community. Like many other locals, I strongly support the crystal palace cinema campaign. The benefits of keeping the use of 25 church road as entertainment far outweigh those proposed here.	10/7/2014 1:57 PM
375	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> The Community needs a Church.	10/7/2014 1:40 PM
376	<b>Negative church on site</b> We dont need any more churches in our neighbourhood.	10/7/2014 1:38 PM
377	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Having been to your open day I am concerned that your questionnaire is rather ambiguous. I would like to have a local cinema but one that shows a range of films rather than what I understand is a children's club on a Saturday morning showing U rated films only. It is not clear from your consultation event what community events would be offered. However, your lack of alcohol licence would make it unlikely for many people to hire your hall for functions. I am very very concerned that your claim to only offer two church services would turn out to be funded - regulations do not state how many services can be run a week and the local community would then lose the only venue with a D2 licence.	10/7/2014 1:35 PM
378	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> You have refurbished the building for one purpose and one purpose only, as place of worship. it is now completely unsuited for any other use. I do not wish to provide your organisation with my personal details but have copied this response with my contact details to my councillors.	10/7/2014 1:34 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

379	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> Please allow KICC Church services. God bless	10/7/2014 1:32 PM
380	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> This should be a church	10/7/2014 1:25 PM
381	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> strongly will recommend church services in crystal palace	10/7/2014 1:21 PM
382	<b>Miscellaneous</b> Regarding Q1:Do you support the regeneration work we have undertaken at 25 Church Road? If this question is referring to the external alterations to the building then I do not support any so called "regeneration" work, especially if it is done so without planning permission.	10/7/2014 1:10 PM
383	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support the proposal to be a place of worship.	10/7/2014 1:07 PM
384	<b>footfall</b> <b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> This will definitely boost the businesses in the community and benefit families locally and all around	10/7/2014 1:05 PM
385	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We don't need a multi-use facility I don't think. I'm interested in a cinema, not a cinema club. There is a huge difference. I'm not interested in seeing purely U certificate films! I live very close be and, whatever the use, parking is always difficult and it will only get worse, Also, I have concerns about noise levels after hours.	10/7/2014 1:01 PM
386	<b>general opposition</b> I do not agree with the change of license	10/7/2014 12:56 PM
387	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> This is a facility that is required in the community.	10/7/2014 12:45 PM
388	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> great job KICC have done here. Looking forward to the contribution it will have in our society	10/7/2014 12:42 PM
389	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not support the change of use proposed for this venue. This is Crystal Palace's only D2 venue and there is great demand for a cinema open to everyone in the community,	10/7/2014 12:31 PM
390	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not believe that this proposal would be the best way to serve the local community and some of these proposals are far too vague and appear to just be a cover so that KICC can justify holding religious events at the venue. It would be great to see this building used as a full time cinema, however the main concern is that this community use building becomes one with religious rules. This simply should not be allowed to happen and would be to the detriment of the local area and those who do not follow KICC's religious views.	10/7/2014 12:28 PM
391	<b>Positive cinema</b> These questions are ridiculously biased and are unanswerable. There is one and only one question that needs to be answered. When is the building going to be returned to the function it was built and intended for? We are crying out for a Cinema serving the whole community in this area, and @Open Door (sic) have nothing to contribute to our neighbourhood other than more religious sectarianism .There's the door- please leave!	10/7/2014 12:17 PM
392	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> The benefits such a venue will create will be immense to individuals, families and the community	10/7/2014 12:09 PM
393	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I see no need for another community venue on the triangle. We already have the Phoenix centre. I would prefer the venue to retain its D2 classification for use as an entertainment venue.	10/7/2014 12:09 PM
394	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I answered yes to question 2 but we already have a multi-use community venue in crystal palace - we don't really need another . We also have a number of churches. We don't have a cinema or concert venue. And a cinema where the selection is influenced by religion sounds, worrying.	10/7/2014 11:57 AM
395	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We need a cinema in Crystal Palace more than we need another place of worship	10/7/2014 11:50 AM
396	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I do not think such a prominent position should host an organisation promoting any one religion with the consequent risk of alienating parts of the community. Neither do I think the venue has associated infrastructure to support large scale events.	10/7/2014 11:48 AM
397	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like the building to be turned into a Cinema again (as it once was) and be a focal point for the Crystal Palace area. Religious institutions and buildings are common place all over South London, but a local independent cinema would be fantastic for the area and would lead to the continued regeneration that is still in its infancy but have tremendous benefits in both terms of local employment and general uplift of the local community.	10/7/2014 11:44 AM
398	<b>Negative community</b> As a local resident, I feel that a change of use from D2 to D1 would be inappropriate and of no benefit to the community.	10/7/2014 11:43 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

399	<b>general support</b> I support this application whole heartedly	10/7/2014 11:31 AM
400	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This survey is made with loaded questions, steering a respondent toward the conclusion that a church with added community facilities could be beneficial. Crystal Palace town does not need a church with community facilities. It does not need prosperity theology, fostered by superstition and televangelism. It does not need baptism baths, loud gospel bands and rich millionaire pastors spreading their empire into this town. Crystal Palace does have a need for a cinema, which has been seized from its residents by the expansion of bad faith into the ideal location for a cinema in the town.	10/7/2014 11:26 AM
401	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see the building returned to a cinema open to all, rather than a religious institution.	10/7/2014 11:22 AM
402	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not believe that what KICC proposes for this building is what the majority of local residents want or will benefit from. In my opinion this space should be restored to the beautiful cinema it once was. A jewel in the triangular crown!	10/7/2014 11:20 AM
403	<b>Negative community</b> I don't feel the proposed plans have taken the local community into consideration - I did not receive a flyer through the door requesting my feedback on this proposal and I live four streets away so feel that if not for the picture palace campaign who alerted me to this survey that I wouldn't have been given the opportunity to make my views heard	10/7/2014 11:18 AM
404	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building NEEDs to be a cinema to ensure regeneration	10/7/2014 11:06 AM
405	<b>Negative community</b> I hope this is a genuine effort to rpvide a facility that makes a real contribution to the community. Currently the building is a blight on the Triangle. As an underutilized venue of significant scale, it is effectively gaping hole in the active street life of CP. Or attracts rubbish and threatening people to its exterior because there's no one there to be the eyes on the street or maintain the area. It's a real shame and certainly does no favours for the brand and image of L	10/7/2014 10:46 AM
406	<b>general opposition</b> It is far too large a space to be practical as a community centre.all refurb work was done without local discussion.although living locally we did not receive this survey-I had to search it out	10/7/2014 10:42 AM
407	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should be used for the local community as a cinema, a church is not required.	10/7/2014 10:36 AM
408	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> It should be a cinema for the community, not a church for people who don't even live here.	10/7/2014 10:30 AM
409	<b>general opposition</b> KICC are using the building illegally.	10/7/2014 10:28 AM
410	<b>general opposition</b> How many years will it take to realise the community does not want KICC?	10/7/2014 10:26 AM
411	<b>Positive cinema</b> please sell the building to the cinema chain and find somewhere more suitable form your church	10/7/2014 10:24 AM
412	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Public transport</b> I do not support this development. It will cause a big increase in noise and parking problems in nearby roads. I have read 1000 guests and 2-300 cars. The local transport and parking doesn't have capacity for this unless KICC plan to bring everyone in by coach to the park - and that may not be possible in future given the other developments happening there.	10/7/2014 10:20 AM
413	<b>Negative community</b> I don't believe this development will be beneficial to the local community, the church have not been very open this far & the kind of facilities they're proposing already exist within the Triangle	10/7/2014 10:05 AM
414	<b>Positive cinema</b> I have lived in the area all my life and have always wanted a cinema local to me.	10/7/2014 10:01 AM
415	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see this venue as a cinema - this is what is really missing from the Crystal Palace landscape!	10/7/2014 9:58 AM
416	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Yes we want a cinema and I am also concerned about parking for the large number of potential worshippers .	10/7/2014 9:57 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

417	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>traffic</b> The doors to 25 Church Road have been shut for 2 years. In that time the community of Crystal Palace had strongly showed their disapproval of KICC's attempts to make 25 Church Road a place of worship. You will not be attracting the immediate community in large numbers, instead you will be inconveniencing us with extra traffic and disruption for no benefit to the community. What Crystal Palace businesses and community would support in the majority is a cinema. Not yet another church. We already have many churches in the local area and yet we have to travel to Beckenham for a Cinema. We want a good independent cinema showing a range of films....not those selected by a church. KICC have bought a building without the correct licence for what they want and now they are being dishonest in their attempts to get their way. Shame on them for their dishonesty. Lastly, I live very locally to 25 Church Road and never received any leaflet or information with regard to 25 Church Road's plan. I only heard about this survey from the Picture Palace Campaign.</p>	10/7/2014 9:38 AM
418	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> This consultation is not valid. You have no options for 'other', 'none' or 'don't know' making the survey fundamentally flawed and the results invalid. I work in engagement in the planning process and would be embarrassed to present something so utterly biased. If this is forming part of your Statement of Community Involvement in your application, then by producing something so flawed you are opening yourself up to having your application challenged. I would have thought you would have learnt to do it all by the book by now. 1. While you have maintained the building, it is not an active building, adding nothing to the street life of Church Road. It is a dead space - use it for what it is designated for. 2. There are plenty of multi-purpose community venues in Crystal Palace, what it needs is a community entertainment space to enliven this area of the Triangle and synergise with existing retail and dining options 3. I will not be attending anything at The Open Door. I do not believe this building should be occupied by The Open Door. 4. See response to question 3.</p>	10/7/2014 9:37 AM
419	<p><b>Parking</b> Crystal palace parking etc etc can not accommodate the proposed usage.. Go to an industrial unit.</p>	10/7/2014 9:30 AM
420	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> There are already plenty of the above available in Crystal Palace. Community too diverse to support Church-led venue. Would support building being reverted to a cinema. No longer live in the area but have many friends who do and we all want the cinema back.</p>	10/7/2014 9:16 AM
421	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would prefer a non religious community centre with a cinema, affordable meeting rooms/spaces for the community to hire at a reasonable rent and a cafe. I do not want it to be a place of worship.</p>	10/7/2014 9:15 AM
422	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should be converted to a cinema for the local community.</p>	10/7/2014 9:15 AM
423	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> The property is a wolf in sheep's clothing and being used as a church when there is no involvement with the community. This building should be a community cinema not a church for profit for outsiders. I never reviewed one of the 17000 leaflets that was sent out. This was through picture palace and did online. I would not use facilities under current owners as they are not an inclusive church.</p>	10/7/2014 9:03 AM
424	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> Ref Q3 and 4 - NONE OF THE OPTIONS I am strongly opposed to the change of use from D2 to D2/D1. The Triangle does not need another place of worship, particularly in this location where such large visitor numbers travelling from outside the neighbourhood (and so by car) will have a negative impact on the traffic flow and the available parking places - both of which will be the detriment of local traders. The local community DOES however need a dedicated cinema which shows a diverse range of films on all themes, not simply spiritual ones.</p>	10/7/2014 8:54 AM
425	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This should be a place of entertainment--not religious activities.</p>	10/7/2014 8:47 AM
426	<p><b>Positive mixed use</b> Fully support application for multi-use of facility.</p>	10/7/2014 8:41 AM
427	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> I have attended events,there,which were organized by KICC,and would love to attend many more,on a regular basis.</p>	10/7/2014 8:27 AM
428	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I believe having this facility for multi-use will be of huge benefit to the community and also promote social integration.</p>	10/7/2014 8:19 AM
429	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> Concerned that the centre will be used for religious centred engagements that exclude large swathes of the community. Considering the size of the venue, it will have a huge impact on parking and traffic, and destroy the atmosphere of nearby pubs, shops and cafes. Please note the Data Protection Act was enacted in 1998, not 2000 as your privacy notice states.</p>	10/7/2014 8:17 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

430	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I very strongly reject the idea of 25 Church Road being used for a place of worship. There is absolutely no shortage of these in the area already. This will only cause far more congestion to what is already an extremely busy area with parking and traffic problems which are already above average and causing much frustration for local residents. Not only will the traffic problem get worse but also then the problem of noise and car emission pollution. This church in no way benefits the local community and actually brings about the exclusion of many people as it is aimed at a specific faith which is very well catered for in this area already. Another place of worship in no way what so ever benefits the local community as a whole and will only divide the local residents further when there are so many other options that would benefit more people of mixed races and ages. This is not art all well thought out and will have a negative impact to the people, infrastructure, welfare and harmony of this area.</p>	10/7/2014 7:57 AM
431	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see a cinema at this venue and not a Church. The building is eminently suited for conversion to a cinema. The area is in desperate need of an entertainment venue, such as independent cinema, to complement the many restaurants in the locality. I am most unlikely to attend any of the events listed in 3 above and the proposals put forward by the "Open Door" have, in my opinion, no relevance to the local community.</p>	10/7/2014 7:56 AM
432	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> SE19 already has abundance of churches and community halls serving the locality and this proposal seeks to duplicate those at the expense of loosing the ony entertainment venue in the area. There is no parking for this venue and it is very worrying to know the KICC have vastly increased its capacity. I do not trust KICC or its agents as have just discovered they have lied about the installation of a baptismal font under the stage. There are 20 churches serving every denomination in the Upper Norwood District Centre, I strongly object to KICC turning the last D2 venue into yet another church but worse this one with a large congregation that records show has a high car usage. No. No. No. (I have copied this page and will send to LBB to ensure they do get my untampered views.</p>	10/7/2014 7:53 AM
433	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> I think your proposals are unclear and have a number of very serious concerns as to the reality of what "a new community hub and multi-use facility" will be in reality</p>	10/7/2014 7:45 AM
434	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> It should be returned to the community for its designed use as a cinema</p>	10/7/2014 7:32 AM
435	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> A number of concerns have been raised that this redevelopment is not intended to be a multi-use space, but is primarily intended to be a space for religious observance. Given the number of religious facilities available in the local area, I wonder whether there is a need for such a large additional facility as this one. My understanding is that there have been indications that this facility will not be available for all sections of our community to hire. Specifically, there has been no clarification that non-Christian events or events which do not comply with KICC's religious ethos (for example LGBTQ events) will be permitted to use this space. What burden will this space have on transport links locally, especially if its primary use is religious, and will therefore be operating at times when public transport is not fully functional. Is there a need for additional fitness spaces given the NSC and the other fitness facilities available in SE19?</p>	10/7/2014 7:25 AM
436	<p><b>Parking</b> We already have the Phoenix Centre and the Crystal Palace Sports Centre. Where are the 1100 visitors going to park their cars? I live nearby and parking is already difficult for residents.</p>	10/7/2014 7:19 AM
437	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> All of these activities are well catered for already in Crystal Palace. What we lack is a cinema providing a wide choice of films. After all this is what the building was designed and built to do.</p>	10/7/2014 7:18 AM
438	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> Sorry, but I do not agree with a building such as this being used to promote religious views.</p>	10/7/2014 7:13 AM
439	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should be used solely for entertainment purposes that benefit the community at large. This means that events are selected that benefit several sections of the community and not just those of which the KICC approves. Anything else is not a true reflection of the diversity of the Crystal Palace population, its needs and tastes. It has been proposed by the KICC that cinema screenings would be primarily focused on children's films, due to the fact that many films aimed at adults do not meet with KICC's approval. This isn't really providing any wider community benefit and appears to be a sop for being granted permission to hold worship services in the building. This is contrary to the building's original purpose. As the Crystal Palace community consists of many people who do not have children, the narrow emphasis on 'family-friendly events' doesn't offer anything to a substantial number of residents. I would be interested to hear how the KICC's proposals benefit all sections of the community. Also, this form is completely misleading and designed in a way to suggest that residents are supportive of the KICC's plans. As such, I've refused to engaged with the 'radio button' questions as they are leading questions. The KICC would do well to learn a thing or two about designing qualitative research questionnaires as best practice clearly hasn't been followed here. There are several good academic texts available on the subject.</p>	10/7/2014 7:06 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

440	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We have a multi-use community venue at the Pheonix Centre. What we do not have is a Commercial Cinema. We have more than enough religious centres within the Crystal Palace Triangle catering for differing religious beliefs. What we would like is an independent Commercial Cinema with no affiliations to religious companies or churches.	10/7/2014 7:02 AM
441	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The building should revert to being a cinema. We have plenty of churches in the area (I attend one) and we don't need any more church buildings. Also there are plenty of community spaces - Pheonix Centre, Salvation Army Hall and Methodist Church Hall to start with. (I do appreciate that you have done a nice job of renovating certain aspects of the cinema - but the fact that it is hardly ever used means I do not think it has contributed to the regeneration of the area I'm afraid)	10/7/2014 6:54 AM
442	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Would LGBT events be allowed to be hosted? Does the venue carry an alcohol license? Who tells the bride that she can't toast her wedding day with a glass of wine as there is no alcohol license? Furthermore the hire costs are astronomical and only competitive with those in central London. What chance does the group have of hiring out a hall in Crystal Palace when businesses could go to somewhere in Westminster for the same price? Assuming that the place would not be used for concerts and events not Christian in nature are the group concerned about claims of discrimination? Furthermore, in a 1000+ seater conference venue, where are these people going to park in the triangle? Please sell the venue to the community or company for use as a cinema, there are other venues more suitable for a church.	10/7/2014 6:52 AM
443	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> I am sorry to say, but this building should be for the people who live in Crystal Palace and should not involve religion at all. A community building no matter your religious beliefs.	10/7/2014 6:41 AM
444	<b>Positive cinema</b> You know very well that what the local community needs and wants here is a cinema. This building offers the best opportunity for that wish to be fulfilled. If you are truly concerned with the needs of the local community then return the building and let it used in its original purpose as a Cinema.	10/7/2014 6:39 AM
445	<b>Positive cinema</b> You know very well that what the local community needs and wants here is a cinema. This building offers the best opportunity for that wish to be fulfilled. If you are truly concerned with the needs of the local community then return the building and let it used in its original purpose as a Cinema.	10/7/2014 6:39 AM
446	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative cinema</b> Very disappointed that no consultation leaflets were delivered to our road, nor any of our friends in neighbouring streets, considering we live so close to 25 Church St. Your questions are bias, and deliberately phrased to spin the answers to receive into a positive light - seeing as there's no option to put 'none'. The room hire/community centre option will not benefit the local community due to the questionably high hire rate. The 'cinema club' will only be for U certificate films. There has still been no answer for whether LGBT weddings/events could be held on the premises. To what extent will the Church have control over what kind of events would be held there? Would this be at a detriment to the local minority communities? This premises would only replicate current facilities already available in Crystal Palace, and at a lower quality than already exists.	10/7/2014 6:32 AM
447	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> KICC have refused to engage with the local community about this building. I do not want an evangelical church here masquerading as a community hub. There are enough churches in the vicinity and perhaps KICC would be better off hiring one of these on an intermittent basis. The beautiful St Johns springs to mind on Auckland Road.	10/7/2014 6:32 AM
448	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> I am not in support of a church of any denomination being in this building as it should be used for the whole community as a cinema, it's original purpose. The local infrastructure is not able to cope with the numbers of churchgoers that will be attracted to services in this building, and KICC should not be informing their congregation and the general public that the car park spaces in Crystal Palace Park could be used, it is a park, not a car park. I am amazed to discover that the KICC have installed a baptism font in the building when they have no indication that the building will ever be given D1 use.	10/7/2014 6:15 AM
449	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I believe that the multi-facet use of the open door will be beneficial to the community of crystal palace.	10/7/2014 6:14 AM
450	<b>Positive cinema</b> Secular, cultural events are the most appropriate use for this venue as inclusive & respectful of its heritage. The old cinema should be renovated as a cinema.	10/7/2014 6:11 AM
451	<b>Miscellaneous</b> The cost of hire is too expensive	10/7/2014 6:06 AM
452	<b>general opposition</b> They are not engaging with anyone in the community, just doing their own thing for their own interest	10/7/2014 6:05 AM
453	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I am confused by what KICC are doing and I feel they have not been clear in their proposals - it all feels rather secretive. I firmly disagree with the use of this facility as a "place of worship" or multi-use facility as I don't believe it benefits the nearby community in any way. A cinema would be much more beneficial.	10/7/2014 5:59 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

454	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> This survey is flawed and I will be copying my response to the local planning office. How do I make a note that I do not want any religious services happening at this vital venue. It should be used for its proper use for the area not some cash rich organisation with no roots to the area who have given little regard to the area until now as they intend to submit a new application</p>	10/7/2014 5:57 AM
455	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support a new planning application for no. 25 Church Road. This building has been owned by your organisation for years now and it has been left empty apart from a couple of events of religious nature. You have never tried to engage with the local community, and it is obvious to me that you are now trying to fool people into thinking that you'll allow local groups to hire the building for various uses, whereas all that will happen is the building will be just used as a church. There is no need for another church in the area, there are plenty here. What we want and need is a cinema/art venue (NOT a "cinema club" where the programming is decided by a pastor). A cinema would benefit local businesses and improve the look of the street. I have purposely left the questionnaire blank as all your questions are skewed towards your preferred answers. I will also write to the local councillors to make my views known.</p>	10/7/2014 5:56 AM
456	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support the use of this venue as a place of worship. The venue does not seem suitable for conferences.</p>	10/7/2014 5:50 AM
457	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema would be a much better use of facilities.</p>	10/7/2014 5:49 AM
458	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I prefer the Picture Palace Campaign's proposal to convert the venue to a local cinema.</p>	10/7/2014 5:43 AM
459	<p><b>general opposition</b> Outside of the venue is very poorly maintained with litter, graffiti, dangerous holes with services exposed. Car parking is an issue for use of this building. Would be so much better if KICC had consulted with local community before doing work, and applied for planning permission before buying the place rather than after the fact. KICC should have involved other local churches in their decision making. As a result of all these errors KICC have alienated most local people and made it very and more difficult for these folks to accept the Gospel message. KICC has also made in my view a strategic error about the changing nature and composition of the local community that they have tried to impose themselves on - one which does not respond to the mega church concept but is more suited to that of community based church ministry.</p>	10/7/2014 5:39 AM
460	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> I do not support any of the activity KICC has undertaken at 25 Church Road. This building has D2 planning status, and should remain as such, as an entertainment and leisure asset for the entire local community. KICC's proposals are not intended to benefit the Crystal Palace community, but to be used for church services for its own congregation, coming in from across London and the Home Counties. The proposed "entertainment and leisure" options set out above are a veneer, and attempt to appease LB Bromley planning authorities. There is no need for "meeting rooms", "wifi" or a cafe in Crystal Palace; the area has plenty already. The works carried out by KICC on this building are, in any case, not suitable for these purposes - the meeting rooms are underground and damp, and the IT offer consists of a few terminals in the lobby - i.e. somewhere one could check an email, not do any serious work (for which the Upper Norwood Library would be a far more appropriate option). KICC's proposals to use 25 Church Road for their church services (not allowed under the planning designation) would involve 1000+ people arriving, the majority of whom would come by car. 25 Church Road has no parking facilities. KICC proposes that it's congregation use the surrounding residential roads and Crystal Palace Park: not only is there simply not space on the surrounding narrow and congested roads, but this also displays KICC's typically dismissive and selfish approach to local residents and the community. Further, Crystal Palace Park is shortly to be regenerated, which will involve removing the central parking areas (and even if this were not the case, this is a Grade II listed park, not a carpark). The pavements on Church Road are also particularly narrow, so having such huge numbers arriving/leaving at the same time dangerous. This proposal would be hugely detrimental to the local economy. Local traders have already reported substantial drop-offs in trade on the few days KICC has held it's (illegal, religious) activities at the venue. This large, centrally-located entertainment venue needs to house something of genuine benefit to our diverse local population, and something that will generate significant business rates.</p>	10/7/2014 5:36 AM
461	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Please note that I found out about this via Facebook: I did not receive any leaflet and I only live around the corner from 25 Church Rd. I don't want yet another church in the area, bearing in mind that there is one very nearby in St Aubyn's Rd which has a long history of attracting noise complaints and parking issues. All I want is a cinema which shows a wide variety of films, not just those censored for a Christian audience. I do not support the aims of KICC. Not everyone is religious, and the KICC seem to be against some members of the community such as homosexuals. It will alienate some people who are not Christian, so it is not a venue aimed at 'the community' but a small segment, and will likely need to have lots of people travelling into an area where parking is already hard to find. I also think this is an excuse to turn this into a place of worship primarily, with the so-called community facilities a sweetener.</p>	10/7/2014 5:35 AM
462	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> A Cinema and a venue for concerts, corporate events and courses would really be welcome!!!</p>	10/7/2014 5:33 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

463	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> There is no need for another place of worship in Crystal Palace. I strongly doubt that the open door facilities will be open to anyone but regular church goers. The building will subsequently only be used by a very small number of our community, whereas something like a cinema for which the building was originally designed for would be open to everyone on a permanent and unrestricted basis.	10/7/2014 5:32 AM
464	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> There is a clear attempt to turn this venue into a place of worship that will be closed to most local residents rather than a genuine community centre as this survey suggests. The local area cannot cope with the parking that the church services will bring, and everyone in the area would benefit much more from this building being kept as D2 use. Like many others I do not support in any way the secret goings on to turn this building into a place of worship.	10/7/2014 5:28 AM
465	<b>Positive cinema</b> This survey is far too proscriptive to garner any meaningful insight into how the community feels, or what its needs are. There is a highly popular movement in support of a Cinema, and among that following a recognition that while such an outcome may not be possible, better use could be made of this property within the community. KICC is not of this community, and the church and its congregation seem to hold different views to the community it seeking to impose upon. The people of Norwood and Sydenham already have their religious views and needs well catered for. There is no cry for more. However a non-denominational public space that could host a variety of events representing the the great plurality of culture in the area would be welcome. The problem with current ownership is that the congregation is bussed in from elsewhere and does not add socially, culturally or economically to this area. I would further add that, personally, I would be deeply upset if the prosperity theology as preached by Pastor Ashimolowo were to take a foothold in my community - it seems to be a complete inversion, nee corruption, of the message and teaching of Christ and how to live a good life. I also fail to see that Evangelical views can sit well in an area which has traditionally been very open to a wide variety of lifestyles. Religious leaders who cannot find a voice within an established church always seem to me to be perilously close to running cults. I would also question whether an organisation that has such a questionable track record with meeting financial regulation should really be welcome. In summary, I would say that the outlook of the organisation and its congregation (which is not drawn locally) is at odds to the Crystal Palace community and the attitudes and beliefs commonly held locally. I would also contend that, though a venue such as this would be welcomed, it would only be successful if run in a more secular fashion which can appreciate the need for separation of management and ownership in its application of capital. I hope KICC sees sense, and divests its interest in this property to an agency more harmonious with local interest.	10/7/2014 5:06 AM
466	<b>Positive cinema</b> I strongly believe this should be a cinema	10/7/2014 5:01 AM
467	<b>general opposition</b> Crystal Palace and the people that LIVE here are a wonderful and diverse group that do not judge people on sexual preference, race, nationality or any other criteria - I don't believe anything the most definitely not Open Door could offer would benefit our community. I feel you have stolen the building from the community and are just too stubborn to back down. I do not wish to appear on your database so I have declined to fill in my personal details - I have nevertheless copied my responses and forwarded them on to my council representatives at Bromley - just in case...	10/7/2014 5:00 AM
468	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> The building of a Baptismal font explicitly supports the thoughts of myself that the main reason behind the building is to open as a Church which goes entirely against the council's D2 usage and I do not think KICC has any interest in the locals.	10/7/2014 4:56 AM
469	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I disagree with the current ownership of the building. I would like it to be entirely non religious and ideally to be a full time cinema	10/7/2014 4:48 AM
470	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should not be used for religious purposes. Please do not do so. (Perhaps get the baptism pool removed too, as a gesture of goodwill!). I personally would prefer the building to be used as a cinema.	10/7/2014 4:46 AM
471	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> Crystal Palace is already well provided with facilities for Coffee Mornings, Corporate Events, Educational Course, Concerts, Fitness Courses and the like. My objection to the regeneration at 25 Church Road is that it is clearly a trojan horse for conversion of the premises to D1. As a local resident I am extremely concerned about the presence of an organisation that wishes to hold large-scale religious activities in the centre of our community. I am very worried about the influx of 1000-2000 people for church services, and the pressure that will place on parking in local streets. I do not believe that the additional facilities KICC promise will truly benefit our community.	10/7/2014 4:41 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

472	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> As a charitable organisation you have taken advantage of your status and taken a large D2 venue out of use to our community for the last few years. Despite not having planning permission in direct contravention of Charity Commission rules you have held religious events in the venue. The local community has consistently requested that KICC engage with locals and you have failed to consult on numerous occasions. This survey is an insult to the huge number of local people who have spoken loudly and clearly about their opinions on this buildings significance in the Crystal Palace economy. Your plans will not deliver any footfall for local businesses but will instead create huge issues with parking and traffic. You will contribute nothing in business rates whilst generating an huge income through your aggressive business 'religious' model. A cinema club showing U rated films does not answer the communities call for a cinema at 25 Church Road. We have a large LGBT community and it is not clear whether their events would be welcomed in the so-called community hub. This is a cynical attempt to get through the red tape of planning in a completely unsatisfactory manner that is in direct conflict with what the close knit and multi-faith community of crystal palace wants.</p>	10/7/2014 4:37 AM
473	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> This survey is a waste of time as the venue should be returned to a cinema in order to benefit the whole local community. There is no need for the community venues being proposed as Crystal Palace is well-provided with all the facilities described. I believe the KICC are using this in an attempt to gain approval for use of 25 Church Road as a church. I do hope that all negative views expressed will be forwarded to the London Borough of Bromley.</p>	10/7/2014 4:17 AM
474	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Religion only exists to creates division and segregation amongst people and communities. Crystal Palace is not an area that needs or wants a new 'place of worship' rebranded as a community venue. A community venue no less that would exclude sections of the community such as LGBT of whom they and their religion disapprove of. The area needs a genuine cultural hub such as a cinema that would provide cultural and economic benefits to the entire community regardless of their bygone bronze age beliefs in fairy stories. All would be welcome.</p>	10/7/2014 4:17 AM
475	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The proposal limits the community use to religious or acceptable events, which severely restricts the positive impact of this development on the community and the basis of the proposal. Many of the proposed uses replicate those already available in Crystal Palace. KICC's planning consultants indicated that non-Christian religious events (such as non-Christian wedding ceremonies) would not be allowed because KICC considered the building to be their place of worship - this proposal therefore only benefits the Christian population of the community. The proposed cinema club would be limited to family friendly, U certificate films aimed at children - this seems very limited and a poor use of what was originally a cinema.</p>	10/7/2014 4:12 AM
476	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> It doesn't seem that you are offering anything different to the many other churches in the Crystal Palace area. I assume that the events you suggest would be to some extent religious in nature, and therefore in line with the running of a church, not truly an "open door" community venue for all.</p>	10/7/2014 4:08 AM
477	<p><b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I strongly object to the change of use from D2 to D1. The primary purpose of the change of use is to convert the building into a place of worship, which there are many in the area already. The scale of KICC's congregation will put an unnecessary burden on the local road network and parking. The vast majority of local people would prefer that this venue be used in its current use class, in particular for a cinema, which there is considerable public and commercial support. An application for Change of Use has already been refused on the basis of increased congestion / loss of local amenity and nothing has changed since this was proposed before.</p>	10/7/2014 4:04 AM
478	<p><b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I work for a high end Stand-up comedy agency and am looking to start a regular comedy gig in the crystal palace area. 25 Church Road would be a great venue for this and would offer an affordable, regular comedy night to the local community.</p>	10/7/2014 4:02 AM
479	<p><b>general opposition</b> The criteria under which the venue may be hired are too restrictive, ie stipulating religeously oriented constraints.</p>	10/7/2014 3:57 AM
480	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I disagree with KICC's views and do not want it to profit from the local community.</p>	10/7/2014 3:57 AM
481	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> Please return the building to the local Community so it can be used for us as a clnema</p>	10/7/2014 3:56 AM
482	<p><b>general opposition</b> Facilities are overpriced and restrictive, the parent organisation is an evangelical church with dubious governance (strong suspicions of fraud) and homophobic views. Crystal Palace needs an AFFORDABLE multi-use venue usable by ALL of the LOCAL community.</p>	10/7/2014 3:54 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

483	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I don't trust KICC. The renovation done so far to the front of the building is appalling, there has been very little consideration towards what locals actually want. Crystal Palace already has a really good selection of Cafes, Crystal Palace Park has excellent fitness / excercise facilities. There are already a number of churches in the area. The area doesn't need another church that just part of the community might be able to use, nor one that's chosen to become a 'multi-use community venue' (clearly a compromise that will satisfy none) as a way of pursuing their religious agenda. Their latest saga doesn't have the what's best for the community in mind, the thinking seems to be 'how can I get what I want while pretending I care about what locals need / want'. We visit Crystal Palace regularly, KICC and their plans will be a reason for avoiding the area, not an incentive to carry on visiting. And this questionnaire was clearly written in a way that will give them the answers they want to hear, as opposed to being an honest tool for dialogue and assessment of what should happen.	10/7/2014 3:52 AM
484	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want the Cinema for EVERYONE in the neighbourhood to enjoy.	10/7/2014 3:48 AM
485	<b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should be a cinema.	10/7/2014 3:46 AM
486	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Other Use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not support this space being used as a venue that in reality, will only be used for worship. There is a need in Crystal Palace to have a venue that can be used openly, by the community. Ideally as a cinema but it would be great to have a venue that could be used as a gig venue, which would need to be able to serve alcohol. It's a great idea to have a community venue but with the restrictions in place by KICC it will not be used as such, so I do not support this.	10/7/2014 3:45 AM
487	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Do not want this venue used in this way. We want a local cinema for crystal palace and these proposals in no way meet this requirement & indeed the proposals for showing films is exceedingly limited. Do not want this to be converted to a place of worship.	10/7/2014 3:45 AM
488	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I will not use this facility as the owners have shown they wish to operate it in ways which are antagonistic towards equality law, in particular towards LGBT people. I do not wish to financially support such organisations. Their preferred hiring model is of little value to the community - extremely high price (£500/hour), and onerous restrictions on ticketing and alcohol consumption - which makes it impossible for most of the community to use it for wedding receptions, concerts or theatre. I am concerned that events here will cause unacceptable pavement crowding, serious parking problems and environmental health problems (night time noise from the venue itself plus the inevitable crowds and traffic) in the residential neighbourhoods nearby.	10/7/2014 3:44 AM
489	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I don't want this venue to become a church. This will exclude the majority of members of the local community who are not religious and do not wish to be involved with a church-led organisation	10/7/2014 3:29 AM
490	<b>Positive cinema</b> I'd rather it was a cinema.	10/7/2014 3:28 AM
491	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building was opened as a cinema and should be returned to full time cinema use especially as an operator is wanting to do just that.	10/7/2014 3:28 AM
492	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see the building returned to its original use as a cinema. I don't think that there is the requirement for a community centre in the area.	10/7/2014 3:22 AM
493	<b>Negative church on site</b> We do not need another place of worship in the crystal palace area .	10/7/2014 3:21 AM
494	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should hold its current license and be returned to a cinema, there are none nearby and there are already loads of places of worship!!!!	10/7/2014 3:21 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

495	<p><b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>traffic</b> 1. I think that the community of Crystal Palace would benefit more widely from an independent unaffiliated multi-use community venue than it would do a large Christian-faith based venue. London has a huge number of these purpose built venues already for worship and proposing to combine faith-based worship of this nature with a 'cinema-club' and coffee mornings in a venue that can supposedly seat over 1,000 people seems to be a mis-match of priorities for the use of the building. I would strongly suspect that these additional uses for the building would be pushed to the wayside once Church services began in earnest. Would the venue be allowed to host same-sex marriages, concerts by artists who may to some be considered controversial or by community groups from other faiths? As has been shown in Briston, a cinema in the local area can serve as a creative hub to the local community, bolster the local identity of and provide significant employment to locals, regardless of faith, politics and religious orientation in a way that a Church would be exclusionary. 2. The survey presented above uses strong bias in a number of the questions and as such does not really encourage debate. It is up to the individual to decide if the facilities are "state of the art" and question two is such a narrow closed question that it cannot be answered in any other way than 'yes'. 3. I would be greatly concerned by the volume of traffic generated by large numbers of a congregation descending upon a small congested section of the A212 running directly past the church at times dictated by the start and finish of services - given the numbers of people the venue is now stated to accommodate. I would also be greatly concerned by the danger to pedestrians and motorists given the narrow pavement and roads. A church service by its very nature spills out onto the surrounding areas of a church before and after a service and this could pose a real threat to others trying to navigate the A212 at peak times.</p>	10/7/2014 3:16 AM
496	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> The local community actively supports a cinema at this venue. The work carried out so far do not aesthetically enhance the building, which should be brought back to its former use and character. It would be nice to have a cinema and concert venue here, where a variety of music types could be played and movies of various subjects. During mornings the space could also be used for fitness classes.</p>	10/7/2014 3:14 AM
497	<p><b>Negative community</b> This venue has had very limited access to the community it claims to serve over the last two years. I fear it will not be a community venue at all but closed to all but its members. The public facilities it claims to offer are overpriced and already freely available in crystal palace.</p>	10/7/2014 3:13 AM
498	<p><b>general opposition</b> As a self-employed person, the ability to hire meeting places is appealing, however, the local area already has sufficient spaces to do this.</p>	10/7/2014 3:11 AM
499	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> Yes, I feel very strongly that 25 Church road should reflect the wishes of the majority of the local community, that the building should be used as a cinema only.</p>	10/7/2014 3:09 AM
500	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> We do not want the use of the venue changed. We want the KICC to leave our community alone so we can finally have a cinema, which is what I, my family, friends and everyone one I know on crystal palace wants.</p>	10/7/2014 3:07 AM
501	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see a cinema reinstated in this location.</p>	10/7/2014 2:59 AM
502	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> There was (and is) a huge controversy about KICC acquiring the property in 25 Church Road and work in the property has been going on without planning permission it appears. In addition, the local community has been kept in the dark for a long time about the proposed use of the building - a consultation would have been in order before building work, not after, if this really was done for the community. Ample space is available in Crystal Palace for coffee mornings, educational courses, fitness classes and private hire. The neighborhood would indeed benefit from a cultural centre (concerts, film, theatre, exhibitions etc.) - but I would much rather see this being run by the community with the support of the council than an obscure church that has already not adhered to current regulations and is likely to have their own agenda.</p>	10/7/2014 2:53 AM
503	<p><b>Negative community</b> <b>Public transport</b> Having seen the proposals I do not believe that the open door will be an inclusive community organisation and will be restricted to events that the KICC has approved. This was evidenced by the lack of clarity over what sort of events could be booked there and how often as well as the clear indication that gay and lesbian events would not be welcome. I also don't believe the Open Door is for the local community having heard that minibuses will be used to bring people to events. It's such a shame that a great venue is not being used as it was intended and is being used for a small section of people who mainly do not live in Crystal Palace.</p>	10/7/2014 2:50 AM
504	<p><b>general opposition</b> The way the questions have been worded in this survey leads to think the answers will be manipulated, it doesn't seem the community's real opinion will be represented.</p>	10/7/2014 2:49 AM
505	<p><b>Positive cinema</b> This building would be better served to the whole community as a cinema.</p>	10/7/2014 2:45 AM
506	<p><b>Negative community</b> I completely disagree that this should be allowed to change classification to a place of worship. This should remain a community access building, unencumbered by religion.</p>	10/7/2014 2:41 AM
507	<p><b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Please sell this venue to someone who will run it as a cinema. The residents of crystal palace do not want another church here, we want a cinema.</p>	10/7/2014 2:30 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

508	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> I think this recommendation would cause a lot of distribution to local business and traffic problems. Crystal Palace has a lot of churches and I think the site should be used for a cinema.	10/7/2014 2:24 AM
509	<b>general opposition</b> This questionnaire is appallingly laid out. Your leaflet was not delivered to my or my neighbours houses in Belvedere Road, a key street off Church Road and close to KICC. I am keen to know where your 17,000 leaflets were delivered.	10/7/2014 2:21 AM
510	<b>general opposition</b> This is a very biased survey. KICC have completely ignored the local community for years and this survey is disingenuous and geared to providing the results to suit KICC's requirements.	10/7/2014 2:17 AM
511	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> There is little demand for yet another church at Crystal Palace. Around 1000 people met at the Queen's Hotel to campaign for the use of the building as a cinema.	10/7/2014 2:13 AM
512	<b>general opposition</b> This has been ongoing for far too long. I have been living in the crystal palace area for over 2 years and the building could be put to better use rather than left in its current unused space	10/7/2014 2:09 AM
513	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want a cinema in this building for the people of Crystal Palace. We would like a Picturehouse Cinema as any films shown by yourselves would be censored because of you outdated views on life.	10/7/2014 2:05 AM
514	<b>Positive cinema</b> People in the area wish to see this building returned to it's use as a cinema, it's stated that it's too big for church services why not find a more suitable and let this building be use by the community as a cinema and event space for all as it seems it will not be used as an event space for non church goers.	10/7/2014 2:01 AM
515	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Your handling of this entire situation is farcical. You are being disingenuous by not declaring that you a religious organisation looking to create a new Church. This is not a welcome use of this great venue and does not benefit the local community. Your tactic of holding thus building hostage, locked and unused, until you get your way is also despicable. There are plenty of other venues that would suit your needs.	10/7/2014 1:59 AM
516	<b>Positive cinema</b> This place was a cinema and should remain a cinema. I am not against a shared model with Kingsway International Christian Centre (KICC) as long as there is total artistic freedom and a strong secular component to the project.	10/7/2014 1:57 AM
517	<b>Positive cinema</b> I want this old cinema to be returned to its original use as a cinema for Crystal Palace. This would be the most beneficial use for the local community and local businesses. I support the Picture Palace Campaign to bring a cinema back to Crystal Palace.	10/7/2014 1:51 AM
518	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> It would be very interesting to see how you envisage Church Services being conducted with a club, fitness courses, concerts etc.	10/7/2014 1:49 AM
519	<b>Positive cinema</b> The community want a cinema, using the building as it was initially intended. No more work should be done by anyone that would ruin the original interior decor.	10/7/2014 1:48 AM
520	<b>Positive cinema</b> There is strong community support for a cinema. There is already a Salvation Army hall for community space, plenty of cafes, library, gym, fitness businesses. I do not see a Church lead space gaining much support or following in the wider community in this day and age.	10/7/2014 1:47 AM
521	<b>Positive cinema</b> I can't answer all of the questions as they are a bit vague. I don't support the use of this venue for any sort of religious services. It should be returned to the community. At this stage I feel that KICC have made no attempt to engage with the community.	10/7/2014 1:46 AM
522	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> We need all the items listed in no 3. It's about time we had a cinema -and somewhere for weddings.	10/7/2014 1:45 AM
523	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> <b>traffic</b> While supporting the regeneration of the building (who likes to see empty derelict premises) none of the above interest me personally. I am concerned about the impact on local traffic increased congestion and the impact of such on the surrounding area. I personally would have preferred a cinema venue but would have still had the same concerns about traffic congestion.	10/7/2014 1:43 AM
524	<b>Positive cinema</b> I FIERCLY object to a change of use for 25 Church Road. Crystal Palace has a great number of churches of all faiths and does NOT need another one, what it DOES need is a cinema. 25 Church Road is our only large D2 venue and should stay as such. I am not AT ALL interested by a highly censored "Cinema Club" or alcohol free events. I would NEVER attend any events the KICC would hold for the same reason as they wouldnt want to attend mine either.	10/7/2014 1:43 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

525	<b>general opposition</b> 25 Church Road should absolutely not be allowed to have a change of use permitted. It should stay as D2 and not be allowed to change to D1 or D1/D2 use. Based on KICC's behaviour and the history of its questionable financial activities it is not an organisation that deserves any trust from the local community.	10/7/2014 1:40 AM
526	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would love to be involved in any cinema screenings or club. I'm a local, professional filmmaker and cinemaphile.	10/7/2014 1:40 AM
527	<b>general support</b> See you on open day.	10/7/2014 1:38 AM
528	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> We are very pleased that the building is being renovated for church and the community use rather than housing. This church will revitalise the area at the time when it is usually very quiet and the community use will benefit the whole area. Well done!	10/7/2014 1:36 AM
529	<b>general opposition</b> The images and reports of the work on this building show that it is mainly suitable for use in single-focussed events in a large auditorium, such as those run by your organisation elsewhere in London that are acts of worship for 1 - 3,000 people. Your attempt to disguise this frankly makes a mockery of planning consent laws, and insults the intelligence of local people who have an elected body in place to oversee breeches of planning Law. There is a clear intent to disregard your responsibilities to the local community by placing a facility that will swamp the area in unwanted traffic to transport 1 - 3000 people in and out, and by trying to present a church as something it is not.	10/7/2014 1:35 AM
530	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Previously the building is supposed to have been in use and available to the public and there is no evidence that it has been. I find the current proposals unclear - exactly what activities will be covered by this 'multi-use' public space? I also feel that the design doesn't appear to cater for a particularly wide range of uses other than large scale gatherings such as talks or religious services. Personally I don't feel that the area needs another church and would rather see the return of a cinema to the space, which I feel would get more and wider community use.	10/7/2014 1:33 AM
531	<b>Other Use</b> Could be used for local drama and dancing classes to put on shows.	10/7/2014 1:32 AM
532	<b>general support</b> This building is all we need. We do not need a new Crystal Palace	10/7/2014 1:25 AM
533	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I think this may be the only auditorium in the area. I don't imagine theatre etc would be here (flat auditorium/sightlines/stage limitations) but would be interested to try musical performance here-not just local use but visiting artists.	10/7/2014 1:24 AM
534	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> a facility For the Community has to be inclusive of the Community in which it is located.	10/7/2014 1:24 AM
535	<b>general support</b> Fantastic refurb-Well done to all-Book, record, Toy Fairs etc would be good.	10/7/2014 1:22 AM
536	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> We have more than enough churches of all denominations. We have very little parking available. You do not make it clear that you do not have permission to use the premises for church services and have totally ignored the wishes of the local community. You fail to comply with your charitable status.	10/7/2014 1:18 AM
537	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> There is no need for yet another place of worship in the triangle. There is a great need for a local cinema. N.B. I did not receive a copy of your notification of the application even though I live just a few doors away.	10/7/2014 1:17 AM
538	<b>general opposition</b> Would you be interested in creating an unbiased, non-leading survey? Or are you scared of having to show that no one in Crystal Palace supports your plans?	10/7/2014 1:16 AM
539	<b>Positive cinema</b> 25 Church Road must remain a cinema. None of the residents want KICC or a church.	10/7/2014 1:16 AM
540	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building should be a cinema for Crystal Palace residents-as it used to be-	10/7/2014 1:15 AM
541	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> There is no local support for your activities in Crystal Palace. Please find suitable premises such as a church maybe? Why the sudden interest, after years of ownership, in what the local community want? Change of use?	10/7/2014 1:13 AM
542	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> As long as the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ is preached with expansion we are all for it.	10/7/2014 1:11 AM
543	<b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I think its really important that there is a multi use community venue on church road that does not have another religious use. I wish to see the venue re opened as a cinema or concert space that has wide reaching "open door" opportunities. i would be very happy to walk yup and catch a film rather than have to go to croydon or beckenham to do so. the smaller picture house cinemas in clapham and brixton have a fantastic following, why can't we build that sort of following in crystal palace ???	10/7/2014 1:10 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

544	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> When I first looked into it I wondered if the Crystal Palace Brass Band would benefit; Butno:- 1 Far too expensive 2. No privacy - for us 3 no privacy for other events! (We are a 25 piece Brass band!) 4. Concert venue is too big and not licenced for raffles of wine & cheese 5. no parking 6. No storage facility	10/7/2014 1:09 AM
545	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This premise should be a cinema. There are many other cafes, meeting rooms and work spaces in CP. Please return the building to the wider community.	10/7/2014 1:08 AM
546	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would like it to be a cinema that serves the community, rather than a place of worship	10/7/2014 1:06 AM
547	<b>Negative church on site</b> Not a church!-We are tired of premises being taken over by churches.	10/7/2014 1:05 AM
548	<b>Positive cinema</b> The community would benefit most from continued use of the building as an entertainment venue & returned to use as a cinema not a place of worship. A cinema would regenerate Church rd a place of worship only benefits a very small few leaving church rd to stay run down	10/7/2014 1:00 AM
549	<b>Negative church on site</b> The campaign to turn this into a place of worship under planning rules is not welcomed in Crystal Palace for the main reason of congestion and lack of car parking spaces for the sizeable congregation. It would be more fruitful for the WICC to sell the lease/building to those who seek to bring a local cinema which is very much welcomed, and itself to seek an alternative, more suitable location in south London which will offer good transport links and adequate carparking facilities	10/7/2014 12:58 AM
550	<b>Negative community</b> The local community needs a venue that serves this area. As I am not a member of your church I feel I would not have any benefit from your proposed plans I also feel this applies to the majority of locals.	10/7/2014 12:57 AM
551	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see the venue returned to use as a permanent cinema for the whole community of Crystal Palace, rather than used as a space for mass meetings of one evangelising religious group	10/7/2014 12:56 AM
552	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should be changed back into a cinema. I do not believe it is a appropriate for a religious group to use it. They should go somewhere else. There is no cinema in crystal palace, there are however community spaces and that is not something we need more off.	10/7/2014 12:54 AM
553	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I have not spoken to any member of the local community who actually wants this to exist. Since the sale of the bingo hall, the local community has wanted a cinema. A cinema would be able to deliver everything you list above, with the added benefit of it being a cinema, where the people of the local community could gather for a few hours to enjoy a movie, or a drink, or some food. Nobody wants a church. nobody. I mean really, how many members of the local community actually want a church? Because that's what you want to turn it into. The sooner you give up on this idea, the better. Then the local community can actually get on with enjoying what they actually want, and would benefit from.	10/7/2014 12:49 AM
554	<b>Positive cinema</b> Do not believe Crystal Palace needs this venue. The community would be much better served with a cinema at this location - as has been consistently commented over the last few years.	10/7/2014 12:49 AM
555	<b>Negative cinema</b> Would any non secular activities be welcome at the 'Open Door'? There is no information on this short questionnaire that has enabled me to feel informed enough to complete it properly. For example if the 'Cinema Club' was to show new releases of all types then perhaps it would actually be a cinema club, but if you intend to only show family friendly releases, this, in my opinion, is not a cinema club.	10/7/2014 12:48 AM
556	<b>general opposition</b> Nothing you are offering is not currently on offer in Crystal Palace or the surrounding area.	10/7/2014 12:37 AM
557	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to this venue to become a cinema for the whole community	10/7/2014 12:34 AM
558	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> In theory I support the building's regeneration but do NOT support its conversion to worship facilities when KICC did not have approval to make these changes. I believe the best use for this building would be a dedicated cinema, owned and run (with films selected by) a specialised cinema company, NOT a Jack-of-all-trades space, owned and run by a religious organisation as this would impact on the sort of functions or groups or meetings that would be granted permission to use the building. Please consider selling this building for the sale of the locals, rather than a congregation, the majority of whom do not live locally and in travelling to KICC from all across South London, will create huge traffic problems.	10/7/2014 12:31 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

559	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not support the change of planning use from D2 to D1. This is Crystal Palace's only remaining D2 venue and should remain so, for the use of the community. I also do not support the purchase of this building by KICC without planning permission to use this building for worship, nor the 'regeneration' they have carried out for a purpose which they do not have planning permission for. A cinema would be a fantastic addition to this community, and would not impact on parking anywhere near as much as a church. KICC have not provided a plan to deal with the huge amount of additional parking, apart from to suggest that their worshippers use the NSC parking facilities, which they are not in a position to do.	10/7/2014 12:26 AM
560	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Cinema please. There are multiple churches and other community spaces in the area. Lack of parking eliminates conference use.	10/7/2014 12:18 AM
561	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should not be used by a religious organisation of any form. If anything it should be used as a cinema and not trying to sneak a church in via the back door	10/7/2014 12:18 AM
562	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> This church does not support our community, as all people involved in KICC drive in from other areas and clog up our already stretched parking spaces for residents.	10/7/2014 12:17 AM
563	<b>Negative church on site</b> this should not be UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES be used as a church..... There is simply not enough infrastructure to cope with a church of this size in the area. By ignoring planning rules and then throwing money at it show a blatant disregard for due process.	10/7/2014 12:15 AM
564	<b>Negative church on site</b> This is not an appropriate venue for a religious organisation.	10/7/2014 12:13 AM
565	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This area does NOT need another church and this is a terrible waste of a fabulous, historic high street property. The area needs a cinema, not a church.	10/7/2014 12:07 AM
566	<b>Negative church on site</b> As an atheist, I would not wish any activities inside the building to be tied to religion	10/7/2014 12:05 AM
567	<b>Negative church on site</b> I oppose the idea this place being a place for any religious and sect activities.	10/7/2014 12:02 AM
568	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I want the old cinema to be a cinema again. A church is not a building but the congregation. Therefore it needn't occupy and deny the public their only dedicated cinema space. PS you forgot to leaflet Beulah Hill.	10/7/2014 12:02 AM
569	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not believe that this venue should be used for religious purposes. This is a secular venue and should remain as such	10/6/2014 11:37 PM
570	<b>Negative church on site</b> Why have the KICC only started involving the local community? I feel that this was only done in the hope of influencing the planning application. Will the cinema use be censored, e.g., only films cleared by the church? Will the church allow same sex marriages at the venue? I sent this question by email and the silence is deafening.	10/6/2014 11:30 PM
571	<b>Negative community</b> Your plans for change of use at this venue would have little benefit to the local community and appear to be focused on your attempts to make the venue a place of worship. This is opposed by the local community, which you have failed to engage with prior to making substantial renovations to the venue.	10/6/2014 11:20 PM
572	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would be delighted if the community local to the building were pro-actively consulted about its future. As far as I am aware there is a strong case for the building to retain its identity as an entertainment venue and widespread local support for a cinema to be developed here, allowing greater communal and educational opportunities to the local community than your proposals. I fully support the cinema campaign and its associated benefits for the regeneration of Church Road.	10/6/2014 11:16 PM
573	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I just want a normal cinema that gets used everyday, not a church that doesn't	10/6/2014 10:11 PM
574	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please sell this property so it can be returned to a cinema and be used by the whole community	10/6/2014 7:56 PM
575	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> The name Open Door appears to be an ironic use of the phrase and I certainly don't feel welcome as a community member	10/6/2014 7:22 PM
576	<b>Positive cinema</b> I know this venue is best suited for use as an independent cinema. Look at the picture houses! So successful and have transformed the areas they're in, offering excellent entertainment, dining and beverages. This is what we need to make crystal palace perfect!	10/6/2014 6:30 PM
577	<b>Negative mixed use</b> I do not think any of the proposed uses for the building are needed in the area. All as far as I can see are already available in the Crystal Palace area through a variety of other organisations.	10/6/2014 6:11 PM
578	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see a much needed cinema returned to the area	10/6/2014 5:56 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

579	<b>Positive cinema</b> As a member of the local community, and having lived in Upper Norwood for the past ten years, I strongly support the campaign to return 25 Church Road to its original function as a cinema, which is clearly the wish of the majority of local residents	10/6/2014 5:53 PM
580	<b>Negative community</b> 25 Church Road should be a public building for use by the public	10/6/2014 4:30 PM
581	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do NOT support a change of use in planning permission. I do not support this venue being used for any religious events. I want to see this cinema being used as a cinema again.	10/6/2014 4:02 PM
582	<b>Positive cinema</b> I feel there are enough religious venues in Crystal Palace. I do not feel there needs to be another one. A cinema would help residents and businesses in the area.	10/6/2014 3:58 PM
583	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not want this venue to become a place of worship. I want it to be turned into a proper local cinema. I do not support the current regeneration work.	10/6/2014 3:55 PM
584	<b>general opposition</b> It's shocking that things have got this stage with the KICC. If they're allowed to change use of the building than the council should be ashamed of themselves. It's not setting a good example. You're so strict on planning permission to houses which doesn't really effect many people and yet this that effects a whole community seems remarkable easy and more a question of jumping through various loopholes.	10/6/2014 3:47 PM
585	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Can we have a cinema please? We don't need another place of worship...	10/6/2014 3:44 PM
586	<b>Negative church on site</b> No change of use to place of worship	10/6/2014 3:40 PM
587	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I completely disagree with this turning into a place of worship & strongly believe it should be returned to a cinema	10/6/2014 3:39 PM
588	<b>Positive cinema</b> Return this building to its proper usage as a cinema for the benefit of the whole community. Your planning application is for the benefit of your congregation only and expansionist, evangelical purposes. Shame of you for your dishonesty.	10/6/2014 3:38 PM
589	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This building should be returned to its proper use as a cinema. The application to change usage is so that KICC can use the venue as a church. This no shortage of places to worship in Crystal Palace. We don't have a cinema/live music venue which is what the local community want!	10/6/2014 3:35 PM
590	<b>general opposition</b> this is a bad idea	10/6/2014 3:32 PM
591	<b>Positive cinema</b> <b>Positive community</b> A cinema showing a range of films to meet the needs of all residents of crystal palace is needed and not just for one organisation. The venue should bring the community together not just a specific few.	10/6/2014 3:14 PM
592	<b>Negative community</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I've lived in Crystal Palace for two years and have not once seen the doors of this venue open to the community. It is a crying shame that such a space is used so infrequently. Crystal Palace does need a community space but one that is truly open to all and caters for the widest number of tastes as possible e.g. a cinema showing a wide range of films (not just for children) and no prejudice against particular religions or other minority groups.	10/6/2014 3:12 PM
593	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> I object strongly to a potential local resource being taken over by religious interests under the guise of community.	10/6/2014 3:10 PM
594	<b>Positive cinema</b> This is an important building, originally built as a cinema, and with huge community support to revive it as a cinema, for which there is a genuine and widespread community need.	10/6/2014 3:04 PM
595	<b>Positive community</b> This should not be a massive place of worship. The space needs to truly meet the demands of the community - lesbian and gay events need to be allowed.	10/6/2014 3:04 PM
596	<b>Positive cinema</b> These questions do not ask what residents want, which is a cinema returned to its original use.	10/6/2014 3:01 PM
597	<b>Positive cinema</b> Many of us wish you would leave the area and let the building become a cinema. You are not part of this community which is mainly secular and creative. Please go away.	10/6/2014 3:00 PM
598	<b>Negative cinema</b> I think these questions are too leading particularly question 3. I believe the cinema should be used as a open independent Cinema for the local community - NOT a 'Cinema Club' I presume to be ran and selected by the church whose congregation is predominantly not within the local community.	10/6/2014 2:58 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

599	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I do not agree with the proposals as framed by KICC in this 'consultation'. Bromley BC has a duty to forcefully apply planning law and not let KICC's flagrant breach of building use go unpunished. KICC do not take any notice of Community feeling and 25 Church Road should be compulsory purchased and returned to the purpose for which it was constructed. This should not be for quasi-religious purposes run as a commercial enterprise.	10/6/2014 2:57 PM
600	<b>Positive cinema</b> This venue needs to be a cinema. this is what the community wants & there is a deep seated feeling about this. we do not need more religious venue's, there are plenty in the area as is. Changing the use of the venue which has historically been a secular entertainment venue will not benefit the local people	10/6/2014 2:54 PM
601	<b>Negative church on site</b> NO RELIGIOUS SERVICES HERE!!! This venue was meant for entertainment only.	10/6/2014 2:54 PM
602	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>traffic</b> No comment on question 1 as I am very disappointed to learn that the facilities are only available to hire with certain conditions attached - no alcohol allowed would certainly make it an unviable venue as the revenue gained from a bar would be essential to our events during the festival. Also where will the mini-buses that the church say will bring their congregation actually stop to let people off? Church Road is a very busy road with no parking in that area and on the other side of the road to the venue there is unloading bays only so these can not be used as the venue's literature implies. The literature also says that KICC have been in contact and discussion with community groups but I know of no such contact in consultation about the venue's renovation. What safeguards will there be for all of the above uses to be actually allowed if KICC get D1 use of the venue? Bromley Council haven't enforced planning regulations in the past at the venue when there have been events which looked religious in use and there are many cuts to local authority funding which do not leave me confident that they will be able to ensure the building is used for community events and activities. Question 4 is a loaded question - are these actually state of the art facilities (we are told this by KICC who renovated the venue themselves) and I am not sure I would benefit from any of the activities stated as there are no safeguards to any of them happening and there is not enough detail of what they actually are - what does a cinema club mean? What films would be shown? This is a deeply flawed questionnaire	10/6/2014 2:53 PM
603	<b>Negative community</b> Yes. I don't feel the community needs another hall particularly one that claims to be for the community but places religious restrictions on its usage.	10/6/2014 2:47 PM
604	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This should be a cinema. You bought a site that is the only D2 site on the Triangle and it should remain that way. I oppose any such site being used as a Church and I'd support any compulsory purchase of the venue to ensure the local community gets the cinema it deserves.	10/6/2014 2:43 PM
605	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I've walked past the doors countless times and I've never seen them open, or any sign on any activity through the windows - even in the middle of the day. From my understanding, the building is occupied and has been decorated, but is hardly used and when it is, it isn't the local community who are benefiting. I have nothing against KICC having a property elsewhere in Crystal Palace. I just feel like the current location could be better used and in a way that is more inclusive for the majority of people in the area. I believe there are cinema chains interested and I'm sure there's enthusiasm from the local community. As it stands, I think the small proportion of local people who are in any way familiar with The Open Door at 25 Church Road, are not using it,	10/6/2014 2:43 PM
606	<b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace local community including residents and local businesses would benefit from a cinema, but they would definatly not benefit from a place of worship for ethnic minority.	10/6/2014 2:38 PM
607	<b>Negative church on site</b> We don't need another church in this area. The disruption that could be caused is not welcome.	10/6/2014 2:35 PM
608	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> The proposal for a change of use was strongly opposed previously by the local community, and things have not changed since. Firstly there are strong concerns regarding the impact of the huge increase in traffic around the triangle (which is already close logjam during rushhour and weekend) such a church would incur. Furthermore, the triangle is becoming a highly multicultural and thriving town centre, attracting many people from around London to relocate here. Planting a church, which not be attended by any of the local community, in the heart of this blossoming area would have a detrimental impact on the Triangle. I anticipate that issues such as the parking and massive traffic increase it will have a severe detrimental effect on the character of Crystal Palace and the Triangle, and lead to closure of many of the local businesses. Currently Crystal Palace is a wonderful place to live - several thousand people descending on the area several times a week, none of whom will be interested in supporting and interacting with the local community and utilising the local businesses, is clearly going to have a detrimental effect.	10/6/2014 2:32 PM
609	<b>Negative church on site</b> Very unhappy the building is scruffy and looks unkept. The location is not appropriate for a place of worship on a busy junction and the wider crystal palace community would benefit from a communal use	10/6/2014 2:32 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

610	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Very unhappy the building is scruffy and looks unkept. The location is not appropriate for a place of worship on a busy junction and the wider crystal palace community would benefit from a communal use	10/6/2014 2:32 PM
611	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I feel that this a valuable community facility. It should be accessible, and affordable, for all who want to use it. It is ideal for concerts and social events. Unfortunately it looks as though this is not going to be the case. It will be a great loss to the community.	10/6/2014 2:29 PM
612	<b>Negative community</b> Please leave the building to the community	10/6/2014 2:28 PM
613	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> The use of the building predominantly for church services does not serve the need of the wider local community. The Phoenix centre already offers the opportunity for corporate events, education courses, coffee mornings, private hire etc, there is no need to replicate a facility that are already accessible to the local community within less than 100m. This building should maintain its current usage designation.	10/6/2014 2:23 PM
614	<b>general opposition</b> I would not attend anything at the Open Door - even if you do ever actually open them. I have never supported the change of use - I believe it should be left as D2 'Assembly and Leisure'. It is a criminal shame how you have treated that building and our community. Please sell it and find somewhere else you are actually free to use.	10/6/2014 2:21 PM
615	<b>Positive cinema</b> There is no need for a church or "multi-use community centre" in crystal palace. Crystal Palace, it's residents and the surrounding area would greatly benefit from a cinema. This is what the community would like - putting anything but a cinema in the area would be going against the residents. KICC should sell 25 Church Road back to the community as they currently aren't using it which in turn is causing more hate towards them from the community. The longer this continues, the bigger the problem between the church and the community will be.	10/6/2014 2:21 PM
616	<b>Positive cinema</b> Using your considerable wealth to gazump an acquirer who would have restored the cinema to its former glory and made a space that everyone could have enjoyed. Doesn't sound very Christian to me.	10/6/2014 2:19 PM
617	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not want all this you proposing, i just like to have fully functional CINEMA and nothing else. Please give our cinema back to Crystal Palace community!! WE DO NOT WANT MULTI-USE facility and especially CHURCH services!!	10/6/2014 2:13 PM
618	<b>Positive cinema</b> I'd much rather have a cinema, which is what I believe the building is intended for. I'd use a cinema quite regularly, and think it would be a nice edition to an already lovely area	10/6/2014 2:10 PM
619	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> This site should not be used as a place of worship, this will not benefit the community. We want a cinema	10/6/2014 2:09 PM
620	<b>Positive cinema</b> To make this site for all the community, it has to be something that appeals to everyone, of all backgrounds and all ages. I think if faith is involved, it must appeal to the widest group possible. A cinema is a great community idea and would bring a lot to the local area and beyond.	10/6/2014 2:09 PM
621	<b>Positive cinema</b> We need a cinema for the community not a church/ meeting place. The venue is under used and exclusive as only church attenders will go. This consultation is a joke, it has not been widely distributed and questions are framed in a pro- kicc manner. Bring back a cinema for Crystal Palace.	10/6/2014 2:06 PM
622	<b>Positive cinema</b> I am a resident of SW19. I received no publicity about this. I think this beautiful, historic building should be restored as a cinema for the whole community. Your plans are ill conceived and appear to be an attempt to sugar coat your real aim - to convert the cinema into a religious-use premises. This is NOT what many people in Crystal Palace want.	10/6/2014 2:06 PM
623	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not want another church in Crystal Palace.	10/6/2014 2:05 PM
624	<b>Negative church on site</b> No-one in this area supports that this property has been taken over by an Evangelical Church. A church that is not associated with the area at all. It cannot provide any facilities not already in this area. What the majority of the local people want is for the Church Road venue to be sold to the appropriate organisation and turned into a successful modern Cinema. That is what the area needs and this church group cannot provide what the people of the area want. Please sell up and move on! We have enough religious venues in the area already and don't need any more. We can see past this little survey and see that you are trying to trick people into supporting your plans for changing the use of the building. This should not be allowed to happen! I do not support your intentions.	10/6/2014 2:05 PM
625	<b>Positive cinema</b> I wpuld prefer it to be a cinema!	10/6/2014 2:04 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

626	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I do not support this application. The 'Open Door' has been imposed on our community and its door has been very much closed to consultation until now - too little too late and for all the wrong reasons. The design has not changed since the last planning application in 2009 and many of the proposed uses replicate those already available in the area. The people of Crystal Palace want a cinema!	10/6/2014 2:04 PM
627	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please restore the venue to its original use: a cinema for all the community ie a secular space.	10/6/2014 2:04 PM
628	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I do not think that the facility as it currently stands is useful to the local community and believe that the regeneration work you have completed is based on the presumption that it will become a church that few, if any, local residents will attend. Those local residents who are interested in attending mass or church are already well-provided for in the tolerant, multifaith and multiracial community I live in. Any intended use for the local community is an afterthought designed to advance your 'change of use' proposals even though they were denied a few years ago. With respect, I believe it would be best for you to withdraw your application and allow the site to be purchased at market rate by another party with a genuine interest in providing a service more palatable to the local community.	10/6/2014 2:04 PM
629	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I do not think that KICC are the appropriate organisation to run a 'community hub' in Crystal Palace and I do not think that the venue is an appropriate venue for large gatherings/acts of worship I say this because 1. They are not a local organisation and are not representative of local people, they do not draw their congregation from the locality nor do they currently operate any charitable activities, such as food bank, in the locality. A 'community hub' has to be developed and run by an organisation who has a true desire to help and be part of the community that they are part of. KICC has had the opportunity to become part of the local community since purchasing the venue, but the doors have remained firmly shut to the community. With no engagement with local community groups The desire to suddenly start to operate a 'community hub' is disingenuous. It is clearly a result of the threat of enforcement action by Bromley council 2. They have ignored local peoples wishes and not consulted with the community until forced to do so. 3. KICC have indicated that they will not allow non-christian religious activities to be held in the 'community hub' as it is their place of worship. They will therefore be excluding a large percentage of the local community which is made up of many different faiths from their 'community hub'. I feel such a divisive policy is out of place in our diverse community. 4. The traffic consultants have based their survey on a predicted number of 400 for any event and yet the auditorium has been built to hold 2000/3000 so the submitted traffic survey is meaningless. 5. It is clear this revised planning application is an attempt to gain D1 use for the building by stealth. If D1 use is granted, given the type of events held over the last year there is little to make the community believe that the KICC can be trusted to only hold 2 services per week. 6. The costs of hire are not affordable for community groups and the sizes of the rooms are not appropriate. 7. There is no need for a conference hire venue in CP and any way this venue is not appropriate for conferences. 8. If D1 is granted although KICC say they will only hold two services per week there will be nothing to stop them expanding the large gatherings as seen on New Years Eve and including more acts of worship within these events. My major objection to KICC being granted D1 use for this building is that KICC is not an inclusive church and will not run an inclusive community hub for the benefit the local community. Also they have underestimated the traffic impact - implying the congregation will be 400 when the venue holds 2000/3000. Therefore I do not support the planning application.	10/6/2014 2:04 PM
630	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Let it go. It's a cinema. Nobody wants a church there. No local people want it to be a place of worship. Do you want to have a church somewhere it is not welcome at all and to change the triangle from a cultural center in the area. The church are planning to limit use to only their own people not the community. That is a lie. They are completely discriminating and have violated laws for the use of the building already. Please let the cinema come back if will completely rejuvenate the area. A church with closed doors will not.	10/6/2014 2:03 PM
631	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Please give up on this venue and allow it to become a local cinema. God hates churches built on money, but loves art house movies.	10/6/2014 2:03 PM
632	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not think the current proposal meets the needs of the local community. I would like to see the building restored to a cinema that shows popular films, similar to Brixton Ritzy..	10/6/2014 2:02 PM
633	<b>Positive cinema</b> Would like to see this being used by the LOCAL community as a cinema, open to all. We do not need another church. Hire fees restrictive and lack of entertainment/alcohol licence are an obstacle to this.	10/6/2014 1:58 PM
634	<b>Positive cinema</b> Most locals would like you to serve the community that already exists and allow the building to be a cinema.	10/6/2014 1:58 PM
635	<b>Positive cinema</b> I believe the area and community would benefit more from a cinema than from another church. As far as I know KICC are not planning to run the building as a cinema and I believe the end result of this application would be a church making concessions to the community by sporadic events.	10/6/2014 1:57 PM
636	<b>Positive cinema</b> Return the cinema to crystal palace	10/6/2014 1:54 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

637	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive community</b> Something for the whole community that does not increase parking congestion in the local area would be ideal.	10/6/2014 1:54 PM
638	<b>general opposition</b> I don't believe the goal of this survey is to find out what local people want. The only interest you have is getting the approval for change of use to bring a church into the area which will only be used by people who don't live locally. There is absolutely no benefit to the local community, so please stop with this sham consultation, it's an insult to people's intelligence.	10/6/2014 1:52 PM
639	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not think that it is the owners intentions to 'open the doors' in a meaningful way to the local community as there have been no signs of this to date and it seems obvious this is just an attempt to secure change of use. The venue would be best served as an entertainment venue with strong community policy.	10/6/2014 1:52 PM
640	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I live 75 metres from the venue in question. I strongly object to the KICC's wish to change the use of the venue from 'Community and Leisure' to 'Place of Worship'. There are already several places of worship in the vicinity, while many in the immediate community have made vocal their wish that the site becomes a cinema once again - I am one of them. Please respect the majority view of the local community.	10/6/2014 1:52 PM
641	<b>Positive cinema</b> As much as I appreciate you trying to open up the venue to other uses other than worship, I'm afraid I would prefer the building to revert to its original purpose as a dedicated cinema, which the area could most certainly do with. Please consider relinquishing your lease and allowing the community project, the Picture Palace campaign, to renovate the premises as a proper community asset and preserve the rich heritage of the building as a working cinema. Thank you.	10/6/2014 1:48 PM
642	<b>Positive cinema</b> The area would benefit from being a cinema. The area doesn't need another place of worship. The building currently looks untidy from the outside.	10/6/2014 1:46 PM
643	<b>Positive cinema</b> I didn't get a leaflet. The outside looks awful, it's poorly done and badly restored. Its been shut for years, I just don't trust you to do what you say you are doing to do. I wanted it to be a cinema, my two year old son would love to go to see a film in a proper old cinema. Currently the only option is Beckenham. They have great children and family events. I wouldn't use the church, there are far too many of them around and i'm not sure what you mean by 'community use', you don't really explain that part and you haven't exactly engaged with the community so far. So I just don't trust you, I think you will get a part licence to have church and then use it only as a church.	10/6/2014 1:46 PM
644	<b>Positive cinema</b> This building absolutely cannot become a place of worship. It would be criminal. The building is ideal for a theatre or cinema. This is an oddly worded survey.	10/6/2014 1:45 PM
645	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like the building made into a cinema that would benefit the whole community not just one religious community.	10/6/2014 1:44 PM
646	<b>Positive cinema</b> I'd much prefer the building wasn't run fir religious beliefs and was truly integrated back into the community as a modern cinema without religious editorial bias.	10/6/2014 1:43 PM
647	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not want all this you proposing, i just like to have fully functional CINEMA and nothing else. Please give our cinema back to Crystal Palace community!! WE DO NOT WANT MULTI-USE facility and espesially CHURCH services!!	10/6/2014 1:38 PM
648	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Questions 2-5 are worded in such a way that to answer them would imply that I support your venture. I do not. I agree that this venue could be used for the benefit of the local community but I do not feel that you are the right people to do this - nor do I feel this is your aim. This space is located in a thriving re-generation area within the Crystal Palace Triangle. It would be an enormous waste of this great resource for an organisation such as yourself to use this space mainly for religious purposes - and to have the power of veto over what can and cannot take place within the building. What we need is an open cultural venue - not one managed according to the rules of a minority religious grouping - that is completely unrepresentative of the wider community.	10/6/2014 1:37 PM
649	<b>Positive cinema</b> Think what you're doing is terrible. We want a cinema back in crystal palace	10/6/2014 1:37 PM
650	<b>Negative community</b> I don't believe the open door will serve crystal palace residents. Many of the people that use the venue are not from the area.	10/6/2014 1:36 PM
651	<b>Negative cinema</b> <b>Negative community</b> This questionnaire is filled with closed and leading questions. Following the info supplied by your members I would not hire the venue - as overpriced. You would limit films to U rating - how restrictive. I'm absolutely against this beautiful old venue being used for religious purposes. You are not supporting the surrounding community.	10/6/2014 1:36 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

652	<b>Negative mixed use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not support the proposal for a change of use. I believe that the venue would serve the community far better if it was turned back into a inma	10/6/2014 1:36 PM
653	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please, go somewhere else, use a different building. The vast majority of Crystal Palace residents want a cinema at 25 Church Road.	10/6/2014 1:36 PM
654	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want this building to be a Cinema. The questions here seem to be biased and not actually focussing on the main question.	10/6/2014 1:35 PM
655	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I strongly disagree with this building being used mainly for religious purposes. The location should be returned to a cinema or other leisure facility that is open to all people regardless of religion. I have concerns about the parking issues which I suspect will occur as a result of this change of use. Crystal Palace is a nightmare for cars and parking as it is, without this adding to the problem. A cinema would be more valuable to the area as a whole - more inclusive and accessible to local people. I do not support the change of use proposal.	10/6/2014 1:34 PM
656	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> Your treatment of this important and historic community site over the past few years; not to mention your complete disregard of the opinion and strong feelings of the community of crystal palace has been a disgrace. You have refused to really engage with the community until forced to. You continue to attempt to mould this site into something that the community clearly do not welcome or support. You let this amazing building go to waste and your renovations so far seem to be insensitive and detrimental to the architectural history of the building. Your plans bring nothing positive for locals. We do not want you in our community.	10/6/2014 1:31 PM
657	<b>Positive cinema</b> Returning the premises to a cinema would be the best use for the local community as a whole.	10/6/2014 1:31 PM
658	<b>Negative church on site</b> I'm completely unsupportive of the plans to turn this building into some kind of quasi-religious community centre. We don't need additional religious facilities in the area and the venue would be best sold to an organisation that intends to use it within the existing planning classification	10/6/2014 1:30 PM
659	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> Although there idea need for a community venue there is no need or requirement for another church or place of worship as the residents of Crystal Palace already have their own.	10/6/2014 1:27 PM
660	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like an INDEPENDENT CINEMA	10/6/2014 1:26 PM
661	<b>Parking</b> <b>Positive community</b> Having looked at the available information I feel the offer of a community space is a token gesture to aim to get the planning application accepted. I do not agree with the censoring of events that would take place. If it is to be offered as a community space for all of the community to make use of it should be offered as such and accepting of different faiths and viewpoints of the community. I am also disappointed that KICC appears to be offering very weak evidence in terms of parking solutions.	10/6/2014 1:26 PM
662	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I am a local resident to this building and I DO NOT support any change of use to this building. I feel this organisation is amending their application so that eventually they get what they originally wanted the building for. I urge our local council to support local people and our community.	10/6/2014 1:26 PM
663	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I strongly disagree with the plans to turn this venue into a community centre and place of worship. The venue should be a cinema.	10/6/2014 1:25 PM
664	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Bring back a cinema to Crystal Palace. I don't want a large church in the triangle.	10/6/2014 1:23 PM
665	<b>Positive cinema</b> This venue should be returned to the use as a cinema.	10/6/2014 1:19 PM
666	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want a cinema that you can use as a cinema, there are lots of community groups that our family are part of but no cinema within 4 miles.	10/6/2014 1:18 PM
667	<b>Positive cinema</b> It is such a shame that this building which still could make an excellent community facility as a cinema is laying unused for so many years.	10/6/2014 1:18 PM
668	<b>Positive cinema</b> I believe the community has made itself extremely clear. We want a cinema NOT a church	10/6/2014 1:16 PM
669	<b>Positive cinema</b> I really think a cinema would be the best benefit to all members of the Crystal Palace community. As it stands, "The Open Door" feels exclusive and unwelcoming.	10/6/2014 1:16 PM
670	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support a place of worship at this address	10/6/2014 1:15 PM
671	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not want a religious venue on the high street	10/6/2014 1:15 PM
672	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I am against this valuable space being used to promote a particular religious or faith point of view. This should be a space for all sections of the community.	10/6/2014 1:15 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

673	<b>Negative church on site</b> I oppose your proposal and think religious use of these premises should not be granted	10/6/2014 1:12 PM
674	<b>Negative church on site</b> I am against any further development of places of worship in the Crystal Palace triangle as there are sufficient already and I do not feel there would be any call for or benefit to the local community. I also resent that this survey is biased in the way questions are posed.	10/6/2014 1:11 PM
675	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Negative community</b> There are already venues for fitness clubs, coffee mornings etc in Crystal Palace. It would benefit from a more specific venue like a cinema. Any use of the venue should be open to all the community and not as is proposed just those in line with the churches beliefs. I don't believe the proposed use would benefit the community of Crystal Palace at all.	10/6/2014 1:11 PM
676	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> Open access to all members of the community is needed, including provision of a wide range of services, film club, educational courses, kids activities, art and crafts courses, all aid local people and improve on our community spirit.	10/6/2014 1:09 PM
677	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Crystal Palace has many community spaces and cafes (Phoenix Centre, Salvation Army, Methodist Church, Library). What Crystal Palace does not have is a cinema. The local community has been kept out of this building for years now and deserves the chance to build the space they really want to have, a thriving and busy cinema which will become a true cultural and social hub for local residents. Offering 'meeting spaces' and a 'cinema club' showing only films approved by KICC is a poor substitute for this. The lack of attention KICC has paid to the local community has been and continues to be a huge disappointment to me.	10/6/2014 1:09 PM
678	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> I do not support this application - I believe 25 Church Road should be a genuine venue for the whole community, not a place of worship.	10/6/2014 1:08 PM
679	<b>Positive cinema</b> You are depriving Crystal Palace of jobs and a real community asset. We want a cinema. Not a sectarian church.	10/6/2014 1:08 PM
680	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I believe the venue should become a cinema. I do not feel Crystal Palace needs a meeting venue. I believe a dedicated cinema would be of the greatest benefit to the local community. I do not think the venue should be used for religious purposes.	10/6/2014 1:07 PM
681	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I believe that a multi-use venue should be available for all communities. I do not believe that this venue should be run by a religious group that do not represent the communities values. I believe that any facilities offered by you would be offered with restrictions/rules that would make a large portion of the community feel unwelcome. The space would therefore go unused to its full potential. If you care about the community, give the space to the community.	10/6/2014 1:06 PM
682	<b>Negative community</b> As a child I went to the cinema here, as an adult I went to the bingo hall, now I just stand outside and wait for a bus. Give my LOCAL community back its building. Where will your incoming worshippers park? will you put your mini buses and cars in crystal Palace park? Give us back our building.	10/6/2014 1:06 PM
683	<b>general opposition</b> There is no community wish for this church to be running a venue in order to allow its plans for a place of worship, or using a listed cinema building which should be returned to its original use. I do not know of anyone who has received one of these "leaflets" (With its loaded questions.) I filled this in online as a link from the Save 25 Church Road website. We want the cinema building to be owned and run as an independent cinema, and not an evangelical church.	10/6/2014 1:05 PM
684	<b>general opposition</b> I thought this building was for leisure use rather than for religious worship?	10/6/2014 1:05 PM
685	<b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support the use of the venue for religious purposes.	10/6/2014 1:04 PM
686	<b>Negative community</b> Your plans for this venue are not clear apart from the fact that they are non-inclusive of the wider community.	10/6/2014 1:04 PM
687	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do not agree at all with this use of such a historical building. I would far prefer it being returned to its original use of housing a cinema with the building being restored as far as possible back to its original state.	10/6/2014 1:02 PM
688	<b>Negative church on site</b> We want a cinema in SE19. Not a segregated church masquerading as an asset for the whole community. Local venues are for local people.	10/6/2014 1:01 PM
689	<b>Positive cinema</b> there are already community venues in the area which are more affordable for local groups. this venue should be a permanent cinema. for the real local community.	10/6/2014 1:00 PM
690	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I have strong reservations about your ownership of this property. You have not benefited the community at large since taking over 25 Church Rd, and we did not receive your recent leaflets even though you reportedly circulated 17,000 of them. I remain unconvinced of what exactly you have contributed to local people and businesses.	10/6/2014 1:00 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

691	<b>Negative church on site</b> Under no circumstances do I want this building used for ANY religious purposes by any religious group. That includes KICC	10/6/2014 1:00 PM
692	<b>general opposition</b> I see this survey as a tick in the box exercise and do not think you value the views of local residents who have been clear that they do not support your proposals.	10/6/2014 12:59 PM
693	<b>general opposition</b> This proposed application for change of use is not acceptable, this premises should be used for the benefit of the local community	10/6/2014 12:57 PM
694	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> I do not support your plans and do not it is the correct location for a religious venue. I would never use any of the facilities you would offer.	10/6/2014 12:54 PM
695	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> I am against potential proposals to change the building's use from sole D2 (assembly and leisure) to D2 (assembly and leisure) and D1 (worship). The building should have no religious element, particularly as the services may not necessarily serve local congregations. This was a historic cinema building and should be reinstated as such. There are existing venues in Crystal Palace e.g. The Phoenix centre so I would be concerned that the Open Door proposals could take current users away from these successful community venues. I also have concerns about large numbers of people leaving the venue at one time, particularly as parking and local infrastructure may not cope. Also despite living very close to Church Road I have received no flyer or circulation of information relating to proposals. I would have expected better communication.	10/6/2014 12:42 PM
696	<b>Negative church on site</b> building should not be used for any religious purpose- any of your other uses are in no way an acceptable addition to the community.	10/6/2014 11:47 AM
697	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Looking forward to the above services being available.	10/6/2014 9:43 AM
698	<b>football</b> <b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> The attendance of visitors to various activities will increase business in the area.	10/6/2014 9:35 AM
699	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> The above events in (the Open Door) will benefit the whole community.	10/6/2014 9:27 AM
700	<b>Other Use</b> The multi-purpose facility for a variety of people to meet up and participate in different activities.	10/6/2014 9:26 AM
701	<b>Other Use</b> Could also be used for dancing classes, fashion shows.	10/6/2014 9:20 AM
702	<b>Positive cinema</b> It was built as a cinema. This should be its prime use/function and a great addition to Crystal Palace.	10/6/2014 8:52 AM
703	<b>Other Use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema/auditorium/corporate events and private hire could bring so much to the triangle.	10/6/2014 8:48 AM
704	<b>Positive cinema</b> KICC have allowed this community resource to fall into disrepair since purchasing it. The facilities you offer are already available for hire in the community. The facility which is lacking is a cinema only.	10/6/2014 8:45 AM
705	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I thoroughly object to the licence being changed to D1 for church services. This will not serve the community. Sell up and open it as a cinema.	10/6/2014 8:42 AM
706	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> 25 Church Road should be run as a cinema not a venue for religious gatherings.	10/6/2014 8:40 AM
707	<b>Negative community</b> A D1 use of these premises is inappropriate KICC offers no benefits to Crystal Palace residents.	10/6/2014 8:26 AM
708	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> Fitness courses would aid social inclusion.	10/6/2014 8:17 AM
709	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would love to see this building used for its original use as a cinema.	10/6/2014 8:05 AM
710	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want a Picturehouse Cinema and do not support change of use from D2 to D1!	10/6/2014 8:03 AM
711	<b>general opposition</b> I would prefer this property to be returned to its original purpose, not made into another place of worship with "up service" paid to other uses. I do not want the council altering the use to D2 and D1.	10/6/2014 7:52 AM
712	<b>Positive cinema</b> Just a cinema.	10/6/2014 7:49 AM
713	<b>Positive cinema</b> I have lived in Crystal Palace 23 years. I do not want another church I want an independent cinema-not a cinema club playing only christian approved movies.	10/6/2014 7:47 AM
714	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I've run a training company, so any local facilities are of interest.	10/6/2014 7:45 AM
715	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I would like to see a club set up for 12-16 year olds to meet.	10/6/2014 7:41 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

716	<b>general support</b> <b>Other Use</b> Weddings	10/6/2014 7:38 AM
717	<b>Positive cinema</b> A cinema in Crystal Palace would be great!	10/6/2014 7:36 AM
718	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Please leave this venue. The majority of the community wants a cinema. We do not need a church for people outside of the area.	10/6/2014 7:34 AM
719	<b>Parking</b> <b>Public transport</b> <b>traffic</b> 1) People visiting should be encouraged to use public transport and not cars as the public car park behind Sainsbury;s is too small to accomodate a lot of cars. Roads in area are clogged up with parked cars. 2) I like churches with mixed congregations not only black or white.	10/6/2014 7:32 AM
720	<b>Positive cinema</b> If you are a democratic christian organisation, why not give the local population what they really want. A cinema.	10/6/2014 7:27 AM
721	<b>Negative community</b> A church would be wrong, because it would attract "out of area" people who would contribute nothing to the local community.	10/6/2014 7:24 AM
722	<b>Positive cinema</b> I do support the re-opening of the old Riatto as a cinema. This would revitalise the Triangle. True are a number of communities venues already available.	10/6/2014 7:20 AM
723	<b>Positive cinema</b> You've developed a venue, where there is no need, what you offer can be provided elsewhere in specialist venue. Shame this isn't a cinema!	10/6/2014 7:18 AM
724	<b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace and surrounding area is crying out for a cinema. Massive missed opportunity not selling to Clapham Picture House	10/6/2014 7:12 AM
725	<b>Negative church on site</b> There are plenty of redundant churches to be brought back to use or underused churches with plenty of space.	10/6/2014 7:10 AM
726	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Hope you are successful in your application there are lots of pubs, eating [places in the area.	10/6/2014 7:08 AM
727	<b>Negative church on site</b> No religion please in any forms:This is the 21st, we don't need to believe in a fictional patriarch anymore please educate yourself!	10/6/2014 6:42 AM
728	<b>Positive cinema</b> It's a shame the cinema cannot be used for its original purpose and remain a cinema without religious affiliation of any kind.	10/6/2014 6:39 AM
729	<b>Positive cinema</b> The community has been very clear of what it wants and that is a cinema. I think this is what this site should be used for.	10/6/2014 6:36 AM
730	<b>Parking</b> Parking in Crystal Palace is already bad enough with people roaming the side roads to visit bars act you will only make worse but local residents.	10/6/2014 6:33 AM
731	<b>Positive cinema</b> What Crystal Palace needs is a cinema!	10/6/2014 6:31 AM
732	<b>Positive cinema</b> We want our Picture House Cinema, Not a church trying to pretend they can serve the local community so they can get the right licence. Listen to all the local opposition. You have not been a good witness in the community. This is not God's will! Find an alternative venue. Give us back our cinema. I'm a christian but don't agree with how you've angered the local community.	10/6/2014 6:29 AM
733	<b>general opposition</b> I do not support your proposed change of use proposed to Bromley	10/6/2014 6:25 AM
734	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not want a church. I want a dedicated cinema. The survey si completely biased int hat we cannot say this.	10/6/2014 6:23 AM
735	<b>Other Use</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace has several community centres and places of worship. What it needs is a dedicated entertainment venue eg cinema, bowling alley, arts centre.	10/6/2014 6:20 AM
736	<b>Positive cinema</b> Would prefer a cinema.	10/6/2014 6:16 AM
737	<b>Positive cinema</b> This venue is for the local community. Should have been a Picture House cinema. Do not need another church.	10/6/2014 6:15 AM
738	<b>Negative church on site</b> We do not want any church services at this historic entertainment venue.	10/6/2014 6:12 AM
739	<b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace needs an actual cinema.	10/6/2014 6:11 AM
740	<b>Negative church on site</b> If KICC want to hold Church services they should buy a church.	10/6/2014 6:08 AM
741	<b>general opposition</b> This is not wanted in Crystal Palace.	10/6/2014 6:05 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

742	<b>Negative church on site</b> Another church is not required.	10/6/2014 6:03 AM
743	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Crystal Palace needs a cinema, not another church.	10/6/2014 6:00 AM
744	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please sell so we can have our cinema.	10/6/2014 5:59 AM
745	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Should be a cinema not a church.	10/6/2014 5:56 AM
746	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> The inside looks good! Posters on the doors look really scruffy and need logo. But disappointed its not 100% a cinema-aren't there enough churches in CP already?	10/6/2014 5:42 AM
747	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> This is also a beautiful space that with a wooden floor could be used very well for ball room dancing or dance school-quite	10/6/2014 5:33 AM
748	<b>general support</b> <b>Miscellaneous</b> Concerns about the financial viability of such a large space, but otherwise supportive.	10/6/2014 5:30 AM
749	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I would really have liked this site to become a full-time independent cinema but you have done a good job. It would be great if it could be used as a cinema on a regular basis.	10/6/2014 5:28 AM
750	<b>Positive cinema</b> Full support for selling to Picturehouse or Another indie Cinema chain.	10/6/2014 5:17 AM
751	<b>Negative church on site</b> The design of this questionnaire is biased because the venue can cherry pick positive answers to questions 3 & 4 even if the response to question 2 is negative.	10/6/2014 5:16 AM
752	<b>Positive cinema</b> Cinema after 7pm. Bigger screen for decent screenings.	10/6/2014 5:15 AM
753	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Parking</b> I would have concerns about hiring out a venue for an event that has to pass christian standards-not available for many lectures/debates etc. Lots of concerns over parking and litter.	10/6/2014 5:13 AM
754	<b>general support</b> It's nice to see the building is restored.	10/6/2014 5:06 AM
755	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Very important to be a public facility, for culture.	10/6/2014 5:05 AM
756	<b>general support</b> It looks fantastic. Hope it is a very successful venture.	10/6/2014 5:02 AM
757	<b>general support</b> <b>Other Use</b> There are few places for a self-help group for people with mental health issues to meet in Crystal Palace area.	10/6/2014 5:00 AM
758	<b>general support</b> No is fine.	10/6/2014 4:56 AM
759	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Keen to support any initiative that keeps the community and Crystal Palace unique.	10/6/2014 4:50 AM
760	<b>Positive cinema</b> Would like to see it used as a cinema as it used to be. It looks run down at the moment and makes the area unkept.	10/6/2014 4:47 AM
761	<b>general support</b> It would be nice for the building to be used more often and kept presentable outside.	10/6/2014 4:44 AM
762	<b>general support</b> Fantastic refurb-well done to all. Book, Record, Toy Fairs etc would be good.	10/6/2014 4:42 AM
763	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Looking forward to be using Open Door	10/6/2014 4:23 AM
764	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> It is a great venue for multiple uses.	10/6/2014 4:19 AM
765	<b>Positive mixed use</b> Great venue for all types of events.	10/6/2014 4:17 AM
766	<b>Positive mixed use</b> It would be better for a multipurpose venue.	10/6/2014 4:15 AM
767	<b>general opposition</b> There don't appear to be options stating: - I do not wish the owners of this building to keep applying for change of use. - I do not wish this building to be used illegally for religious services. - I do not wish this building to be used for activities that exclude the majority of local residents. - I do not wish this building to continue to be owned by a multi million pound organisation masquerading as a charity, paying no business rates and contributing absolutely nothing to the area.	10/6/2014 4:11 AM
768	<b>Negative church on site</b> From the outset of the premises being secretly and speculatively purchased. I have objected to using the premises for church purposes. Nothing since has changed my mind- especially this recent P.R push.	10/6/2014 4:10 AM
769	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> It would be useful to have somewhere to meet for various organisations e.g U3A.	10/6/2014 4:06 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

770	<b>Parking traffic</b> By having 2 church services in such a large venue-it will cause parking/traffic problems in the area.	10/6/2014 4:02 AM
771	<b>general opposition Negative church on site</b> Firstly, I do not believe this will be a genuine community hub as KICC is clearly seeking to convert the premises into a church first and foremost. A reversion to regular use as a cinema would be the best outcome for the community, but this does not seem to be a significant part of KICC's plans. Other "community uses" mentioned by KICC are already adequately provided for locally, so I don't see what they are adding. Secondly, I do not believe that KICC has planned adequately for the number of visitors from outside the local area coming in during religious services, particularly with regards to parking in the area, which is scarce, and with regards to pedestrian traffic potentially numbering in the high hundreds or lower thousands on what are very narrow pavements on Church Road. In fact, local residents and traders complained that the 2012 opening of the refurbished space created significant traffic problems and attendant drop in trade. Thirdly, KICC says the change of use will be a catalyst to bring more footfall to Church Road and the surroundings. This seems like wishful thinking as no evidence to suggest this would be so has been shared with the community. Fourthly: the building has now been operational for two years. In that period the building has not been hosting regular community events. It is only since the council has questioned the extent of unlawful use of the premises that this new planning application has been put forward. This to me smacks of deep cynicism. Add to that this shamble of a "community consultation" and it is very hard not to believe that KICC is not to be trusted.	10/6/2014 3:59 AM
772	<b>general opposition Negative community</b> As a member of the Christian faith you need to respect the current D2 building use regulations and the will of the community.	10/6/2014 3:56 AM
773	<b>general opposition</b> I have very little faith in these proposals. KCC has taken five years to reposition their proposals to include availability for local community and private hire use. It seems to be clearly a "means to an end" to delivering a change of use to include DI (worship) for a congregation not based within the local community. Without true commitment to delivery of these amenities.	10/6/2014 3:54 AM
774	<b>general support Miscellaneous</b> As a potential concert venue does it have a modern grand piano?	10/6/2014 3:47 AM
775	<b>general opposition</b> I will be opposing your application for change of use.	10/6/2014 3:35 AM
776	<b>general opposition</b> This proposed development is opposed by the vast majority of local residents including me- Not Welcome.	10/6/2014 3:34 AM
777	<b>Positive cinema</b> We would have preferred the cinema to be reinstated.	10/6/2014 3:32 AM
778	<b>Positive community</b> It would be hugely beneficial for this venue to be used for its original purpose. A significant landmark and a lighthouse for the vulnerable and distressed.	10/6/2014 3:26 AM
779	<b>Positive cinema</b> We think this building should be used as a cinema.	10/6/2014 3:23 AM
780	<b>Negative church on site Positive cinema</b> Needs to be a cinema- No change of use should be granted it should not be a church.	10/6/2014 3:22 AM
781	<b>Positive cinema</b> You have not brought Coles' style back. Where is the cinema screen??? You have already had an open day for us to tell you what we want. Poor effort!! Stop pretending you are interested in my view.	10/6/2014 3:21 AM
782	<b>Positive cinema</b> I would like to see the building used as an independent cinema NOT a church.	10/6/2014 3:18 AM
783	<b>general support Positive church on site Positive community traffic</b> Thank you for making a positive improvement to our area. Happy for Church Services but concerned about traffic.	10/6/2014 3:15 AM
784	<b>Positive cinema</b> What we need at Church Road is a cinema run by a reputable organisation such as Picture House which would attract a varied clientel and stimulate local business.	10/6/2014 3:12 AM
785	<b>general support Positive community Positive mixed use</b> I run an educational dance company (Dance Educates) and would be interested in hiring the space. I live locally and feel I could bring a lot to the community.	10/6/2014 3:09 AM
786	<b>Negative church on site Positive cinema</b> We want a cinema. Not your church.	10/6/2014 3:07 AM
787	<b>Positive cinema Public transport traffic</b> It is a huge shame that this building is not to be used as the cinema that the local community is crying out for. KICC has shown no consideration for the opinions and needs of the resident population and this questionnaire with its list of leading questions goes no way to rectifying this. Furthermore the stress that this puts on local transport infrastructure, including the parking provision is bound to be detrimental to local businesses and residents alike. The council needs to act in the interests of its residents and send out a clear message that this is not an appropriate use of a community asset.	10/6/2014 2:37 AM

## Open Door Website Survey

788	<b>general opposition</b> This survey is incredibly biased and loaded full of questions. There is no option to dislike anything proposed and the majority of people and traders in the area do not want a religious venue in a pivotal building to the town centres economic health.	10/6/2014 1:22 AM
789	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> I do not agree with wording of your survey, especially with question number 1. While I can appreciate all the work done inside of the building and the fact that you kept some of the original features of an art deco building, I do not agree with the word 'regeneration'. Building hasn't been used in years and local council can not benefit from business rates that a D2 venue should be generating. More importantly it is not being used by a local community. As for the question number 2 - no there is no need for another multi-use community venue. There already is one in Crystal Palace. There are also several churches in the area, fitness facility and lots of coffee shops and cafes. What is lacking in SE19 is a cinema. Not a cinema club but a full time cinema, where people can go and watch all kind of films, not just those that your church would allow for to be screened. There is no benefit for the local community in change of use from D2 to D1 or even mixed use D1/D2. No self-respecting local business will hire this venue and no local residents will take part in events while 25CR is owned by the church.	10/5/2014 5:49 AM
790	<b>Positive cinema</b> The building was designed as a cinema and should retain its primary use as a dedicated arts building run for the benefit of the whole community - not one small part of it.	10/4/2014 5:40 AM
791	<b>football</b> <b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> This facility will boost businesses and our youth will benefit immensely in the area.	10/4/2014 12:00 AM
792	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative community</b> Your survey is biased. Question 3 and 4 should have the option 'None'. KICC have had 5 years to consult but have failed to do so. This is simply window dressing as part of your planning application because you have to consult, not because you want to consult or have any intention of listening to residents. You have not regenerated the building as a multi-use venue - you have refurbished it as Church. It is a deliberate carbon copy of Hoe Street and everyone knows this. I do not believe you can be trusted to honour what you say. I believe you will abandon any D2 use as soon as you get D1. I consider that you have insulted residents intelligence and treated them with contempt. In my opinion you have created such, justified, local anger that that no one living locally will patronise your building or want to pay you a single penny by hiring it. You may have broken planning law. You have contributed nothing in business rates but expect to use public assets like local car parks. _ how do you justify this? You will create unsustainable parking pressure with your own events. I do not trust you with my personal data so have withheld my name.	10/3/2014 2:54 PM
793	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> As a local living within 1/2 mile of this site I believe this centre would best serve the local community if it was used as a Cinema or a Theatre. Other multi use buildings are available in Crystal Palace such as the Phoenix Centre and the Salvation Army. The facility should not be used as a Church but an entertainment centre as it was intended.	10/3/2014 12:36 PM
794	<b>general opposition</b> Upper Norwood already has a 'community facility' at the phoenix centre. We don't need another one.	10/3/2014 8:28 AM
795	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Great venue and will benefit a lot of people.	10/3/2014 6:50 AM
796	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I think the community would also benefit from mother and toddlers and playgroups if this place is turned into a multi purpose building.	10/3/2014 6:20 AM
797	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> I support the proposals	10/3/2014 4:12 AM
798	<b>Negative church on site</b> I am totally against any church running a community venue and I am very concerned about the activities of this particular church. The survey is obviously angled to obtain positive responses.	10/3/2014 2:57 AM
799	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please allow the building to be used as a cinema and return it to The community	10/3/2014 12:45 AM
800	<b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> Yes turn the cinema back into a cinema and stop holding religious services on property not registered to do so.	10/2/2014 1:59 AM
801	<b>football</b> <b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I strongly believe that the proposal set out by The Open Door on 25 Church Street would attract local community interest. I will like to see the local Council to give a sincere approval to what has been set out in the proposal for the benefit of all the community and those beyond.	10/2/2014 1:37 AM
802	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I welcome the multi-purpose function the facility will bring to the community.	10/1/2014 5:26 PM
803	<b>general support</b> this is a multi-purpose hall which I think will benefit the entire community and the surrounding areas.	10/1/2014 3:23 PM
804	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> It is heart warming to see the transformation of the building from when it was first acquired by KICC to now. It is indeed adding to the regeneration of the area.	10/1/2014 3:14 PM

## Open Door Website Survey

805	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive mixed use</b> I believe that the multi-functional use of the open door, will bring the community of crystal palace together in a postive way.	10/1/2014 3:11 PM
806	<b>general opposition</b> Why you didn't consider the community is disgusting. No different to big businesses and you are a religious organisation	10/1/2014 10:56 AM
807	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> This area really needs a place of worship and the other facilities proposed.	10/1/2014 10:35 AM
808	<b>general support</b> I support your application	10/1/2014 3:02 AM
809	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>traffic</b> My family live close to the cinema. We oppose KICC and their underhand attempts to change the usage of the site so they can hold their religious services. The traffic in the area is already at breaking point. Your survey questions are skewed. There is no option for residents to highlighting that they do not want church service events.	10/1/2014 2:28 AM
810	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Positive cinema</b> There are plenty of community venues in the area that provide facilities for events such as those outlined above, but this is the only purpose built entertainment venue and should be restored to its former use as a cinema. This would truly regenerate the area around Church Road and provide a significant commercial and cultural boost to Crystal Palace. This building has stood unused for far too long.	9/30/2014 3:57 PM
811	<b>Positive cinema</b> Not sure if my last survey submitted, please sell the venue to a cinema chain prefably picture house	9/30/2014 3:00 PM
812	<b>general opposition</b> You bought 25 church rd fully aware it has no d1(worship) permission, there is no reason why bromley cancel should give you this permission, please sell it to a cinema chain so it can be used for it's intended use. That's the only fair outcome for the community	9/30/2014 2:57 PM
813	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Crystal Palace should be proud of the great work that has been done to bring this building back to life. This building could serve as a hub for the community with the different activities you have planned for it.	9/30/2014 2:29 PM
814	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> We need a vibrant church in the venue!	9/30/2014 2:25 PM
815	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive church on site</b> <b>Positive community</b> It is a magnificent building. Hopefully it can start been use for Church and community use very soon.	9/30/2014 7:31 AM
816	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> Great facility and very much needed withing the community.	9/30/2014 6:04 AM
817	<b>general support</b> Keep up the good work.	9/28/2014 11:42 PM
818	<b>general opposition</b> <b>Negative church on site</b> <b>Parking</b> <b>traffic</b> I do not support your application. There is no need for a church in this venue and I do not support your activities for the area. I do not support the increase in noise, pollution and traffic that your proposal will create.	9/27/2014 2:23 AM
819	<b>general opposition</b> I do not agree with the change of use of this building to include any type of religious services. All these other activities can be undertaken at th venue under the current planning use.	9/26/2014 6:24 AM
820	<b>Positive cinema</b> Please return 25 Church Road to a cinema for the local community. There are sufficient multi use venues in Crystal Palace	9/26/2014 4:54 AM
821	<b>Negative church on site</b> The space should be put to use. However I do not believe that having another place for church assembly is really necessary for the neighbourhood given the number of churches already here. Further, with the underlying purpose being a church, I do not believe its use is inclusive enough and would further emphasise an already oversized Christian influence in the neighbourhood.	9/26/2014 3:30 AM
822	<b>general support</b> <b>Positive community</b> I think the building has been refurbished to a good standard and it is to the benefit of the community	9/25/2014 4:38 AM